The Lophuromys flavopunctatus THOMAS 1888 s.l. species complex : a craniometric study, with the description and genetic characterization of two new species (Rodentia - Muridae - Africa)

by Walter VERHEYEN, Jan L.J. HULSELMANS, Theo DIERCKX & Erik VERHEYEN

#### Abstract

We revised the taxonomy of the East African *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* species complex using craniometric data of nearly 3000 specimens grouped in 49 operational taxonomical units (OTU's) covering the whole of its geographical distribution.

Our study demonstrates that the differences in age and sex composition of OTU's are of no consequence for the branching of the obtained phenetic trees. This observation permitted us to screen the whole of the *L. flavopunctatus* s.l. species complex, to evaluate the validity of the already known taxa and to describe two species new to science.

Our study complements this traditional morphological approach with mitochondrial nucleotide sequences to characterize the two new species and several OTU's.

Keywords: Rodentia, East Africa, *Lophuromys*, taxonomy, craniometry, cytochrome b, genetics.

#### Résumé

La taxinomie du 'complexe d'espèces' *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* a été réévalué en nous basant sur les données craniométriques d'environ 3000 crânes groupés en 49 unités taxinomiques opérationelles (UTO's).

Notre étude a démontré que des différences de composition des UTO's, (âge et sexe) n'influencent pas la structure des arbres phénétiques (UPGMA) obtenus. Cette observation nous a permis d'évaluer la validité systématique des différents taxa et de décrire deux nouvelles espèces.

Nous avons employé des séquences mitochondriales afin de mieux charactériser nos deux nouvelles espèces et plusieurs UTO's.

Mots-clés: Rodentia, Afrique de l'Est, *Lophuromys*, taxinomie, craniométrie, cytochtome b, génétique.

#### INTRODUCTION

The "speckled brush furred" African rats have been grouped in the *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* THOMAS 1888 s.l. species complex by VERHEYEN *et al.* (1996). Representatives of this complex are widely distributed from North-Eastern Angola through Southern Congo, Northern Zambia, Malawi, Northern Mozambique, Tanzania, Burundi, Ruanda, Eastern Congo, Northern Congo, Uganda, Southern Kenya and Ethiopia. The distributional range of the Ethiopian populations is separated from that of the rest of the species complex by dry lowlands.

L. flavopunctatus s.l. prefers moist, marshy and grassy biotopes. Vegetation composition, structure and density seem not to be too important. In these optimal biotopes the "speckled brush furred" rats are generally by far the most dominant rodent. The actual geographical distribution of *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* s.l. seems to be solely determined by rainfall (density and pattern) and not by altitude, temperature or biotope structure (DIETERLEN, 1976).

Over the whole distributional range of this species group, fifteen taxa have been described based on the considerable variation in pelage colouration and external measurements. For a full listing of these taxa, their type-localities, geographical co-ordinates we refer to Appendix 1.1 and fig. 1. We do not include *Neanthomys giaquintoi* TOSCHI 1946 from Addis Ababa, which description was solely based upon an artifact: the absence of a tail in the type specimen (TOSCHI, 1963). The skull measurements of the type-specimens, as measured by us, are listed in Appendix 1.2.

In their general taxonomic review of the Murids of the world, MUSSER & CARLETON (1993) consider that most of these taxa fall into synonymy with *flavopunctatus* THOMAS 1888 adding however that "... the appreciable character variation among samples probably reflects more than one species" (ib., p.605).

A recent study on the Ethiopian Lophuromys flavopunctatus species complex (LAVRENCHENKO et al., 1998) demonstrated that Lophuromys chrysopus OSGOOD 1936 and Lophuromys brevicaudus OSGOOD 1936 are clearly distinct Ethiopian endemic species. Lophuromys melanonyx PETTER 1972, a morphometrically well defined endemic species, was at that time intentionally not included in that analysis. For Southern Ethiopia, we mentioned the presence of what we provisionally considered to be representatives of Lophuromys flavopunctatus brunneus and even a fourth taxon classified tentatively as Lophuromys flavopunctatus s.s.

The present study intends to complete the taxonomical revision of the *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* species group over its entire geographical range except for the "speckled brush furred" rats from Mt Ruwenzori (our OTU 14) to be described elsewhere.

Our study illustrates the practical problems that stand between the many biological species concepts and the practical considerations of taxonomists that are faced with the task to describe taxa based on specimen collections. As in this study, the most often practiced approach is the description of rodent species based on morphometrical data, ideally taken from a sufficient large number of specimens. This approach enables us to deal with the disparities and inadequacies that characterize some of the early taxonomical descriptions.

The poor quality of many of the type specimens led us to implement multivariate cranio-metrical analyses for this revision. Because the cranium is often the only more or less usable part of a type specimen, we selected a number of reliable measurements which will allow us to compare between even severely damaged skulls. In view of the well-documented skull variability (growth and sexual dimorphism), we had to give a statistical dimension to our approach by including sufficiently large samples (OTU's = Operational Taxonomical Unit's) of measurable skulls, that cover the whole geographical range of the species group (in total 49 OTU's were used). An essential consequence of our approach is that we will try, through a number of specific canonical analyses and by subsequent plotting of the typeskulls, to discuss the status of the described taxa and to identify, for some of those taxa, OTU's which can be considered to represent these taxa.

We complement this traditional approach by the genetic characterization of a species new to science with partial mitochondrial cyt b sequences. These molecular data cannot substantiate the claim that the morphologically described species are biologically valid. However, whenever the studied OTU's possess fixed genetic differences, these nucleotide sequences can be used as an additional diagnostic feature to characterize newly described taxa. To test the hypothesis that observed differences between the studied taxa are meaningful, we first analyzed the nucleotide variation among specimens of some conspecific populations for which sufficient samples were available.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### The specimens

As the results of many fieldtrips in central Africa, realized between 1965 and 1995 by the Research group on African Rodents (RUCA, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Belgium), we established an extensive specimen collection. All specimens were prepared for study at the University of Antwerp before they were deposited at the Royal Africa Museum (Tervuren, Belgium). This study is largely based on these collections, but when necessary, our study material was completed with skulls from other collections. Our results are based on information from about 3150 skulls, of which 2.700 were suited for our analyses

In Appendix 2 we have grouped the specimens examined and measured per OTU. For each OTU the number of specimens, classified by sex and age, is provided. For the description of the acronyms, that identify the musea and institutions were these specimens are curated, we refer to VERHEYEN et al. (1996). Appendix 3 gives an alphabetical list of the collecting localities, followed by their geographical co-ordinates; between brackets is the OTU number into which the locality is included. Fig.2 describes the geographical distribution of the OTU's studied.

#### Craniometry

All skulls are grouped into age-classes using tooth eruption and tooth wear patterns as described in VERHEYEN et al. (1996). Also in the present study, the observed cusp structure of the upper cheekteeth and more especially in M<sup>1</sup> is very variable, which implies that the age-classifying method, with its well established theoretical and practical limitations, can at best be used to give a general impression.

The present study uses the same cranial and external measurements and the same acronyms as described in VERHEYEN et al. (1996). We draw special attention to p.246 where we discuss how we selected the used cranial measurements. The measurements were taken with callipers with digital reading graduated to hundreds of millimetres, but were recorded with a precision of 0,05 mm.

To facilitate the interpretation of our results, we supplied a full description of our measurements with drawings of a Lophuromys flavopunctatus skull (see appendices 4.1. and 4.2. in VERHEYEN et al. 1996). Table 1 (App. 4) briefly recapitulates the cranial measurements used here. Basic statistics, Student-t tests, One-way Analysis of Variance, Student-Newsman-Keuls a posteriori test (SOKAL & ROHLF, 1969), Multiple Discriminant or Canonical Analysis were performed on a PC with the statistical package STATISTICA 5.5 from StatSoft, Inc.

Statistical analyses were always carried out using the whole set of available data regardless of sex, but excluding data from specimens of age-classes 0 and 5. The metrical datasets of the operational units used in this study (see Appendix 2) are not fully published but can be obtained through e-mail (hulsel@ruca.ua.ac.be).

In order to clarify the multi-group graphs, we do not show the individual scores, but draw the 95% equiprobable ellipses. In certain cases we prefer to construct a tree diagram, based on the Mahalanobis squared distances between the centroids, using the Unweighted Pair Group Arithmetic Average method (SNEATH & SOKAL, 1973). This accounts for all the relevant axes in the canonical hyperspace. Sometimes missing data are replaced by group means.

#### **DNA** methods

The twenty four specimens that were used for this part of our study were collected during various expeditions in East Africa in 1984 (Ruanda), 1985 (D. R. Congo), 1989 (Tanzania), 1991 (Kenya), 1994 (Tanzania) (see listing of specimens in table 5). Tissues were stored at -80°C (or in 80% ethanol at 4°C). Samples from specimens from Ethiopia were supplied by Corti and Bekele.

142

DNA was isolated from ethanol-preserved muscle or liver tissues by standard proteinase K digestion, followed by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation (SAMBROOK et al., 1989) or by the Chelex method (WALSH et al., 1991). We amplified and sequenced a 402 bp long segment of the cyt b gene (from position 14139 to 14540 on the mitochondrial DNA sequence of Mus musculus (BIBB et al., 1981). The cyt b primers are L13724 [5'-cgaagcttgatat gaaaaaccatcgttg-3'] and H14139 [5'-aaactgcagcccctcagaat gatatttgtcctca-3'] (KOCHER et al., 1989) and the PCR reaction was done using the protocols given in KOCHER et al. (1989). The samples were sequenced with the H14139primer using a standard CycleSequencing protocol (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), following the manufacturer's protocol, using 0.8µM primer, 2.5 units of Taq polymerase and approximately 0.15-0.20 µg of the PCR product. The cycle-sequencing reaction consisted of 30 cycles: 36 s at 94°C, 36 s at 52°C and 80 s at 72°C. Sequences were read and aligned by eye. The obtained nucleotide sequences were imported in Mega 2.1 for analyses (KUMAR et al., 2001).

#### RESULTS

### 1. Sexual dimorphism and growth in the skull of Lophuromys flavopunctatus

Because previous studies considered that sexual dimorphism in *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* and *Lophuromys sikapusi* skulls is insignificant, it has become customary to lump sexes in the OTU's that were used in our previous studies (VERHEYEN *et al.*, 1996, 1997, 2000, LAVRENCHENKO, et al. 1998). Since the present data set is the first to contain certain OTU's with a sufficiently large number of skulls, we will be able to test statistically whether or not lumping data from both sexes affects the outcome of our canonical analyses.

The biggest OTU available for this purpose comprises 377 complete skulls (209M-168F) and was collected in and around Tshibati (see App. 2) mostly by our colleagues U. RAHM and F. DIETERLEN. We split the data of this OTU by sex and age classes and calculated the basic statistics of the skull measurements and of the in the field collected external measurements (Appendix 4 and Table 2).

Sexual differences seem to be nearly absent not only for the skull measurements but also for the external measurements. The only exceptions are in age class 3, where sexual dimorphism is highly significant for "choanae breadth" (M18) and "distance between coronoid and angular process in the mandibula" (M24). Consequently both measures were excluded in our further canonical analyses. We also note that in age-class 3 male animals are about 10% heavier than females.

Our data also suggest that the growth of the skull of a specimen, once it has fully erupted teeth, will remain rather limited. In both sexes, most measures show a small but steady growth from class 1 up to class 3. As expected, the molars and bullae do not increase in size whereas the upper incisor caliber (M21) shows a small size increase.

To evaluate if and how strong the sex and age of specimens

influence the outcome of canonical analyses (graph. 1.1) we first compare the six subgroups distinguished in table 2. It is reassuring to observe that there is a huge overlap between sexes and also between age-groups; only root 1 is influenced by growth but in a very moderate way.

To investigate how results of multivariate analyses are influenced by OTU's with different age-sex composition, and whether the results can be used for taxonomical purposes we made a canonical analysis between three geographical clearly different OTU's (Uluguru, Mutura, Tshibati) in which we could also distinguish between age-sex subgroups. The resulting phenetic tree (graph. 1.2) shows clearly that differences in sex- and (or) age-composition of the compared OTU's have no influence on the outcome of our analysis and demonstrates that subtle shape differences in skull configuration, independent from sex and age, are effectively reflected by canonical graphs and phenetic trees.

Graph.1.3 goes a step further and adds the species dimension into the analysis by including OTU 4 (Kisangani RB) and OTU 37 (*L. brevicaudus*). Again we observe that the resulting phenetic tree is not influenced by sex or age composition. It is therefore safe to conclude that we can compare OTU's of different age and sex composition and still obtain results that we can use in a taxonomical context.

## 2. The taxonomical status of the type specimens of the *L. flavopunctatus* species-complex

Since the previous study on the Ethiopian representatives of the *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* species complex (LAVRENCHENKO *et al.* 1998) we have completed our craniometrical data-base to address some unresolved problems. One of our primary concerns is the allocation of the Ethiopian *Lophuromys* type-specimens to one of our OTU's, in order to allow a clear-cut taxonomical revision of the whole *flavopunctatus*-group.

However, first we have to establish that none of our Ethiopian OTU's belong to the *Lophuromys sikapusi*-species group represented in Eastern Africa by *Lophuromys ansorgei*. Graph. 2.1 depicts the results of a canonical analysis that involves an OTU representing *L. ansorgei* with the different OTU's we were able to compile of Ethiopian *Lophuromys*. The graph convincingly shows that the two species groups are clearly separated from each other and that the skull of the controversial type specimen of *L. major* (see App. 1.1 and fig. 1) pertains certainly to the *sikapusi* species group and is not related to any of the Ethiopian *Lophuromys* we studied.

Graph. 2.2 shows that OTU 37, OTU 38+39, and OTU 35 are directly identified by the type skulls as belonging to respectively the species *L. brevicaudus, L. chrysopus* and *L. melanonyx.* The type skulls of *L. brunneus* and *L. simensis* plot well within OTU 36, suggesting that *simensis* is possibly a synonym of *brunneus.* The type skulls of *L. flavopunctatus* and *L. zaphiri* fit within OTU 34, implying that the latter is synonymous with the former. Additional analyses (forward and backward) with other OTU's as outgroups confirm these conclusions.

In graph. 3.1 we introduced some non-Ethiopian OTU's



Fig. 1. Map showing the geographical situation of the type localities of the different taxa of the *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* species complex. For the co-ordinates and approximate altitudes we refer to Appendix 1.1. Based on Map B (p. XIII) of HALL & MOREAU (1970).

- 1. flavopunctatus THOMAS 1888
- 2. aquilus TRUE 1892
- 3. zaphiri THOMAS 1906
- 4. *brunneus* Thomas 1906
- 5. major THOMAS et al. 1907
- 6. laticeps THOMAS et al. 1907
- 7. zena Dollman 1909
- 8. rubecula DOLLMAN 1909
- 9. rita Dollman 1910
- 10. margarettae HELLER 1912
- 11. simensis OSGOOD 1936
- 12. brevicaudus OSGOOD 1936
- 13. chrysopus OSGOOD 1936
   14. melanonyx PETTER 1972
   15. cinereus DIETERLEN et al. 1974
   16. eisentrauti DIETERLEN 1978
   17. dieterleni VERHEYEN et al. 1997

(OTU 6: Tshibati; OTU 20: Solai; OTU 26: Usambara E.) covering as much as possible the geographical variation of the taxonomically recognized non-Ethiopian taxa next to some relevant Ethiopian taxa such as *L. brevicaudus* (OTU 37) and *L. chrysopus* (OTU 38+39). We decided not to include the OTU's 34, 35 and 36 (respectively typical for *flavopunctatus, melanonyx* and *brunneus*) because we found that they tend to lump the non-Ethiopian OTU's, reducing seriously the usefulness of the graphs for the intended plotting of the non-Ethiopian type-specimens.

The critical type-specimen of *L. aquilus* TRUE 1892 (type locality: Mt Kilimanjaro) situates itself right in the centre of graph.3.1 but clearly outside OTU 37 (*brevicaudus*). On the other hand it might be concordant with OTU's 38 and 39

(*chrysopus*) as well as with the other OTU's. However, when we consider also the Kilimanjaro (Mweka) specimens, which are possibly topo-typical for *aquilus*, we have to conclude that *aquilus* identifies with one of the east African OTU's and not with OTU 38+39 (*chrysopus*). We will go further into this matter in the conclusions of this paper.

Most of the other east African type-specimens (*laticeps*, *zena*, *rita*, *margarettae*) fall within the east African OTU's (6, 20, 26) and are probably to be considered synonymous with *aquilus*. The only exception is *rubecula*, described in 1909 by DOLLMAN from Mt Elgon, which plots within *chrysopus* (OTU 38+39).

As to the two type-specimens from northern Cameroon (*eisentrauti*, *dieterleni*) they plot outside the east African and

Ethiopian OTU's and should probably be considered belonging to a different species group. Unfortunately, we do not possess the necessary skull series to investigate this issue further.

In graph. 3.2 we try to go into more detail by making a canonical analysis between Kenyan populations (OTU 19: Cherangani Hills; OTU 20: Solai; OTU 21: Aberdare Range; OTU 22: Mt Kenya) and the Ethiopian *chrysopus* (OTU 38: Harenna; OTU 39: Beletta). In this graph it becomes clear that the Aberdare Range and Mt Kenya series are characteristic for *zena* DOLLMAN 1909, whereas the Cherangani Hills population and Solai are concordant with *margarettae* HELLER 1912. It becomes also apparent that *rubecula* DOLLMAN 1909 (Mt Elgon) has to be allocated to the *chrysopus* species group and the same applies probably to *eisentrauti* DIETERLEN 1979 and to *dieterleni* VERHEYEN *et al.* 1997.

In graphs 4.1 and 4.2 we have tried to allocate the more or less damaged skulls of certain type specimens to some geographically selected OTU's by making specific canonical analyses. Graph.4.1 allows us not only to show that the typespecimen of *rita* DOLLMAN 1910 fits well, as expected, within OTU 11 (Congo S.) and *laticeps* THOMAS *et al.* 1907 within OTU 8 (Mutura). More importantly the crucial type skull of *aquilus* TRUE 1892 fits nicely within the Usambara E population (OTU 26), which is geographically the closest to the Mount Kilimanjaro from which we have unfortunately no adequate topo-typical series. Finally, we show in graph.4.2 that very probably *cinereus* is to be considered a synonym of *L. laticeps* confirming what was already suspected by DIETERLEN (1987).

Summarized, we can safely conclude that the five Ethiopian OTU's, identified on craniological and other morphological characters, represent respectively the following taxa: OTU 34: *L. flavopunctatus*, OTU 35: *L. melanonyx*, OTU 36: *L. brunneus*, OTU 37: *L. brevicaudus*, OTU 38+39: *L. chrysopus*. We have not found any specimens related to the *L. sikapusi* species group in the Ethiopian *Lophuromys* collection we were able to study. Moreover *L. zaphiri* is in our opinion synonymous with *L. flavopunctatus* and *L. simensis* is possibly also a synonym of *L. brunneus*. We did not attempt to determine the geographical distribution of each of the recognized taxa since we do not possess enough reliable data for the whole of Ethiopia.

Concerning the non-Ethiopian taxa of the studied species group we have demonstrated that the studied populations can be identified with the following taxa: OTU 26: *aquilus*, OTU 8: *laticeps*, OTU 11: *rita*, OTU 21-22: *zena*, OTU 19-20: *margarettae*. We also have shown that the severely damaged *cinereus* type skull falls clearly within OTU 8 and is thus to be considered synonymous with *laticeps*. The *rubecula* type skull from Elgonyi (Mt Elgon) is however situated consistently within the OTU's (38+39) typical for Ethiopian *chrysopus;* however, the other Mt Elgon specimens (OTU 18) that we could measure seem to be referable to *margarettae* (see following chapter).

Finally, we mention that both type skulls of *dieterleni* and *eisentrauti* appear to be near *chrysopus* (OTU 38+39). We are convinced that both belong to a clearly differentiated strain of *chrysopus* but for the moment we are not able to go

deeper into this for lack of adequate series from N. Cameroon.

## 3. Craniometrical variation within the *L. flavopunctatus* s.l. species complex

We choose to tackle this problem by making canonical analyses including as many OTU's as possible distributed over the entire geographical range of the species complex and then visualizing the results by tree diagrams based upon the Mahalanobis squared distances between the obtained centroids (UPGA). The usefulness of this approach is limited by the size of the OTU's (numbers of adult and complete skulls) versus the number of cranial variables (measurements) taken per skull. Indeed, the number of observations per OTU has to be preferably greater than the number of variables (i.e. measurements) per skull, otherwise the matrix of within variation of this OTU tends to be singular.

Keeping in mind our results concerning the importance of sexual dimorphism and skull-growth we eliminated from the start the measurements M18 (CHOB) and M24 (PCPA).

Each OTU used in our analyses comprises only fully adult and complete skulls and contains a sufficient number of specimens to make statistically valid analyses. When necessary we maximalised OTU's either by eliminating some measures responsible for excluding some otherwise complete skulls or by replacing missing data by the mean of the group.

Fig. 2 shows schematically the geographic distribution of the OTU's over the Eastern and Central African region. By far most of these OTU's are homogenous as to the geographical origin of the included specimens. Only for Congo S (OTU 11) we had to group specimens from rather wide-spread or varied geographical origin to obtain statistically valid series. In total we compiled for this study 46 OTU's out of which 33 group twenty or more complete adult skulls and another 5 with at least 16 usable adult skulls; the 8 remaining OTU's are composed of about 10 skulls. All our OTU's were screened for measuring errors and recording oddities.

In a first approach (graph.5.1) we include only the 33 "major" OTU's which contain a minimum of 20 skulls each. In a second step we add another 5 OTU's grouping a minimum of 16 skulls each (graph.5.2). Finally, the 8 "minor" OTU's with about 10 skulls each (graph.5.3) are included in the analysis. Graph.5.1 is based upon the morphometrical comparison of 33 OTU's, using 20 skull measurements (see table 1). We also identified, hoping to facilitate the interpretation of the resulting phenetic tree, the OTU's that we found to be representative for the 5 major east African type-populations (see 2). Our first observation is the clear morphometrical separation between the Ethiopian species melanonyx, brevicaudus, flavopunctatus from all the other OTU's. This also applies to chrysopus; however, chrysopus W. and E. form a well defined clade not only with the Ethiopian species brunneus, but also with the "zena" populations of Mt Kenya and the Aberdare Range. The sister clade of the chrysopus-zenabrunneus group is formed by the totality of all the other non-Ethiopian OTU's that we examined. We note that both clades are linked at a rather high linkage distance of 100.



Fig. 2. The geographical distribution of the OTU's of the L. flavopunctatus species complex as used in this study. For the exact composition of the individual OTU's, we refer to Appendix 2 and for the co-ordinates of the collecting localities, see Appendix 3. Based on Map B (p. XIII) of HALL & MOREAU (1970).

20

21

- 1 Congo NW.
- 2 Congo NE.
- 3 Kisangani LB
- 4 Kisangani RB
- 5 Irangi
- 6 Tshibati 6.1 Kahuzi Mt
- 7 Virunga Volcanoes
- 8 Mutura
- 8.1 Kinigi
- 8.2 Kidaho
- Butare 9
- 9.1 Ruanda E.

- Uwinka (Nyungwe Forest) 10 11 Congo S.
- 12 Gilo (Imatong Mts)
- 13 Iwatoka
  - Ruwenzori Mt (p.m.)
  - Rutshuru
  - Kigesi
  - Uganda
- 17.1 Bugala Isl.
- 18 Elgon Mt
- Cherangani Hills 19
- 19.1 Kaptagat

- Solai Aberdare Range
- 21.1 Gatamaiyu
- 21.2 Aberdare Range (BMNH)
- 22 Kenya Mt
- Kenya Mt (BMNH) 22.1
- 23 Meru Mt
- 23.1 Kilimanjaro Mt (Mweka)
- 24 Ngorongoro Rim
- 25 Hanang Mt
- 26 Usambara E. Range
- 27 Uluguru Range
- 28 Mufindi
- 29

- 30 Rungwe Mt
- 31 Ufipa Plateau
- 32 Nyika Plateau
- 33 Zomba
- 34 L. flavopunctatus s.s. 35
  - L. melanonyx
- 36 L. brunneus
- 37 L. brevicaudus
  - L. chrysopus E.
  - L. chrysopus W.

Within the non-Ethiopian clade of graph. 5.1, two branches are quite distinct from all the others: first OTU 4 (Kisangani RB) and OTU 5 (Irangi), secondly OTU 23 (Mt Meru). The other non-Ethiopian OTU's group into geographically related clades such as (Mutura - Uwinka - Kinigi - Butare -Kidaho - Ruanda E), (Tshibati - Rutshuru - Virunga Volc. -

14

15

16

17

Kigezi), (Congo S - Rungwe Mt - Nyika Plat.), (Cherangani Hills - Solai - Kenya Mt), (Ngorongoro Rim - Gilo) and (Usambara E. - Uluguru). However, in some cases OTU's, such as Kisangani LB and Ufipa Plateau, cluster in a rather unexpected way. We also found that the introduction or exclusion of one or more critical non-Ethiopian OTU's into the

- Peramiho
- 38 39

analysis often resulted in a reshuffling of low-level branching between the observed geographical clades, but it never affected the clear branching and identity of OTU 23 (Meru Mt.) or OTU 4 (Kisangani RB) and OTU 5 (Irangi).

In graph.5.2 we introduced 38 OTU's, containing each at least 16 adult complete skulls and using 16 cranial measurements. The results of graph.5.1 and 5.2 are identical: the 5 newly introduced populations Entebbe (OTU 17), Hanang Mt (OTU 25), Peramiho (OTU 29), Congo NW (OTU 1), Aberdare Range (OTU 21.2) do not differ significantly from the other non-Ethiopian OTU's while some OTU's, e.g. Hanang (OTU 25) and Aberdare Range (OTU 21.2) fit geographically rather well with the already existing groups. In general however, we see a rather important exchange of OTU's between the geographical clades we could discern in graph.5.1 and thus a breaking down of their geographical identity.

Graph. 5.3 represents a tree-diagram for 46 OTU's based on a backward canonical analysis of 11 cranial measurements and on 8 new OTU's with each a minimum of 10 adult skulls. This analysis not only confirms the results of graphs 5.1 and 5.2, but also suggests that not one of the 8 newly introduced OTU's is clearly differentiated from the other non-Ethiopian populations. We note however, that the geographic clades in the non-Ethiopian OTU's that we clearly could discern in graph. 5.1, tend to dissolve in graph. 5.2 to disappear almost completely in graph. 5.3. This progressive loss of information can be explained by dwindling numbers of specimens per OTU, which entails a restriction of the number of used measures.

Summarized, we conclude that we can identify craniometrically from the examined non-Ethiopian populations at least 3 samples, viz. OTU 4 (Kisangani RB), OTU 5 (Irangi) and OTU 23 (Meru Mt), which can be considered for formal taxonomic recognition.

### 4. Genetic results

The observed intraspecific genetic variation in the studied cyt b fragment is significantly lower than the differentiation observed at the interspecific level (appendix 4, tables 5 and 6). Among eight specimens from three populations of *zena* we detect only 10 variable sites, 7 of which are substitutions at the third codon position, 3 are substitutions at the first codon position (data not shown). The number of parsimony informative sites in the context of the seven other studied OTU's is reduced to 6 sites. Both measures of variability are low in comparison with the differentiation observed among the other OTU's, including representatives of the taxa *flavopunctatus, laticeps, aquilus, rita, margarettae* and the OTU's 4 and 24 that are discussed further.

Among the 402 bp long cyt b sequences from *flavopunctatus*, *laticeps*, OTU 4 (Kisangani Right Bank), *aquilus*, *zena*, *margarettae*, *aquilus*, OTU 23 (Meru Mt), *rita*, *margarettae* and *zena* we observe 54 parsimony informative sites, 14 at the first codon position, one at the second codon position and 39 at the third codon position (appendix 4, table 5).

The observed nucleotide changes result in 12 amino acid substitutions, all of which (except one leucine-isoleucine change in OTU 4 – Kisangani RB) are differences between taxa or OTU's (data not shown). The amount of nucleotide substitutions observed ranges between 2.3 and 8.1 %. In all cases, the sequences of (putative) conspecifics are considerably more similar than sequences of different OTU's (appendix 4, tables 5 and 6).

The OTU's and taxa represented by more than one partial cyt b sequence share a typical set of informative sites. The fact that little variation is observed among the eight *zena* specimens indicates that the observed differences, even when based upon a single specimen, are likely to be significant, and diagnostically reliable differences.

#### 5. Description of Lophuromys dudui n.sp.

#### Holotype

RUCA D1739; adult female ; alc. specimen ; skull complete ; collected by DUDU AKAIBE (26 March 1986) in Masako (00.36 N - 25.13 E ; alt. 440 m) in the rainforest of the Tshoporiver ; collecting number D1739.

#### Paratypes

10 specimens from the same locality as the type-specimen and collected by DUDU Akaibe between 12 December 1985 and 23 August 1986.

RUCA D1170 (ad.male; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D1170) RUCA D1290 (ad.male; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D1290) RUCA D1315 (ad.male; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D1315) RUCA D1332 (ad.male; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D1332) RUCA D1649 (ad.male; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D1649) RUCA D1734 (ad.female; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D1734) RUCA D1771 (ad.female; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D1771) RUCA D1902 (ad.female; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D1902) RUCA D2322 (ad.female; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D2322) RUCA D2974 (ad.female; skull + alc.spec.; coll.nr D2974)

For the craniometrical data of the type and paratypes we refer to App.1.3.

#### **Type locality**

The holotype and the paratypes were collected in the Masako forest (in primary as well as old secondary forest).

#### Etymology

We dedicate this new species to our colleague and friend Prof. Dr. DUDU AKAIBE in appreciation of his continuous efforts to promote scientific research on small mammals in extremely difficult circumstances.

#### Diagnosis

L. dudui is a new species of "speckled and short-tailed brushfurred rat" that belongs to the so called Lophuromys flavopunctatus species complex. Consequently it is clearly differentiated cranially and dentally from 1°/ the Lophuromys nudicaudus-huttereri species complex 2°/ the small L. rahmi endemic to the mountainous region of Kivu (Congo) 3°/ the sikapusi-ansorgei-angolensis-roseveari species complex.

Within the *flavopunctatus* species complex, it can easily be characterized a.o., by its (1) smallish skull, (2) short maxillary and mandibulary toothrow, (3) short ears and (4) short hind-



Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the dorsal views of representative skulls for (1) Lophuromys dudui (type: D1739), (2) laticeps (KMMA30366) and (3) L. verhageni (RUCA14327).

foot. For full details : see table 3 in App.4, graphs 6 and 8. For the genetic characterization see table 5 and 6 in App. 4

## Discussion of the morphological characters

(table 3, fig. 3)

It is impossible to include in this chapter a description of the external characters such as the colouration of the pelage, the feet, ears etc... Indeed, the type-specimens, fixed in formalin and then stored and transported for a long period in inadequate containers, have taken a more or less rusty tinge.

In table 3 we have included statistics of the external measurements of our new taxon, but we stress that these data have to be used with caution. Indeed, these field measurements were taken by different research groups using slightly different measuring techniques. Nevertheless we can conclude that *L. dudui* is on the overall clearly smaller when compared with *laticeps* (Mutura: OTU 8): from 5% (HB) to 7,5% (TL) to 10% (HF) to 20% (EL) smaller.

The morphology of the skull (fig.4) situates this new taxon within the *L. flavopunctatus* species complex, but when compared with representative skulls of a topo-typical population of *laticeps* (OTU 8) we can characterize our new taxon in the following way:

- (1) smaller, more slender skull with a more delicate rostrum;
- (2) less pronounced supraorbital ridges and less prominent notches;
- (3) smaller upper molars and relatively wider palate.

#### Univariate analysis (table 3)

The basic statistics show the variation coefficients to be far below 10% except for the "choanae breadth" (nr 18) which is a rather unreliable measure. When comparing the basic data of the skull-measurements of *dudui* to our standard population of *laticeps* (OTU 8: Mutura) we notice that the skull of *dudui* is about 5 to 10% smaller for length as well as breadth and height measures (with a maximum of 20% for DINC: depth of the upper incisor = M21). Only for measures of the skull basis, represented here by M10 (PALA), M18 (CHOB) and in a lesser degree by M12 (UPDA), we find that both taxa have about the same size.

The comparison between dudui and OTU 5 (Irangi) reveals that, although both are rather close in our multivariable analyses (graphs 5.1-5.2-5.3), they differ rather importantly in the univariate approach (table 3). Indeed, we see that in 9 out of the 24 cranial measures (M3, M4, M5, M6, M7, M10, M13, M14, M24) there is statistically no significant difference between both OTU's but that 9 out of the remaining 15 measurements are statistically highly different (M1, M8, M9, M11, M15, M16, M20, M21, M23). Summarizing, we can describe the skull of OTU 5 (Irangi) to be on the overall metrically closer to dudui than to our standard population of laticeps (OTU 8: Mutura) meaning that its skull is clearly smaller than the latter. However, for certain measures it is markedly less different (M1, M8, M9, M11, M16, M17, M19, M20, M21) from OTU 8 (Mutura). Finally, we see that Irangi (OTU 5) is well differentiated from dudui by two

5

# alvsis (forward)





Graph.2.1





Graph. 2.2.

Graphic representation of a forward canonical analysis of five Ethiopian "speckled" *Lophuromys* OTU's (34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39) providing the background to allocate by plotting the Ethiopian types of the species-group.

Graph.2.2

4.4

151



Graph.3.2

Graph. 3.1 and 3.2. Canonical analyses providing the necessary background to situate by plotting most of the non-Ethiopian type-specimens of the *L. flavopunctatus* species group (see text for discussion).



1.1



measures (M15 and M23) representing the rostral width indicating that the average skull of OTU 5 (Irangi) is rather close to *dudui* but with a significantly more slender rostrum.

#### Discriminant analysis (graphs 6 and 7)

For diagnostic reasons we performed through forward analysis a discriminant function between our new taxon *dudui* (OTU 4: Kisangani RB) and the population of Mutura (OTU 8) representing the taxon *laticeps*. The results represented in graph 6 indicate that 100% of the individual skulls can be correctly classified by the obtained discriminant function using 15 out of the 24 available measurements. We note also that the most important discriminating measures are: M12 (UPDA), M7 (DIA2), M21 (DINC), M11 (UPTE).

In graph 7 we demonstrate further that *dudui* is also easily differentiated craniometrically from OTU 5 (Irangi) and that both populations can be identified 100% correctly through a discriminant function using 14 out of the 24 available measurements, the most important discriminating measures being M21 (DINC), M12 (UPDA), M13 (M1BR), M15 (BNAS).

#### Multiple discriminant analysis (graph. 8)

We performed a canonical analysis by comparing the population of *dudui* (OTU 4: Kisangani RB) with 1°/ the Mutura (OTU 8) series which is probably topotypical for *laticeps* and which represents the east African taxa 2°/ the Irangi-population (OTU 5) and 3°/ the Mt Meru population (OTU 23) representing the new east African taxon that we will describe next.

We see that in this graph *dudui* is not only clearly differentiated from topotypical *laticeps* (OTU 8) but also from the Mt Meru-population (OTU 23). As to OTU 5 (Irangi) it coincides with *dudui* slightly overlapping with OTU 8 and OTU 23. However, the results of the same analysis, but comparing roots 2 versus 3 show that Irangi identifies closely with *dudui*. Finally, plotting of the specimens of OTU 2 (Congo NE) demonstrates that *dudui* is probably present in the whole of the forested areas north-east of Kisangani.

#### Genetic characterization (appendix 4, tables 5, 6)

All OTU's and taxa represented by more than one partial cyt b sequence share a typical set of informative sites. Therefore, it is not surprizing that the comparison of the partial cyt b sequences of the two specimens representing *dudui* with sequences of relevant described taxa and OTU's from the same region show the utility of molecular markers to identify this new taxon.

The observation that only little variation exists among the eight *zena* specimens indicates that the differences for *dudui*, even when based upon only two specimens, are likely to be significant, and diagnostically reliable differences

Interestingly, these molecular data suggest that *dudui* is clearly distinct (average p distance = 0.054) from *flavopunctatus*, but also differs significantly from *aquilus* and *laticeps* (average p-distances are respectively 0.063 and 0.032). The implications of these data for the phylogenetic relationships between *dudui* and other *Lophuromys* taxa will

be the subject of a separate study using more and other nucleotide sequences.

#### **Additional information**

Through some additional canonical comparisons including surrounding OTU's (o.a. 1, 3, 7, 11, 15) we acquired the certainty that the populations living in the mountainous rift region and on the left bank of the Congo river are distinctly different from *dudui*. By plotting we found also evidence that our new taxon is present in the northeastern region of Congo (OTU 2) as far as the Garamba-Blukwa-Djugu-region.

Furthermore, plotting of individual skulls (KMMA 38.734, 738, 739, 770) allowed us to allocate a small series of Visiki (00.23 N - 29.12 E. 1100 m alt) to *dudui* whereas all the measured skulls originating from localities on the western flank of the rift mountains seem to belong to *laticeps*, from which *dudui* is significantly genetically differentiated (7.8% uncorrected sequence divergence). On the other hand the series of Irangi (OTU 5) (alt 850 m) which can be considered to be more or less representative for the population of the south-eastern lowland rainforest of Congo separates itself as a rather well defined population (graph 8).

For the moment we consider *dudui* to be sufficiently craniometrically and genetically different from other OTU's and described taxa to be allocated the status of species whereas the Irangi population is probably a local form (subspecies) of *dudui*. However, it remains always possible that new data (e.g. caryology or additional genetic analyses) might change the taxonomic status of our new form.

#### 6. Description of Lophuromys verhageni n.sp.

#### Holotype

KMMA 96-037-M-3848; adult female; alc.specimen; skull complete; collected by Ron VERHAGEN (9 March 1996) on Mount Meru (03.13'47" S - 36.41'34" E - alt.2600 m), collecting number RUCA 14.329.

#### Paratypes

22 specimens collected by Ronald VERHAGEN.

KMMA 96-037-M3832 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr.14.295) 96-037-M3833 (ad.fem.; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.307) 96-037-M3834 (ad.fem.; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.308) 96-037-M3835 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.309) 96-037-M3836 (ad.fem.; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.312) 96-037-M3837 (ad.fem.; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.313) 96-037-M3838 (ad.?; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.319) 96-037-M3839 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.320) 96-037-M3840 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.321) 96-037-M3841 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.322) 96-037-M3842 (ad.fem.; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.323) 96-037-M3843 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.324) 96-037-M3844 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.325) 96-037-M3845 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.326) 96-037-M3846 (ad.fem.; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.327) 96-037-M3847 (ad.fem.; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.328) 96-037-M3849 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.347) 96-037-M3850 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.348) 96-037-M3851 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.352) 153

96-037-M3852 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.353) 96-037-M3853 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.354) 96-037-M3856 (ad.male; alc. + cr.; col.nr. 14.368)

For the craniometrical data of the type and paratypes: see App. 1.4.

#### **Type locality**

All specimens were collected on the 8 and 9 March 1996 on Mt Meru (03°13'47"S-36°41'34"E at the altitude of 2.600 m (grid 8) except for col.nrs 14.347 and 14.348; these were collected on 10 March 1996 in grid 10 (03°13'54"S-36°42'39"E at the altitude of 3.050 m).

The collector describes grid 8 as situated in a grassy glade of about 2.500 m<sup>2</sup>, dotted here and there with low bushes and several small pools heavily surrounded by papyrus, the whole surrounded by moist mountain forest with little undergrowth and here and there small patches of open grassy spaces.

#### Etymology

We have the pleasure to dedicate this new species to our colleague and friend Ronald VERHAGEN, who has contributed greatly to our ecological knowledge on African small mammals in Tanzania.

#### Diagnosis

Lophuromys verhageni is a new species of "speckled and short-tailed brush-furred rat" of the *L. flavopunctatus* species complex and as such can be easily differentiated cranially and dentally from all the other species complexes of the genus *Lophuromys*.

Within the east African representative OTU's we can characterize our new species easily as a somewhat bigger animal with a slightly bigger but more slender skull with weak supraorbital ridges. (See also table 4, fig. 3, graph.8 and 9)

#### **Discussion of morphological characters**

(Appendix 4, table 4; fig. 3)

We have not found reliable colour or pattern differences in the pelage that would allow us to characterize this population. Indeed, our specimens have been fixed in formalin and conserved in alcohol so that comparisons with dry type skins become rather difficult and unreliable.

In table 4 we compare the basic statistics of the external measurements of the Mt Meru sample with similar data obtained by our research teams for the Mutura (OTU 8) population. We find that *verhageni* is about 10% bigger than what we found for our topo-typical *laticeps* population; only for the tail length (TL) there seems not to be a significant difference. We note however that the CV% are high for HB, TL and EL and that only the hindfoot length (HF) gives results which are reliable.

The morphology of the skull and teeth situates *verhageni* within the *L. flavopunctatus* complex. A morphological comparison with typical *laticeps* skulls (OTU 8) shows that the skull of *verhageni* is slightly bigger but with a more slender

aspect and with less pronounced supraorbital ridges (fig. 3).

Univariate analysis (Appendix 4, table 4)

A comparison with the topotypical population of *laticeps* (Mutura: OTU 8) shows that *verhageni* is for most breadth measures significantly smaller than the latter (M8, M9, M15, M18, M20, M23) but bigger for most length-measures (M1, M2, M3, M4, M6, M7). The most significant measures characterizing *verhageni* are however M5 (PAFL), M14 (ZYPL), M21 (DINC) and M22 (ROHE).

We note that for some teeth measures (M11, M13) *verhageni* is not significantly different as well as for bullae length (M9: BULL) and nasal length (M16: LNAS). Finally, it is remarkable that for M21 (DINC) *verhageni* is significantly smaller and for M17 (LOTE) significantly bigger.

Summarizing we can characterize *verhageni* as having a somewhat larger but more slender skull with a finer rostrum, a weaker zygomatic plate and a rather heavier set of lower molars than *L. laticeps*.

#### Discriminant analysis (graph. 9)

In this graph we attempt to characterize *verhageni* by comparing it to our topotypical population of *laticeps* (Mutura: OTU 8). The results show that close to 100% of the individual skulls can be correctly identified by the discriminant function (forward analysis) by using 13 out of the 24 available measurements. We note also that the most important discriminating measures are: M14 (ZYPL), M13 (M<sub>1</sub>BR), M23 (ROBR), M12 (UPDA) and M17 (LOTE).

#### Multiple discriminant analysis (graph. 8)

We refer to graph.8 where we show that *verhageni* (OTU 23) is not only well differentiated from a population topotypical for *laticeps* (Mutura: OTU 8) but also from *L. dudui* (Kisangani RB: OTU 4).

### Genetic characterization (Appendix 4, tables 5 and 6)

As observed above, all OTU's and taxa represented by more than one partial cyt b sequence share a typical set of informative sites. The comparison of the partial cyt b sequences of the two specimens representing *verhageni* with sequences of relevant described taxa and OTU's from the same region (i.e. *laticeps, aquilus* and *dudui*) show the utility of molecular markers to identify this new taxon.

Since only little variation exists among the conspecifics tested here (tables 5 and 6), we suggest that the differences observed between *verhageni* and related taxa, such as the geographically closely situated *aquilus* (separated by approximately 60 km) are meaningfull (p distance = 0.045). It is important to note that the genetic distance observed between *verhageni* and *aquilus* is considerably higher than p distances between the assayed *zena* populations (average p distance = 0.001) that are separated by approximately the same geographic distance [approximately 50-60 km separate Aberdares from Mount Kenya]. Also the genetical differences between *verhageni* with *laticeps* and *dudui* (p-dis-



155



discriminant function allowing the characterization of L. dudui n.sp. versus a topo-typical sample of laticeps (OTU 8), together with the

Graph.7

5 Irangi

Δ

4 Kisangani RB

23 Mt Meru

\*

0

1

Graph.8

8 Mutura

4 5 6 7

2 3

7

6

5

4 3

2 1

0

-1

-2 -3

-4

-5

-7

-6

-5 -4 -3 -2 -1

root 2

TYPES dudui n.sp.

\* PLOT 2 Congo NE.

Δ

+

verhageni n.sp.

laticeps (type)

8 9

root 1

10



Graphical representation of a canonical analysis on our new species verhageni (OTU 23 : Mt Meru) and dudui (OTU 4 : Kisangani RB) and a population of the taxon laticeps (OTU 8 : Mutura).



Graphical representation of the discriminant function (forward analysis) between the Mutura population (OTU 8), representing the taxon laticeps and the new taxon verhageni of Mt Meru (OTU 23). Are also represented the coefficients for the canonical transformation, necessary for the diagnosis of the new taxon.



Graph.9

157



Graph. 10. UPGMA dendrogram based upon OTU's representing the known and our newly described east African taxa and using *brevicaudus* (OTU 37) as an outgroup.

tances are respectively = 0.078 and 0.059), even when based upon a single specimen, are likely to be reliable diagnostic differences.

Finally, the significance of the amount of genetical differentiation between *verhageni* and the nearby *aquilus* population (Mweka) is illustrated by the fact that the nucleotide substitutions observed between these two taxa result in not less than 4 inferred amino acid substitutions (p-distance = 0.031).

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The combination of craniometric and quantitative methods with genetical data, has proven to be a valuable approach to evaluate the current taxonomy of the *L. flavopunctatus* s.l. species complex.

One of the most important results of this study is that it illustrates the power and limitations of our methodology and approach. Indeed, concerning the use of craniometrical data in taxonomical work on rodents, our study demonstrates – at least for the studied taxa - that the differences in age and sex composition of OTU's (as long as these are sufficiently large; i.e. Nobs >20) are of no consequence for the branching of the phenetic trees based on the Mahalanobis squared distances between the centroids. This observation permitted us to screen the whole of the *L. flavopunctatus* s.l. species complex and to evaluate the validity of the already known taxa, to detect new taxa and to describe two *Lophuromys* species new to science.

Although it was not our main objective to go into the taxonomy of the Ethiopian representatives of the species complex, we had to consider a possible overlap with other east African taxa. L. flavopunctatus THOMAS 1888 turned out to be typical for Ethiopia and L zaphiri THOMAS 1906 appears to be synonymous with it. The taxa brunneus THOMAS 1906, brevicaudus OSGOOD 1936, chrysopus OSGOOD 1936 and melanonyx PETTER 1972 are craniometrically well differentiated from *flavopunctatus*. However, there is a closer craniometrical similarity between the latter and brevicaudus. All these Ethiopian taxa are considered to be differentiated at the species level, but the taxon simensis OSGOOD 1936 is possibly synonymous with brunneus. None of these taxa proved to belong to the L. sikapusi species complex; L. major THOMAS & WROUGHTON 1907 is not represented in the Ethiopian Lophuromys populations we analysed.

The craniometrical study of the type skulls from non - Ethiopian origin shows that *laticeps* THOMAS & WROUGTON 1907, *rita* DOLLMAN 1910, *margarettae* HELLER 1912 and *cinereus* DIETERLEN *et al.* 1974 are probably synonyms with *aquilus* TRUE 1892. However, the fact that each of the type specimens of *zena* DOLLMAN 1909, *rubecula* DOLLMAN 1909, *eisentrauti* DIETERLEN 1978 and *dieterleni* VERHEYEN *et al.* 

1)

1997 plots within the OTU 's 38+39 representing Ethiopian *chrysopus* suggests that this caryotypically well defined species has a much wider distribution than believed so far.

Graph. 10 represents a phenetic tree incorporating the OTU's of the known and our newly described east African taxa and comparing these with a well-defined outgroup from Ethiopia (OTU 37: *brevicaudus*). This graph is an example of a multitude of different phenetic trees that can be obtained through changing or adding to the selection of east African OTU's; however, all the resulting trees are always fundamentally similar.

In the first place we note that the Ethiopian *L. brevicaudus* (OTU 37) is, as expected, different and clearly separated from all the east African taxa. Next, it is apparent that the east African populations of the "speckled" *Lophuromys* regroup in four taxonomical and geographical entities:

- 1° *dudui*: represents a taxon that inhabits the region of the rainforest situated between the right bank of the Congo river and the western foothills of the rift mountains (OTU's 4 and 5);
- 2° zena: groups the mountain populations of the Aberdare Range and Mt Kenya (OTU's 21 and 22);

3° verhageni: represents the population that lives on Mount Meru

4° aquilus: contains the rest of the OTU's, and covers the remainder of the known geographical range of the "speckled" Lophuromys.

We especially draw attention to the fact that in Kenya the "speckled" *Lophuromys* from the lower regions around Aberdare Range and Mt Kenya (OTU's 20 and 22.1) are allocated to "*aquilus*" whereas the specimens, caught higher up the flanks of both mountains (OTU's 21 and 22), are identified as belonging to *zena*. From graph 5.1 we know that *zena* is morphometrically most similar to Ethiopian *brunneus* (OTU 36) and forms, be it on a higher level of differentiation, a clade with Ethiopian *chrysopus* (OTU's 38+39).

It is obvious that we need more information, preferably chromosomal and genetical, before we can settle whether *brunneus* THOMAS 1906 has taxonomical priority over *zena* DOLLMAN 1909 and what the taxonomic position of the east African *rubecula* DOLLMAN 1909 is in connection with Ethiopian *chrysopus* OSGOOD 1936.

As to our newly described taxon, *dudui* is morphometrically and genetically sufficiently separated from the other non -Ethiopian OTU's, that it should be differentiated at the species level. This conclusion is supported by its rather important geographical range covering the rainforest from the right bank of the Congo river to the western foothills of the rift mountains and reaching to the north as far as Garamba and to the south as far as the Maniema region.

Also L. verhageni (OTU 23: Mt Meru) is craniometrically well defined, but is manifestly closer to aquilus than to zena and dudui. Moreover, it appears to have reached a genetical level of differentiation similar to levels observed among other rodent species (SMITH & PATTON, 1991). All the other formerly recognized east African taxa such as laticeps, rita, margarettae, cinereus are to be considered conspecific with aquilus. Consequently, we have refrained to describe and name the branch grouping the populations of Mt Hanang (OTU 25) and Ngorongoro Rim (OTU 24), because these OTU's never form a well defined higher level grouping such as we found for *dudui* and *verhageni*.

Finally, graph.5.1 shows clearly that all the east African "speckled" *Lophuromys* form together with the Ethiopian *brunneus* and *chrysopus* what we call the "*aquilus*" species group, contrasting with the rest of the Ethiopian species *melanonyx, brevicaudus* and *flavopunctatus*. We will develop this further into a forthcoming publication concerning the speckled *Lophuromys* of the Mount Ruwenzori.

Our study complements the traditional morphological approach with a genetic tool to characterize two new species and several OTU's. The partial mitochondrial cyt b sequences used here do not provide direct evidence to support our view that the described species are biologically valid. However, the fact that the mitochondrial cyt b fragment of our new species is significantly different when compared to sequences of related taxa, is suggestive to this effect.

It is documented that mitochondrial cyt b evolves at a similar rate in a wide array of vertebrates (JOHNS & AVISE, 1998). The observed amount of sequence divergence among the Lophuromys species and populations studied here is well within the range observed for other mammal species, including some rodents (SMITH & PATTON, 1991). Indeed, it has been reported that the cyt b sequence divergence between mammal species ranges between 0-0.32 (p distances), and for sister taxa, these values range between 0-0.2 with a mode at about 0.035 for mammals (JOHNS & AVISE, 1998). Interestingly our results appear to agree with findings suggesting that cyt b sequence divergences among South American Akodontine rodent species are in the same range or higher (0.03 > p > 0.21, SMITH & PATTON, 1991). However, as correctly pointed out (FERGUSON, 2002) genetic distances as such do not allow us to distinguish between species-level and population-level differences. An important problem is that it is easy to imagine how populations may have been geographically isolated for long periods of time, while they presumably constitute a single species (AVISE et al., 1998). Although the evidence provided by our cyt b sequences supports that the investigated taxa and OTU's have experienced a long-term genetic isolation, the inclusion of genetic distances to the presented craniometrical evidence does not allow us to make absolute statements whether or not a population has attained the species status (FERGUSON, 2002).

Nevertheless, our observation that the investigated OTU's and taxa differ in fixed genetic characters that can be detected by their nucleotide sequences and morphological differences does provide some support about the taxonomic status of the investigated taxa. All taxa for which more than a single specimen was assayed share character states (synapomorphies), a situation that appears to be compatible with the phylogenetic species concept (CRACRAFT, 1983). The presence, and relative stability of the synapomorphies not only implies genetic differentiation, but suggests a lack of gene flow between these taxa.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We express our gratitude to the curators of the mammal collections of the museums who gave us the opportunity to

study the type-specimens and collections in their care. Many colleagues have been very helpful, understanding and very patient with us. We particularly wish to thank M. CARLETON (Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Washington DC, USA), C. DENYS and M. TRANIER (Muséum d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France), F. DIETERLEN (Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde, Stuttgart, Germany), P. JENKINS (British Museum of Natural History, London, U.K.), S. MCLAREN (Carnegie Museum of natural History, Pittsburgh, USA), G. MUSSER (American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA), B. PATTERSON and J. KERBIS (Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, USA), W. VAN NEER (Koninklijk Museum voor Midden Afrika, Tervuren, Belgium). We also acknowledge the work of T. DILLEN in the molecular laboratory of the Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences. Finally our gratitude also goes to our colleagues Prof.dr. H. LEIRS and Prof.dr. R.VERHAGEN for their technical and administrative assistance and support to finalize this work. This study was funded by FWO-V project 2.0004.91 and by a DWTC project entitled "'Evaluating the effect of Pleistocene climate changes on speciation patterns in selected African vertebrates".

#### REFERENCES

AVISE, J.C. WALKER, D. & JOHNS, G.C., 1998. Speciation durations and Pleistocene effects on vertebrate phylogeography. *Proceedings of the royal Society of London.* B, 265: 1707-1712

BIBB, M.J., VAN ETTEN, R.A., WRIGHT, C.T., WALBERG, M.W. & CLAYTON, D. A., 1981. Sequence and gene organization of mouse mitochondrial DNA. *Cell*, 26: 167-180.

CRACRAFT, J., 1983. Species concepts and speciation analysis. Current Ornithology, 1: 159-187.

DE WINTON, W.E., 1896. On a New Rodent of the Genus Lophuromys from British East Africa. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 27: 607-608.

DIETERLEN, F., 1976. Die afrikanische Muridengattung Lophuromys Peters, 1874. Vergleiche an Hand neuer Daten zur Morphologie, Oekologie und Biologie. Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde, 285: 96 pp.

DIETERLEN, F., 1978. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Gattung Lophuromys in Kamerun und Gabun (Muridae, Rodentia). Bonner zoologische Beiträge, 4 (29): 287-299.

DIETERLEN, F., 1987. Neue Erkenntnisse über afrikanische Bürstenhaarmäuse, Gattung *Lophuromys* (Muridae, Rodentia). *Bonner zoologische Beiträge*, 38 (3): 183-194.

DIETERLEN, F. & GELMROTH, K.G., 1974. Eine weitere Bürstenhaarmaus aus dem Kivugebiet: *Lophuromys cinereus*, spec.nov. (Muridae, Rodentia). *Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde*, 39 (6): 337-342.

DOLLMAN, G., 1909. New Mammals from British East Africa. Annals and Magazine of natural History, London, Ser.8, 4: 549-553.

DOLLMAN, G., 1910. On a collection of Mammals made by Mr. S.A. Neave during his Expedition in northern Rhodesia. *Annals and Magazine of natural History*, Ser.8, 5: 173-181.

FERGUSON, J.W.H. 2002. On the use of genetic divergence for identifying species. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 75: 509-516 HALL, B.P. & MOREAU, R.E., 1970. An Atlas of Speciation in African Passerine Birds. Trustees of the British Museum (Natural History), London.

HELLER, E., 1912. New Rodents from British East Africa. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections*, Washington D.C., 59 (16): 1-20.

JOHNS, G.C. & AVISE J.C., 1998. A comparative summary of genetic distances in the vertebrates from the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 15: 1481-1490.

KOCHER, T.D., THOMAS, W.K., MEYER, A., EDWARDS, S.V., PÄÄBO, S., VILLABLANCA, F.X & WILSON, A.C., 1989. Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA evolution in animals : Amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA, 86 : 6196-6200.

KUMAR S., TAMURA, K., JAKOBSEN, I.B. & NEI, M., 2001. MEGA2: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA.

LAVRENCHENKO, L.A., VERHEYEN, W.N. & HULSELMANS, J., 1998. Systematic and distributional notes on the *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* Thomas 1888 species-complex in Ethiopia (Muridae, Rodentia). Bulletin van het Koninklijk Belgisch Instituut voor Natuurwetenschappen, Biologie, 68: 199-214.

MUSSER, G.G. & CARLETON, M.D., 1993. Family Muridae. in: WILSON, D.E. & REEDER, D.A.M. (eds.), Mammal species of the World. 2nd ed. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C.: 501-756.

OSGOOD, W.H., 1936. New and imperfectly known small mammals from Africa. *Field Museum of natural History, Zool. Ser.*, 20 (21): 217-256.

PETTER, F., 1972. Deux rongeurs nouveaux d'Ethiopie: *Stenocephalemys griseicauda* sp.nov. et *Lophuromys melanonyx* sp.nov. *Mammalia*, 36: 171-181.

SAMBROOK, J., FRITSCH, E.F. & MANIATIS, T., 1989. *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual*, Second Edition. Chapter 14: In vitro amplification of DNA by the polymerase chain reaction, pp. 14.1-14.35. Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press.

SNEATH, P.H.A. & SOKAL, R.R., 1973. Numerical Taxonomy. W.H.Freeman & Co, San Francisco.

SMITH, M.F. & PATTON, J.L., 1991. Variation in Mitochondrial cytochrome b sequences in natural populations of South American Akodontine Rodents (Muridae, Sigmodontinae). *Molecular Biol*ogy and Evolution, 8: 85-103.

SOKAL, R.R. & ROHLF, F.J., 1969. Biometry. Freeman & Co, New York, USA, 1st ed.

StatSoft, Inc., 1998 . Statistica for Windows 5.5., Tulsa, OK.

THOMAS, O., 1888. On a Collection of Mammals Obtained by Emin Pasha in Equatorial Africa and Presented by Him to the Natural History Museum. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*,1888: 3-17.

THOMAS, O., 1906. New Mammals Collected in North-East Africa by Mr.Zaphiro and Presented to the British Museum by W.N.Mc Millan Esq. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, Ser.7, 18: 300-306.

THOMAS, O. & WROUGHTON, R.C., 1907. New Mammals from Lake Chad and the Congo Mostly from the Collections made during the Alexander-Gosling Expedition. *Annals and Magazine of natural History*, Ser.7, 19: 370-387.

TOSCHI, A., 1946. A New Rat from Abyssinia. Journal of the East African natural History Society, 19: 101-102.

TOSCHI, A., 1963. Note su alcuni Roditori raccolti in Ethiopia. *Ricerche di Zoologia Applicata alla Caccia* (Supplement), 2(12): 413-425.

TRUE, F.W., 1892. An Annotated Catalogue of the Mammals Collected by Dr. W.L.Abbott in the Kilimanjaro Region, East Africa. *Proceedings of the U.S. national Museum*, 15: 445-480.

VERHEYEN, W.N., COLYN, M. & HULSELMANS, J., 1996. Re-evaluation of the *Lophuromys nudicaudatus* Heller 1911 species complex, with a description of a new species from Zaire (Muridae, Rodentia). *Bulletin van het Koninklijk Belgisch Instituut voor Natuurwetenschappen, Biologie*, 66: 241-273.

VERHEYEN, W.N., DIERCKX, T. & HULSELMANS, J., 2000. The brush-furred rats of Angola and southern Congo: Description of a new taxon of the *Lophuromys sikapusi* species complex. *Bulletin van het Koninklijk Belgisch Instituut voor Natuurwetenschappen, Biologie*, 70: 253-267.

VERHEYEN, W.N., HULSELMANS, J., COLYN, M. & HÜTTERER, R., 1997. Systematics and zoogeography of the small mammal fauna of Cameroun: description of two new *Lophuromys* (Rodentia, Muridae) endemic to Mount Cameroun and Mount Oku. *Bulletin* van het Koninklijk Belgische Instituut voor Natuurwetenschappen, Biologie, 67: 163-186. WALSH, P.S., METZGER, D.A. & HIGUCHI, R., 1991. Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR based typing from forensic material. *Biotechniques*, 10: 506-513.

Walter VERHEYEN Jan L.J. HULSELMANS Theo DIERICKX Universiteit Antwerpen (RUCA) Departement Biologie Onderzoeksgroep Evolutionaire Biologie Groenenborgerlaan 171 B-2020 Antwerpen Belgium

Erik VERHEYEN Koninklijk Belgisch Instituut voor Matuurwetenschappen Vautierstraat 29 B-1000 Brussel Belgium

Types	Mus.	reg.nr	Country	Locality	Co-ordinates	Alt.±	S	Α	Cr	Ρ
flavopunctatus	BMNH	60.5.4.101	Ethiopia	Shoa, prob. Ankober ± 100 mi NE of Addis Ababa	10.05N-39.45E	3000		2	x	x
zaphiri	BMNH	6.11.1.41	Ethiopia	Bodeli-Walamo, E.of upper Omo river	07.30N-38.00E	2500	Μ	2	x	x
brunneus	BMNH	6.11.1.42	Ethiopia	Manno-Jimma	07.39N-36.47E	2000	M	3	x	x
simensis	FMNH	28.550	Ethiopia	Mt Geech-Ras Dachan Mt- Simien Mts	13.14N-38.40E	3000	М	2	x	x
brevicaudus	FMNH	28.573	Ethiopia	Mt Albasso-Chilalo Mts	07.55N-39.27E	3300	F	2	х	х
chrysopus	FMNH	28.592	Ethiopia	Allata-Sidamo	06.40N-38.35E	2500	-	1	x	х
melanonyx	MHNP	1972.250	Ethiopia	Dinshu-Bale Mt	07.07N-39.45E	3200	М	2	x	x
zena	BMNH	6.7.8.27	Kenya	Aberdare Range (East side of - near Nyeri)	00.26S-36.56E	2500	М	3	x	x
rubecula	BMNH	10.4.1.165	Kenya	Elgonyi (Mt Elgon)	00.58N-34.40E	2300	Μ	2	х	х
margarettae	USNM	181.793	Kenya	Mt Gargues	00.58N-37.20E	2000	М	3	x	x
aquilus	USNM	34.723	Tanzania	Mt Kilimanjaro	03.02S-37.20E	2650	М	2	x	x
major	BMNH	7.7.8.177	Congo	Bwanda – Ubangi river (?=Bwendi)	?04.01N-06.43E	750?	-	3	X	x
laticeps	BMNH	7.6.14.35	Congo	Lake Kivu	01.35S-28.30E	1450	F	3	X	х
rita	BMNH	9.1.3.36	Congo	Lufupa river – Katanga	11.12S-25.17E	1350	Μ	3	х	х
cinereus	SMNS	18.046	Congo	Mukaba marsh – P.N.Kahuzi-Biega	02.15S-28.41E	2200	F	1	x	x
eisentrauti dieterleni	Makb ZFMK	74.436 69.289	Cameroun Cameroun	Mt Lefo near Bamenda Mt Oku	05.55N-10.09E 06.12N-10.32E	2550 2050	M M	2 2	x x	x x

**APPENDIX 1** 

Types	Mus.	reg.nr	Country	Description
flavopunctatus	BMNH	60.5.4.101	Ethiopia	Lophuromys flavopunctatus THOMAS 1888 and THOMAS 1902 (p.314)
zaphiri	BMNH	6.11.1.41	Ethiopia	Lophuromys zaphiri THOMAS 1906 (p.304)
brunneus	BMNH	6.11.1.42	Ethiopia	L. flavopunctatus brunneus THOMAS 1906 (p.306)
simensis	FMNH	28.550	Ethiopia	L. flavopunctatus simensis OSGOOD 1936 (p.238)
brevicaudus	FMNH	28.573	Ethiopia	L.brevicaudus OSGOOD 1936 (p.241)
chrysopus	FMNH	28.592	Ethiopia	L.aquilus chrysopus OSGOOD 1936 (p.242)
melanonyx	MHNP	1972.250	Ethiopia	L.melanonyx PETTER 1972
zena	BMNH	6.7.8.27	Kenya	<i>L.aquilus zena</i> DOLLMAN 1909 (p.550)
rubecula	BMNH	10.4.1.165	Kenya	<i>L. rubecula</i> DOLLMAN 1909 (p.551)
margarettae	USNM	181.793	Kenya	<i>L.aquilus margarettae</i> HELLER 1912
aquilus	USNM	34.723	Tanzania	L.aquilus TRUE 1892
major laticeps	BMNH BMNH	7.7.8.177 7.6.14.35	Congo Congo	L.major THOMAS & WROUGHTON 1907 (p.382) L.aquilus laticeps THOMAS & WROUGHTON 1907 (p.383)
rita	BMNH	9.1.3.36	Congo	<i>L.rita</i> DOLLMAN 1910
cinereus	SMNS	18.046	Congo	<i>L.cinereus</i> DIETERLEN et al. 1974
eisentrauti	MAKB	74.436	Cameroun	<i>L.sikapusi eisentrauti</i> DIETERLEN 1978
dieterleni	ZFMK	69.289	Cameroun	<i>L. dieterleni</i> VERHEYEN et al. 1997

**Appendix 1.1.**: Listing of data concerning the description of the types of the *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* – species group included in this study. For the definition of the acronyms of the institutions and museums and the age classes we refer to VERHEYEN et al. 1996.

(S = sex; A = age; Cr = cranium; P = skin; F = female; M = male).

The Lophuromys flavopunctatus Thomas 1888 s.l. species complex: a craniometric study

							_							
Types	Mus.	reg.nr	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12
flavopunctatus	BMNH	60.5.4.101				12,25	6,75	8,00	9,65	5,50	15,15	3,25	5,25	7,15
zaphiri	BMNH	6.11.1.41	30,15	28,65	24,45	13,45	6,45	7,55	9,20	5,65		3,05	5,75	7,05
brunneus	BMNH	6.11.1.42				13,05	6,70	8,10	9,75	6,35			5,65	
simensis	FMNH	28.550	29,40	28,10	24,00	12,45	6,70	7,95	9,30	5,95	14,95	2,90	5,15	6,65
brevicaudus	FMNH	28.573	29,70	28,35	24,20	12,75	6,10	7,55	9,65	5,35	13,75	2,80	5,35	-6,20
chrysopus	FMNH	28.592	29,60	27,45	23,80	11,95	6,50	7,55	8,45	6,10	14,40	3,20	4,80	6,60
melanonyx	MHNP	1972.250		32,15	28,15	14,55	7,15	8,85	10,60	5,65	16,40	3,15	6,30	7,40
7003	BMNH	67827	20 80	28 55	24 35	12 35	6.40	7 45	8 95	6.00	15 10	3.05	5 35	6 75
rubecula	BMNH	10 4 1 165	29,00	20,00	27,00	11 45	5,65	7 25	8.45	5,65	13 35	3 1 5	4 85	6.45
margarettae		181 703	20,20	28.40	24,35	12 75	6 75	8.05	0,40 Q 30	5,00	14 25	2.85	5 15	6,80
inargurettue	CONT	101.755	23,70	20,40	24,00	12,10	0,70	0,00	0,00	0,70	14,20	2,00	0,10	0,00
aquilus	USNH	34.723				12,30	6,40	7,85	9,10	5,90	14,10	3,15	4,70	6,50
major	BMNH	7.7.8.177				14,30	7,65	8,95	10,60	7,05		3,70	5,60	7,85
laticeps	BMNH	7.6.14.35	29,05	27,30	23,30	11,85	5,80	7,10	8,60	6,20	15,10	3,15	5,15	6,85
rita	BMNH	9.1.3.36	29,45	28,40	24,05	12,35	6,15	7,70	9,15	6,15		3,25	5,35	7,00
cinereus	SMNS	18.046				11,75	6,30	7,15	8,40	5,80		3,05	4,85	6,45
eisentrauti	MAKB	74.436	26,80	24,25	20,65	10,90	5,45	6,40	7,60	6,30	13,30	3,15	4,60	6,35
dieterleni	ZFMK	69.289	30,90	29,40	24,80	12,60	6,40	7,85	9,30	6,85	16,00	3,20	4,70	6,85

Types	Mus.	reg.nr	M13	M14	M15	M16	M17	M18	M19	M20	M21	M22	M23	M24
flavonunctatus	BMNH	60 5 4 101	1 90	2 95	2 90	13 15	4 85	1 65		12 45	1 30	6 5 5	4 85	
zanhiri	DMANILI	6 1 1 1 11	1,00	2,00	2,00	12.05	5 10	1,00	e ee	12,40	1,00	0,00 6 4E	5.00	
Zaprini	DIVINI	0.11.1.41	1,95	3,45	3,15	12,05	5,10	1,55	0,00	12,00	1,20	0,45	5,20	
brunneus	BWNH	6.11.1.42	2,00	3,35	2,95	11,95	4,95				1,15	6,45	4,50	9,65
simensis	FMNH	28.550	1,75	3,25	2,85	11,95	4,70		5,30	12,35	1,30	6,35	4,50	8,60
brevicaudus	FMNH	28.573	1,80	3,15	2,55	11,95	4,50		5,90	12,15	1,25	6,00	4,10	8,75
chrysopus	FMNH	28.592	1,70	3,05	2,65	11,35	4,60		5,55	12,70	1,25	6,25	4,20	8,50
melanonyx	MHNP	1972.250	2,10	3,50	2,90		5,65	1,60	5,90	13,00	1,25	6,70	5,35	9,80
zena	BMNH	6.7.8.27	1,70	2,70	2,65	12,25	4,70	1,55	6,95	12,80	1,55	6,10	5,00	8,15
rubecula	BMNH	10.4.1.165	1,65	2,95	2,60	11,15	4,20	1,45	7,15	12,25	1,25	5,60	4,55	
margarettae	USNH	181.793	1,75	2,85	2,80	12,15	4,90		5,15	12,15	1,20	6,05	4,90	8,90
aquilus	USNH	34.723	1,65	2,75	2,80	12,30	4,35		5,45	12,85	1,35	6,15	4,95	
major	BMNH	7.7.8.177	2,00	3,05	3,45	14,65	5,10	1,90		12,85	1,45	7,25		
laticeps	BMNH	7.6.14.35	1,70	2,90	2,95	11,15	4,55	1,50	6,45	13,30	1,35	6,25	5,05	8,75
rita	BMNH	9.1.3.36	1,80	2,85	2,60	11,80	4,65	1,95	7,00	13,05	1,20	6,15	5,05	
cinereus	SMNS	18.046	1,70	2,50	2,70	10,65	4,30	1,15			1,35	6,20	4,65	7,75
eisentrauti	MAKB	74.436	1,60	2,45	2,65	10,40	4,20	1,55	4,95	12,15	1,30	5,90	4,70	7,45
dieterleni	ZFMK	69.289	1,75	3,45	2,85	12,75	4,45	1,05	5,00	13,25	1,45	6,70	5,35	8,95

Appendix 1.2. : Listing of the craniometrical data of the type specimens of the *Lophuromys flavopunctatus* species group, as measured by us.

MUS	NUMB	LOCAL	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10
RUCA	D1739	MASAKO	29.20	27.80	23.85	12.30	6.25	8.00	9.45	5.70	14.90	3.50
DUGA	D.1.170			07.05	00 70	11.00	5.00	7 70	0.05			0.00
RUCA	D1170	MASAKO	28.75	27.35	23.70	11.80	5.90	1.70	9.05	5.55	42.40	3.20
RUCA	D1290	MASAKU	27.60	25.85	21.70	11.00	5.75	6.80	8.25	5.65	13.10	2.80
RUCA	D1315	MASAKO	28.70	27.85	23.40	11.90	6.30	7.80	9.25	6.00	14.75	3.30
RUCA	D1332	MASAKO	27.55	25.85	21.55	11.25	6.15	7.05	8.30	5.55	13.30	3.00
RUCA	D1649	MASAKO	28.10	26.90	22.90	11.80	5.65	7.40	8.75	5.20	14.00	3.05
RUCA	D1734	MASAKO	28.00	26.40	22.25	11.00	5.85	7.20	8.40	5.65	13.80	3.10
RUCA	D1771	MASAKO	27.05	25.85	21.80	10.85	5.55	7.05	8.35	5.75	13.55	3.00
RUCA	D1902	MASAKO	28.80	27.20	23.00	11.45	6.20	7.40	8.80	5.45	14.25	3.05
RUCA	D2322	MASAKO	28.25	27.40	23.15	11.40	5.80	7.45	9.10	5.85	13.95	3.30
RUCA	D2974	MASAKO	29.80	28.40	23.90	12.25	6.60	8.00	9.60	5.60	14.15	3.20

NUMB	M11	M12	M13	M14	M15	M16	M17	M18	M19	M20	M21	M22	M23	M24
D1739	4.35	6.90	1.60	2.70	2.70	11.70	4.20	1.50	4.80	12.80	1.10	6.00	4.80	7.85
									41.5					
D1170	4.50	6.50	1.60	2.20	2.65	11.40	4.05	1.50		12.00	0.90	6.15	4.65	
D1290	4.70	6.45	1.70	2.60	2.60	10.55	4.45	1.25		12.15	0.90	5.70	4.60	
D1315	4.45	6.60	1.60	2.40	2.90	11.45	4.05	1.50	4.95	12.85	1.20	6.15	4.85	7.70
D1332	4.50	6.50	1.70	2.25	2.40	10.90	4.25	1.50	4.70	12.25	1.00	5.65	4.45	
D1649	4.30	6.40	1.60	2.25	2.50	11.00	4.15	1.20	4.90	12.55	1.10	5.50	4.70	7.85
D1734	4.55	6.55	1.60	2.40	2.70	11.10	4.05	1.40	4.50	12.40	1.05	5.80	4.70	7.50
D1771	4.35	6.35	1.65	2.65	2.50	9.95	4.00	1.50	4.65	12.25	0.95	5.50	4.45	7.25
D1902	4.75	6.45	1.60	2.50	2.65	11.60	4.30	1.35	4.50	12.70	0.95	5.70	4.55	7.95
D2322	4.50	6.85	1.65	2.65	2.50	11.20	4.15	1.25	4.80	12.25	1.10	6.00	4.75	7.90
D2974	4.65	6.60	1.65	2.60	2.55	12.50		1.50	4.65	12.40	1.15	6.00	4.70	

Appendix 1.3. : Listing of the craniometrical data of the type and paratypes of Lophuromys dudui n.sp.

The Lophuromys flavopunctatus Thomas 1888 s.l. species complex: a craniometric study

MUS	NUMB	LOCAL	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10
KMMA	96-037-M-3848	Mt.MERU	29.65	28.40	23.90	12.40	5.60	7.65	9.10	5.90	14.35	3.10
KMMA	96-037-M-3832	Mt.MERU	30.45	29.25	24.95	12.80	6.00	8.25	9.35	6.20	14.50	3.00
KMMA	96-037-M-3833	Mt.MERU	30.55	29.65	25.05	13.00	5.85	8.05	9.50	6.15	15.35	3.35
КММА	96-037-M-3834	Mt.MERU	28.75	27.50	23.20	12.00	5.60	7.50	8.85	5.75	13.70	2.60
KMMA	96-037-M-3835	Mt.MERU	30.80	29.50	25.30	13.00	5.45	7.80	9 35	6.10	15.00	3.05
KMMA	96-037-M-3836	Mt.MERU	29.70	28.20	24.00	12.60	5.50	7.50	8.90	6.15	14.00	2.75
KMMA	96-037-M-3837	Mt.MERU	27.95	26.15	22.05	11.30	5.25	6.75	8.00	5.70	13.50	2.60
KMMA	96-037-M-3838	Mt.MERU	28.55	26.65	22.50	11.60	5.35	7.10	8.45	5.75	13.55	3.00
KMMA	96-037-M-3839	Mt.MERU	29.75	28.00	23.90	12.15	5.45	7.15	8.55	6.00	14.25	2.85
KMMA	96-037-M-3840	Mt.MERU	29.40	28.00	23.90	12.30	5.45	7.40	8.85	6.20	13.95	2.70
KMMA	96-037-M-3841	Mt.MERU	29.75	28.35	24.10	12.45	5.70	7.50	8.85	5.95	14.35	2.75
KMMA	96-037-M-3842	Mt.MERU	30.50	29.45	25.05	13.00	6.25	7.85	9.30	5.95	15.00	3.20
KMMA	96-037-M-3843	Mt.MERU	30.15	29.35	25.20	12.85	5.65	7.95	9.70	6.40	15.05	3.25
КММА	96-037-M-3844	Mt.MERU	31.10	29.85	25.40	12.90	6.00	8.05	9.45	5.90	14.50	2.95
KMMA	96-037-M-3845	Mt.MERU	29.65	28.80	24.30	12.50	5.30	7.60	9.15	6.15	14.85	3.05
KMMA	96-037-M-3846	Mt.MERU	28.95	27.55	23.55	11.85	5.65	7.15	8.40	6.00	14.00	2.85
KMMA	96-037-M-3847	Mt.MERU	29.50	27.70	23.60	12.05	5.75	7.25	8.45	5.80	13.80	2.85
KMMA	96-037-M-3849	Mt.MERU	30.15	28.75	24.50	12.50	5.80	7.75	8.75	5.80		2.95
KMMA	96-037-M-3850	Mt.MERU		30.10	25.90	12.90	6.25	8.10	9.90	5.90	15.00	3.00
KMMA	96-037-M-3851	Mt.MERU	28.95	26.90	22.90	12.00	5.25	6.90	8.25	6.00	14.00	2.65
KMMA	96-037-M-3852	Mt.MERU	29.50	28.20	24.05	12.25	5.50	7.70	8.90	5.80	14.75	2.80
KMMA	96-037-M-3853	Mt.MERU	31.30	30.10	25.70	12.70	5.90	8.40	9.75	5.75	15.00	3.05
KMMA	96-037-M-3856	Mt.MERU	31.10	29.75	25.75	13.20	6.15	8.20	9.45	5.75	14.85	3.15

NUMB	M11	M12	M13	M14	M15	M16	M17	M18	M19	M20	M21	M22	M23	M24
96-037-M-3848	5.35	6.95	1.80	2.85	2.90	11.85	4.60	1.20	5.30	12.55	1.20	6.20	5.00	8.85
96-037-M-3832	4.95	7.00	1.85	2.80	2.80	12.40	4.55	1.35	5.20	12.80	1.20	6.65	5.00	8.95
96-037-M-3833	5.40	7.00	1.70	2.90	2.90	12.50	4.50	1.20	5.65	13.00	1.40	6.50	5.15	8.75
96-037-M-3834	4.85	6.40	1.65	2.40	2.50	11.25	4.40	1.20	5.25	12.40	1.15	5.90	4.85	8.15
96-037-M-3835	5.10	7.15	1.85	2.70	3.05	12.20	4.75	1.30	5.90	12.85	1.30	6.60	5.05	8.85
96-037-M-3836	5.10	6.60	1.70	2.75	2.90	12.05	4.70	1.30	5.25	12.55	1.25	6.20	4.70	
96-037-M-3837	5.30	6.60	1.80	2.40	2.50	10.65	4.55	1.60	5.15	12.70	1.10	5.50	4.65	7.85
96-037-M-3838	5.00	6.50	1.70	2.50	2.50	11.10	4.55	1.35	5.10	12.50	1.15	5.80	4.60	7.95
96-037-M-3839	5.35	6.75	1.80	2.70	2.95	12.00	4.70	1.35	5.30	12.80	1.30	6.00	4.85	8.65
96-037-M-3840	5.00	6.60	1.75	2.55	2.90	11.65	4.50	1.25	5.40	12.75	1.20	6.15	4.85	
96-037-M-3841	5.15	6.85	1.95	2.60	2.90	11.50	4.70	1.40	5.40	12.60	1.20	6.20	5.00	9.00
96-037-M-3842	5.20	7.10	1.75	2.90	2.80	11.95	4.35	1.20	5.10	12.70	1.35	6.20	4.85	9.15
96-037-M-3843	5.25	7.20	1.90	2.80	2.85	11.70	4.75	1.40	5.40	12.70	1.40	6.35	5.20	9.05
96-037-M-3844	5.00	6.80	1.75	2.90	2.80	12.40	4.25	1.40	5.45	12.60	1.30	6.25	4.95	8.75
96-037-M-3845	5.55	7.20	1.85	2.65	2.60	11.50	4.60	1.40	5.10	12.60	1.25	6.10	4.90	9.05
96-037-M-3846	5.50	6.70	1.75	2.60	2.70	11.30	4.70	1.30	5.25	12.80	1.20	6.20	5.00	8.20
96-037-M-3847	4.95	6.60	1.70	2.70	2.50	11.70	4.40	1.55	5.30	12.50	1.20	6.00	5.00	8.40
96-037-M-3849	5.15	6.50	1.65	2.60	2.50	12.50	4.35	1.30	5.20	12.65	1.35	6.30	4.55	9.00
96-037-M-3850	5.10	7.00	1.85	2.85	2.75		4.65	1.35	5.75	12.90	1.30	6.45	5.15	9.25
96-037-M-3851	5.20	6.55	1.80	2.50	2.65	11.10	4.65	1.20	5.20	13.05	1.25	5.85	4.85	
96-037-M-3852	4.90	6.70	1.70	2.65	2.65	11.70	4.50	1.30	5.45	12.85	1.20	6.25	5.05	8.20
96-037-M-3853	5.05	6.95	1.80	2.85	2.70	12.85	4.50	1.25	5.70	12.60	1.30	6.75	5.30	9.35
96-037-M-3856	5.35	7.05	1.75	2.75	2.80	12.50	4.65	1.40	5.10	12.50	1.40	6.45	4.85	8.85

**Appendix 1.4.** : Listing of the craniometrical data of the type and paratypes of *Lophuromys verhageni* n.sp.

#### APPENDIX 2 : LISTING OF THE SPECIMENS, GROUPED PER OPERATIONAL TAXONOMICAL UNIT (OTU)

. .

...

OTU 1 "Congo NW." M(9), F(9); cl1(3), cl2(10), cl3(4), cl4(1)

TANDALA,	USNM,	463590, 463603, 537879,
YALOSEMBA,	CNHM,	86734, 86735,
	USNM,	463591-599, 537874-877,

OTU 2 "Congo NE." M(3), F(8), sex?(6); cl1(3), cl2(12), cl3(2)

BAFWASENDE,	FMNH	E129
BLUKWA,	KMMA	9611, 9612, 12833, 12834
BUTA,	KMMA,	8923,
DJUGU,	KMMA,	10719,
GARAMBA,	KMMA,	78.39.M.395,
KIFUKU,	KMMA,	9099, 9100, 9183,
KOTELI,	KMMA,	8878, 8881, 8885, 8916,
MEDJE,	KMMA,	4519,
PANGA,	KMMA,	9107, 9144, 9145,

#### OTU 3 "Kisangani Left Bank" M(18), F(17), sex?(1); cl1(6), cl2(18), cl3(12)

KISANGANI 5A, KISANGANI 5B,	RUCA, RUCA,	Z0585, Z0586 Z0696, Z0697, Z0699, Z0808, Z0809, Z0811, Z2573, Z2575, Z2677, Z2680, Z2681, Z2683, Z2687-92, Z2694, Z2746-50, Z6617-18, Z6768, Z8756, Z8759, Z9262, Z9263, Z9268, Z9271, Z9274
--------------------------------	----------------	--

#### OTU 4 "Kisangani Right Bank" M(72), F(66), sex?(6); cl1(24), cl2(67), cl3(42), cl4(11)

RUCA, Z0208, Z8990, Z8992,Z9534, Z9535, Z9537, RUCA, Z1004-05, Z2079, Z2085, Z2087-88, Z2092-93, Z2095, Z2097-98, **KISANGANI 1A. KISANGANI 2A**, Z1004-05, Z2079, Z2087, RUCA, Z10160, Z10162, Z2239, Z2240-42, Z5879, Z5882, Z7486, Z7980, KISANGANI 2B, Z7984-85 RUCA, Z0375, Z0377-79, Z0382, Z0384-86, Z0391, Z2546, Z2556, Z2558, Z2563-64, Z2634, Z2711, Z2761, Z5377, Z5384, Z5386, Z5389, KISANGANI 3A. Z5650, Z5656, Z5660, Z8446-47, Z8454, RUCA, Z1839, Z1840, Z7212-13, Z7803, Z9945-47, Z9950, Z9952-54, KISANGANI 3B, Z9956, Z9960, KISANGANI 3C, RUCA, Z0969, Z0971, Z0973, Z0974, RUCA, Z10729, Z10733, Z10737, Z10746, Z1318-20, Z1548, Z3146, **KISANGANI 4A**, Z6162-65, Z6243, Z6246-47, Z7012, Z7016, Z7642, Z7644, Z7649, Z7654, Z9394, Z9400, RUCA, D1170, D1290, D1315, D1332, D1649, D1734, D1739, D1771, D1902, D2322, D2974, 6669, MASAKO. KBIN, 7014, 7015, YANGAMBI,

OTU 5 "Irangi"

M(20), F(26), sex?(1); cl1(5), cl2(22), cl3(15), cl4(5)

IRANGI, SMNS, 12980-83, 12985-86, 12991-92, 12999, 13002-04, 13008, 13011, 13016, 13019-20, 13023, 13028-30, 13033, 13035, 13037-39, 13041, 13043, 13045-46, 13048, 13493, 18157, 18159-60, 18163, 18167, 18171, 18183 KMMA,28385, 30384-85, 30387, 31811, 31814, 31817, 31821, 1823

OTU 6 "Tshibati"

M(210), F(173), sex?(18); cl1(106), cl2(152), cl3(131), cl4(12)

BUKARABWA, BUSHUSHU, CHIRERA, FOMULAC, IDJWI Island, LEMERA,	KMMA, 30405, 31770, 31772-73, 31775-76, 31778-84, 31787-93 KMMA, 28981 KMMA, 31732-33, 31735, 31742-59 KMMA, 30295, 30305-08, 30310-11 KMMA, 17890-92 KMMA, 28016, 30260-61, 30265, 30267-69, 30271-75, 30278-79, 30282, 30285, 30287-89 USNM 535876
LUSHALA,	USNM, 535876

LUSHEBERE, LWIRO,	KMMA, KMMA,	28980 31952-53, 31959, 31961-63, 31965-70, 31974, 31981, 31985-86, 31993-94, 31996, 31999, 32002-03, 32006-07, 32010, 32013, 32015, 32017, 32020, 32022, 32024, 32026, 32028, 32030, 32037, 32064, 32070, 32072-73, 32076-82, 32089, 32092, 32095-99, 32100-101, 32103- 104, 32106-108, 32110-111, 32114-116, 32119, 32123, 32125
	USNM,	535878-79
MUGABA,	USNM,	498735
MUGANZA,	USNM,	375485, 535894-96
NYAMBASHA,	KMMA,	30224, 30226-36, 30238, 30242, 30244-46, 30248-53, 30256, 30258, 30500, 30518
TSHIBATI.	KMMA.	M15, M32, M38, M51, M55, M60, M64, M71, M80, M81,
		M94, M99, M130, M138, M164, M166 M180, M191,
		M201, M205, M218, M221, M223, M225, M226, M227,
		M229, M233, M241, M334, M340, M366, M370, M407,
		M415, M419, M448, M451, M452, M454, M487, M489,
		M509, M510, M514, M532, M536, M537, M540, M556,
		M567, M622, M628, M647, M650, M660, M665, M669,
		M670, M699, M700, M740, M743, M745, M757, M795,
		M828, M837, M838, M843, M860, M875, M896, M909,
		M1011, M1029, M1064, M1077, M1106, M1142, M1144,
		M1153, M1207, M1214, M1252, M1263, M1267, M1269,
		M1278, M1300, M1301, M1305, M1313, M1318, M1320,
		M1326, M1338, M1348, M1370, M1372, M1379, M1400,
		M1409, M1423, M1460, M1464 ,M1470, M1474, M1597,
		M1601, M1637, M1657, M1675, M1695, M1753, M1765,
		M1769, M1771, M1773, M1782, M1795, M1809, M1814,
		M1869, M1876, M1890, M1923, M1943, M1952, M1968,
		M1980, M1985, M1993, M2004, M2008, M2025, M2031,
		M2035, M2044, M2078, M2085, M2086, M2087, M2089,
		M2100, M2102, M2131, M2145, M2147, M2152, M2182,
		M2183 ,M2192, M2193, M2202, M2204, M2209, M2217,
		M2222, M2225, M2232, M2233, M2236, M2243, M2250,
		M2255, M2278, M2292, M2294, M2789, 32139, 32144,
		32153, 32155, 32159, 32162-63, 32165-67, 32172, 32175,
		32184, 32188, 32191, 32195-96, 32199, 32201, 32204-05,
		32207, 32209, 32211-12, 32214, 32218-20, 32222-24,
		32226, 32229, 32231-33, 32236-38, 32241-43, 32245,
		32250, 32257 -61, 32263, 32265-66, 32268, 32276-77,
		32285, 32289, 32290, 32295-96, 32300, 32302, 32306-08

OTU 6.1 "Kahuzi Mt" M(4), F(6), sex?(1); cl1(3), cl2(1), cl3(6), cl4(1)

SMNS, 18623-25, 18629, 22313 KMMA, 28970, 28971, 28974, 28978, 28979, 28988 KAHUZI,

OTU 7 "Virunga Volcanoes" M(84), F(69), sex?(44); cl1(27), cl2(93), cl3(61), cl4(14)

A, 1737
4861, 4862, 4863, 4864, 4869, 4870-71, 4872-75, 4917-
18, 4950, 4954-55, 5027-30, 5032, 5041, 5094-95, 5098-
100, 5104, 5131
4901, 4902-08, 4938-41, 4947, 4970, 4972-73, 5013,
5015, 5058, 5087, 5152-54, 5163, 5172-73, 5221, 5225,
5245
l, 65.929
A, 8036
l, 82745, 82746
3640, 3642, 3648, 3654, 3658, 3660, 3663, 3666, 3668-
70, 3672-75, 3680, 3691-92, 3695-96, 3698, 3700, 3702,
3707-08, 3710, 3713-14, 3717, 3721, 3921, 3923
, 11.12.3.339, 11.12.3.398, 11.12.3.403, 11.12.3.405,
11.12.3.406, 11.12.3.408, 11.12.3.409, 11.12.3.412,
11,12,3,415, 65,911, 65,913, 65,915, 65,917, 82749,
82750
A. A1742, B1742
4676-77, 4679-81, 4683, 4685-86, 4748, 4750, 4798,
4811, 4844-45, 4847
A 820.11.M 805, A176C, A179C, B176C, B179C
75.2. 820.11.M 425. 820.11.M 427-429. 820.11.M 433
820.11.M.436-438
272-273, 274A, 274B, 284A, 284B, 284C, 289-90
292.1.294B, 302, 303B, 306, 309, 310C, 310D, 312B

...

VISOKE,

RUCA, 2842-43, 2859-63, 2889-91, 2893, 2895-96, 2898-99, 2902-03, 2907, 2937 -42, 2973, 2976, 2998-99, 3000, 3002, 3046, 3635-36, 3739-40, 3898, 3901, 3914

#### OTU 8 "Mutura"

## M(67), F(62), sex?(2); cl1(15), cl2(67), cl3(36), cl4(13)

MUTURA.

KMMA, 74.020.M.693, 74.020.M.697, 74.020.M.699, 74.020.M.700, 74.020.M.701- 703, 74.020.M.707
RUCA, R352-354, R356-358, R360, R365 -366, R368, R371-372, R382, R417-422, R426, R429-432, R434-438, R453-454, R461, R465-466, R468, R472-476, R479, R481-484, R488, R505-507, R509, R511-515, R517, R519-520, R523, R527-530, R532-533, R536, R550, R602, R612, R615-616, R620, R624, R644-646, R648-650, R653-654, R656-660, R663, R666, R671, R682, R684-685, R692-693, R695,R703, R714-715, R717-718, R720-729, R738-739, R742-743, R745, R749-751, R753, R755-756, R758, R768, R823, R832, R837,

#### OTU 8.1 "Kinigi"

M(59), F(49), sex?(2); cl1(41), cl2(48), cl3(15), cl4(6)

KINIGI,

RUCA, 2336-40, 2342, 2344-45, 2348-51, 2355-56, 2358-59, 2361, 2415, 2420, 2422-24, 2435-37, 2443, 2446, 2478, 2489, 2601, 2608-09, 2611-14, 2681-83, 2688-89, 2691, 2695-99, 2701-05, 2707-08, 2719-25, 2734-37, 2742-49, 2774-75, 2780-82, 2784, 2786, 2795, 2832-35, 2854, 2875-76, 2878-79, 2881, 2935, 3058, 3072, 3815, 3826-27, 3829-31, 3838, 3849, 3861, 3864-65, 3869-70, 3874, 3876, 3885

### OTU 8.2 "Kidaho<del>"</del>

M(20), F(17); cl1(1), cl2(17), cl3(15), cl4(4)

KIDAHO, RUCA. 3096, 3177-78, 3180-81, 3247-48, 3250, 3377, 3382, 3384, 3393, 3478, 3552, 4545, 4547, 4550-52, 4579, 4587-90, 4592, 4616, 4633, 4667-70, 4792-93, 4796, 4799, 4800, 4825-27

#### OTU 9 "Butare"

M(24), F(33), sex?(1); cl1(6), cl2(36), cl3(22), cl4(9)

BUTARE,	KMMA, M 262, , M 267, M 283, M 302, M1017, 1036-37, 1046,			
		1048, 1055-56, 21204, 23197, 23198, 38778		
GAKURAZO,	KMMA,	74.020.M98, 74.020.M101, 74.020.M106		
GASARENDA,	KMMA,	74.020.M1020, 74.020.M1023 , 74.020.M310,		
GITESI,	KMMA,	74.020.M163, 74.020.M164		
GITOVU,	KMMA,	74.020.M96, 74.020.M97		
KAYOVE,	RUCA,	4120, 5276, 5283-86		
KIBUYE,	KMMA,	80.55.M.221		
KIGOMBE,	KMMA,	74.020.M.120, 74.020.M.130, 74.020.M.140,		
		74.020.M.148, 74.020.M.151, 74.020.M.•153,		
		74.020.M.155, 74.020.M.156		
KIKONGO,	KMMA,	820.11.M.412		
KITABI,	RUCA,	1012-13, 1018-19, 1022, R867, R890, R891, R912, R914,		
		R921, R928, R955, R966, R972, R973, R975, R992, R993,		
		R995, R996, R997,		
MAMBA,	KMMA,	74.020.M.298, 74.020.M.299		
NYANGE,	RUCA,	1955, 1996, 2013, 2014, 2036		
RUKANDE,	KMMA,	74.020.M.294		
RWASAVE,	KMMA,	74.020.M.300		
TARE,	KMMA,	74.020.M.307		

#### OTU 9.1 "Rwanda E." M(16), F(9), sex?(2); cl1(3), cl2(14), cl3(10)

KABUGA,	KBIN,	16162
KABUYE,	RUCA,	5375, 5379
MASAKA,	BMNH,	11.12.3.224
	KMMA,	38873
MUHAZI,	KMMA,	74.020.M.108

168

RUKIRA,	RUCA,	1571, 1604, 1695, 1697, 1770, 1791, 3940, 3965, 3984
		3985, 4016, 4040
RULIBA,	RUCA,	5331, 5336-37, 5350, 5362-63, 5369, 5384

# OTU 10 "Uwinka" (Nyungwe Forest) M(37), F(17), sex?(6); cl1(4), cl2(28), cl3(24), cl4(4)

GATAKA,	KMMA,	74.020.M.232, 74.020.M.234, 74.020.M.235,
		74.020.M.247
KAGANO,	KMMA,	74.020.M.219
KIGAMBA,	KBIN,	19887
LUNGWE,	KMMA,	20684
NTANGO,	RUCA,	2502, 2504-05, 2507-08, 2510, 2551-53, 2559, 2562,
		2564
ROUTABANSOUGERA,	RUCA,	4195, 4214, 4216, 4232-33, 4235-36, 4245-46, 4248-49,
		4251, 4278, 4286, 4288-90, 4293, 4315-16, 4321, 4349-
		50, 4360, 4363
UWINKA,	KMMA,	74.020.M.209, 74.020.M.1003
	RUCA,	R148, R149, R152, R154, R169, R170, R174, R188, R208,
		2066, 2146, 2148, 2153, 2241, 2251, 2288

OTU 11 "Congo S." M(18), F(12), sex?(5); cl1(10), cl2(21), cl3(6), cl4(1)

CHISHIMBI, DILOLO, DUNDO, KAFUBU, KAZIBA, KIFUMRUANSHI (riv.), KISWISHI, KOMI, KOMGOLO, LUBUMBASHI, LUKOLELA, LULUABOURG.	AMNH, 161457, 161458 KMMA, 11639, 28939 BMNH, 63.1110 KBIN, 18745 KBIN, 11176 KMMA, 23583 KMMA, 23763 KMMA, 9946 KMMA 19392 KMMA, 23118 AMNH, 86888 BMNH, 2676
LUWOHOSHI, MUBALE, MUKANA, MUKULI, MUNAMA,	KMMA, 7157, 7159, 7162 AMNH, 86186 KMMA, 23759 KBIN, 11174 KBIN, 11175 AMNH, 55736, 55737 KBIN, 14876
MWINILUNGA, NGOME, SOLWESI, TSHUAPA,	BMNH, 39.507-511, 46.560-562 RUCA, 1549 BMNH, 50.929, 50.930, 59.103, 59.104 KMMA, 9208

# OTU 12 "Gilo" (Imatong Mts) M(55), F(55); cl1(23), cl2(54), cl3(27), cl4(6)

GILO,	SMNS,	27084-88, 27091-99, 27100-102, 27104-109, 27115-116,
		27990, 27992, 27994, 27996, 28001, 28004-05, 28007-08
		28010-11, 28014, 28028-29, 28035-36, 28041, 28044,
		28054, 28056, 30065, 30539, 30540-42
KATIRE,	SMNS,	28016, 28018-19, 28021, 28023-24, 28026, 28061-62,
		28066
KINYETI,	SMNS,	30009-14, 30528, 30531-33, 30535-37
NAGISHOT,	SMNS,	27074-78, 27080-81, 30017-22, 30025-31, 30544-51,
		30554-55, 30557-59, 30561
TALANGA,	SMNS,	27110, 28059, 28064

OTU 13 "Iwatoka" M(7), F(6), sex?(4); cl1(3), cl2(4), cl3(7), cl4(2),cl?(1)

WATOKA,	SMNS,	30562-67
KAJIKO,	SMNS,	27113, 27114, 28093, 28095,
WATOKA,	SMNS,	28080-82, 28087-89, 28092,

OTU 15 "Rutshuru" M(25), F(16), sex?(5); cl1(5), cl2(19), cl3(20), cl4(2) RUTSHURU, AMNH, 82722, BMNH, 11.12.3.418, 11.12.3.420-421, 11.12.3.426, 11.12.3.430, 11.12.3.432, 11.12.3.435-437 KBIN, 4489, 4490, 4495, 4498, KMMA, 820.11.M.373-376, 820.11.M.378-381, 820.11.M.383, 820.11.M.444-446, 820.11.M.450-455, 820.11.M.457-462, 11853, 13818, 13862, 13968, 13972, 16995, 17003, 17004, 17016,

. .

OTU 16 "Kigesi" M(21), F(24), sex?(1); cl1(7), cl2(18), cl3( 19), cl4(2)

63.842-43, 63.845, 63.847, 63.849-51
, 820.11.M408,
11.12.3460-461
11.12.3.225, 11.12.3.236-37, 11.12.3.529-30,
11.12.3.533, 11.12.3.535-36, 63.852-53, 63.855, 63.857-
60, 63.862-65,
157756,
89857-60,
11.12.3.450
89863,
11.12.3.445-449, 11.12.3.452-455
, 820.11.M.424

OTU 17 "Uganda" M(9), F(6); cl1(1), cl2(6), cl3(6), cl4(2)

ENTEBBE,	BMNH,	11.12.3.462, 64.563-564, 64.566-568,
KAMPALA,	BMNH,	66.1277, 66.1279, 66.1283-1284, 66.1286-1288,
		66.1295-1296,
MABIRA,	MCZC,	40799,
ZIKA,	BMNH,	64.556-560, 64.562,

OTU 17.1 "Bugala Isl." M(5), F(8); cl1(2), cl2(6), cl3(4), cl4(1)

BUGALA,

BMNH, 67.1384, 67.1385, 67.1389, 67.1390, 67.1391, 67.1393, 67.1395 , 67.1399, 67.1401, 71.1066, 71.1070, 71.1071, 71.1072

OTU 18 "Elgon Mt" M(9), F(4), sex?(1); cl1(1), cl2(9), cl3(4)

BENET,	BMNH,	6331, 6332
ELGON Mt.,	BMNH,	10.4.1.1.149, 36.3.16.27A
	KMMA,	5152-54
ELGONYI,	BMNH,	10.4.1.161-164, 10.4.1.169-171
KOITOGOSH,	BMNH,	66.3727
NALASANYI,	BMNH,	11.12.3.226, 11.12.3.465

OTU 19 "Cherangani Hills" M(18), F(13); cl1(6), cl2(15), cl3(7), cl4(3)

 AROR VALLEY,
 BMNH,
 71.2014,

 KAIBIBICH,
 BMNH,
 69.455-459,
 71.1983,
 71.1986-87,
 71.1990,
 71.1992-93,

 KAIBOS,
 BMNH,
 71.2016-2020,
 BMNH,
 71.2003-2010,
 71.2012

OTU 19.1 "Kaptagat" M(3), F(1), sex?(2); cl1(2), cl2(1), cl3(3)

KAPTAGAT, RUCA, K2, K3, K10-K14,

OTU 20 "Solai" M(21), F(25), sex?(1); cl	l (1), cl2(1	8), cl3(26), cl4(2)
SOLAI,	BMNH,	11.12.8.58-67, 11.12.8.70-71, 11.12.8.73, 11.12.8.75, 11.12.8.77-80, 11.4.7.95, 11.4.7.97-98, 11.4.7.100-106, 11.4.7.108-109, 65.933-935, 65.938-941, 65.943-949, 65.951, 65.953, 65.955,
OTU 21 "Aberdaı M(14), F(13), sex?(4); cl <sup>-</sup>	e Rang 1(11), cl2(	e" 8), cl3(10), cl4(2)
ABERDARE, MOORLAND, RUHURUINI,	RUCA RUCA RUCA,	R28146, R28148-150, R28206-211, R28214-215, R28219, R28221, R28157-158, R28165-169, R28173, R28175, R28179-180, R28182, R28185, R28186, R28190, R28200, R28202,
OTU 21.1 "Gatan M(8), F(3); cl1(2), cl2(5),	n <b>aiyu"</b> cl3(4)	
GATAMAIYU,	RUCA,	R28439, R28441, R28444, R28453, R28456-57, R28462, R28471, R28489, R28497, R28500,
OTU 21.2 "Aberd M(10), F(10); cl2(7), cl3(	are Rai 12), cl4(1)	nge" (BMNH)
ABERDARE,	BMNH,	10.5.3.131-132, 10.5.3.134A-B, 10.5.3.135, 10.5.3.138, 10.5.3.140-146, 10.5.3.148, 10.5.3.150, 10.5.3.207-210, 65.963,
OTU 22 "Kenya M M(21), F(12), sex?(3); cl	<b>/lt"</b> 1(5), cl2(1	3), cl3(18)
CHOGORI	RUCA	R28509-10, R28512-15, R28517, R28521-22, R28525, R28528, R28535-38, R28542 -43, R28592, R28596-97,
KENYA Mt, METEOR. STATION	RUCA RUCA,	R28601, R28137, R28140, R28142, R28368, R28370-71, R28373, R28375, R28379, R28382, R28386
NM GATE	RUCA	R28401-02, R28407, R28418,
OTU 22.1 "Kenya M(22), F(11); cl1(2), cl2(	18), cl3(1	SMNH) 3)
FIRMIN HUT, KENYA Mt,	KBIN, BMNH,	18676, 0.2.1.28, 0.2.1.30-31, 11.4.7.107, 73.1155, 73.1160-61, 73.1163-64, 73.1167, 73.1169, 73.1171-74, 73.1183, 73.1185, 78.3205-06, 78.3208-12,
NAROMORU TRACK, SIRIMON TRACK,	HZMB, KBIN, BMNH, BMNH, KBIN,	A21212, 15597, 74.697-98, 62.104, 62.106-107, 18675,
OTU 23 "Meru M M(35), F(15), sex?(1); cl	l <b>t"</b> 1(8), cl2(1	8), cl3(19), cl4(6)
MERU Mt,	ZFMK, RUCA,	60.45-51, 60.54-55, 60.57-61, 60.63-70, 60.72-73, 63.179-180, 63.182-184, 14295, 14307-09, 14312-13, 14319-29, 14347-48, 14352- 54, 14368,
OTU 23.1 "Kilima M(2), F(2), sex?(1); cl2(3	njaro N ), cl3(2)	Λt"
MWEKA,	RUCA,	14355, 14364, 14378, 14398, 14399,

4.9

OTU 24 "Ngorong M(17), F(12); cl1(4), cl2(2	<b>goro</b> Ri 22), cl3(3)	m"
NGORONGORO,	AMNH, BMNH,	55719, 63.3255, 63.3262, 65.3252, 65.3256-58, 65.3261, 65.3263, 65.3265, 65.3268-71, 65.3275, 75.1030-37, 75.1039-43,
	KBIN,	15596,
OTU 25 "Hanang M(9), F(8), sex?(3); cl2(1	Mt" 1), cl3(8),	cl4(1)
GERODOM, HANANG,	RUCA, RUCA,	7281, 7362, 7366, 7369, 7371, 7388, 7287, 7289, 7293, 7297, 7303, 7313, 7314, 7316, 7318, 7327, 7328, 7395, 7400, 7404,
OTU 26     "Usamba M(33), F(28); cl1(5), cl2(3	ra E." 30), cl3(29	5), cl4(1)
EMAU, GOLOGOLO, MAGAMBA,	RUCA, RUCA, RUCA,	6054, 8110, 8170, 8624, 7901, 7958, 7963, 8138, 8139, 8552, 7504, 7511, 7516, 7520-21, 7526, 7601, 7611-12, 7619- 20, 7685-86, 7693, 7699, 7716-19, 7760, 7769, 7826, 7828, 7927
MANOLO,	RUCA,	6104, 6143, 7802, 7805, 7809, 7815-16, 7819, 7878, 7886, 7948, 8040-42, 8117, 8182, 8564, 8567, 8574,
VITI,	RUCA,	7555, 7568, 7666-67, 7670, 8523, 8528, 8547,
OTU 27 "Uluguru M(71), F(94); cl1(13 ), cl2	Range 2(59), cl3(	" 77), cl4(15),cl?(1)
BONDWA,	RUCA,	T914, T919, T1402, T1427, T1438, T1440, T2390, T4279, T4288, T4289, T4290, T4296, 13929, 14454, 14463, 14464, 14468, 14472, 14487, 14555, 14556, 14557, 14569, 14570
KIDEGE, KIRUGUVUMI, MBETE,	RUCA, RUCA, RUCA,	3283, 3405, 3429, 3328, 3331, 3378, 14392, 922-23, 928, 929, 963, 975-78, 1029-30, 2302, 2308, 2318, 2321, 2324, 2341, 2343-48, 2356, 2359, 2362, 2724-27, 2734-36, 2747-49, 2751-55, 2762, 2765-67, 2770, 3632-33, 3648, 3659, 3661, 3695, 3697, 3704, 3716, 3730, 4031, 5745-47, 6543, 6599, 6607-08, 6612,
MORNINGSIDE,	RUCA,	T4803, T4807-08, T904, T936, T1443-45, T4795-98, T4803, T4807-08, T4811,960, 979-987, 999, 1032-33, 2314, 2316, 2331-33, 2335, 2352-54, 2366, 2368-70, 3758-61, 3768, 3776, 3781-82, 3784, 12260-63, 12278,
NYAMBALA,	RUCA,	14444-46, 14566, 14576, 14579, 3416, 3420,
OTU 28 "Mufindi' M(8), F(7); cl1(2 ), cl2(7),	cl3(6)	
MUFINDI,	RUCA,	10873, 10876-77, 10882, 10907, 10909, 10914, 10945, 10972, 10980, 10983, 11000, 11006, 11014, 11030,
OTU 29 "Peramih M(9), F(8); cl1(3 ), cl2(9),	<b>o"</b> cl3(3), cl4	4(2)
NAKAHUGA, PERAMIHO,	RUCA, RUCA,	5861, 5896, 5897, 5793-94, 5802, 5828, 5868, 5870, 5872, 5874, 5892, 5918, 5933, 5961, 5967, 5968,
OTU 30 "Rungwe M(34), F(37); cl1(4 ), cl2(	Mt" 40), cl3(2	3), cl4(4)
IBUMBA,	RUCA,	6281, 6283, 6288-89, 6293, 6296-97, 6447, 6457, 6470- 71, 6475, 6477, 6483, 6498, 6505-07, 6510, 6512-13
RUNGWE,	RUCA,	6554, 6558-59, 6561, 5943, 6167, 6169-71, 6205, 6220, 6253-54, 6257-58,

SUMA,	MCZC, RUCA,	6260, 6262, 6270-71, 6298, 6301, 6305, 6356-58, 6369, 6371-73, 6377, 6387, 6389, 6428-30, 6434-35, 26378, 6172, 6177-78, 6181, 6185, 6199, 6237, 6316, 6328,
		6331, 6336, 6439,
OTU 31 "Ufipa PI M(24), F(15), sex?(2); cl	<b>ateau"</b> I (8 ), cl2(2	25), cl3(5), cl4(3)
CHINGOMBE,	RUCA,	13201, 13203, 13205, 13239, 13240-43, 13264, 13288-
MBIZI,	BMNH, RUCA,	56, 58.368, 58.371, 13001-03, 13010, 13018, 13021, 13028-29, 13033-34, 13037-38, 13043-45, 13086, 13088-90, 13129-30, 13133, 13165, 13170, 13188-89, 13218, 13254,
OTU 32 "Nyika P M(15), F(14), sex?(1); cl <sup>2</sup>	<b>lateau"</b> 1(1), cl2(2	22), cl3(4), cl4(3)
CHELINDA,	TMUS,	TM41751, TM41755,
NYIKA,	BMNH,	10141741, 66.1021-1025, 66.1027-1029, 66.1031-1038, 66.1040- 1048, 66.1050-1051,
OTU 33 "Zomba" M(7), F(4), sex?(1); cl1(4	), cl2(5),	cl3(2), cl4(1)
MBANGE ZOMBA,	KBIN, AMNH,	3177, 162329, 162331, 162333-38, 162340-42,
OTU 34 <i>L.flavop(</i> M(8), F(8); cl1(1), cl2(7)	u <b>nctatu</b> , cl3(8)	<i>'S</i> S.S.
ADDIS ABEBA,	BMNH,	2.9.9.31, 2.9.9.32, 2.9.9.34, 37.2.24.76, 37.2.24.77, 50.57
DEBRA MARKOS,	BMNH,	28.1.11.118-120 S165871 S165968 70
SABATA, YAH YAH	BMNH,	70.753
	2	
OTU 35 L.meland M(9), F(12); cl1(3), cl2(8	5 <b>077X</b> 3), cl3(9), d	cl4(1)
BALE, DINSHU,	ZMUM, BMNH,	S162508-513, S162515-520, S162522-526 72.1265, 72.1265, 78.947,
HARENNA,	ZMUM,	1972.202, S162507, 72 1267
Shirk valley,	DIVINE,	72.1207,
OTU 36 <i>L.brunne</i> M(13), F(16); cl1(5), cl2(	e <i>us</i> (8), cl3(14	e), cl4(2)
BELETTA,	ZMUM,	S164928, S164930-933, S164936-938, S164941-943, S164945, S165961-967, S165975-977
BONGA, JIMMA,	ZMUM, SMNS,	S165972-974 23384, 23385, 23400, 23402
OTU 37 <i>L.brevica</i> M(50), F(59), sex?(11); c	nudus 1(23), cl:	2(37), cl3(52), cl4(7),cl?(1)
BALE,	ZMUM,	S162435, S162437, S162442, S162444, S162448, S162451-452, S162455, S162458, S162462-464, S162466-472, S162474-75, S162477, S162479, S162481, S162484-85, S162488, S162490, S162492-93, S162495- 99, S162501, S162503-04, S162530-546, S162593, S162719, S162720, S164482, S164812-820, S164823-82-
BALE GOBA,	SMNS,	o, 5164848-862, 5164920-925, 23359, 23361-366, 23369-371, 23373-377, 23379, 23899, 23900,,

• •

CHILALO,	SMNS,	35946-950, 35952-954, 35960, 35964-969
GOBA,	BMNH,	64.872, 64.873, 76.42, 76.51
URGANA,	BMNH,	72.1245, 72.1247,

OTU 38 *L.chrysopus* E. M(25), F(23), sex?(1); cl1(2), cl2(28), cl3(16), cl4(3)

HARENNA,

ROMA. ET23, ET31 ZMUM, S162410 , S162411, S162414-424, S162426-434, S164827-847, S164918, S164919, S164926, S164927,

OTU 39 *L.chrysopus* W. M(13), F(13), sex?(2); cl1(2), cl2(9), cl3(14), cl4(3)

BELETTA,	ZMUM,	S164946,	S164948,	S164950-957
SHEKO,	ZMUM,	S167314-3	30,	

OTU 40 *L.ansorgei* M(37), F(46), sex?(1); cl1(34), cl2(33), cl3(13), cl4(4)

	CRATER TRACK	BMNH	65.1373-74, 65.1377-78, 65.1380-81, 65.1385, 65.1386- 89, 77.1812-14, 77.1816-17, 77.1819 -33, 77.1835-37, 77.1839, 77.1842-44, 77.1847, 77.1849-50, 77.1852, 77.1856-57, 77.1861-63, 77.1865, 77.1868, 77.1871, 77.1873, 77.1876-78, 77.1880, 77.1882, 77.1884, 77.1888-89, 77.1892-93, 77.1897-98, 77.1900-01, 77.1903-04, 77.1906, 77.1908-12, 77.1914, 77.1916, 77.1918-19, 77.1923-24, 77.1926-28, 77.1932, 77.1934,
--	--------------	------	--

. .

## APPENDIX 3 : LISTING OF THE COLLECTING LOCALITIES

APERDARE Paper (21, 21, 2)	00 109 26 42E		01 12N 35 08E
ADDIS ARERA (34)	00.130-00.422	KA IIKO (42)	02 54N 20 26E
ANKOBER (34)	09.02N-30.43E	KAJIKO (13)	03.51N-30.30E
	00.5711-05.402	KAMELIGON (19)	01.11N-35.07E
BAEWASENDE (2)	00.37N-33.38E	KAMIKONI (16)	01.16S-29.19E
BALE (35, 37)	07.01N-39.59E	KAMPALA (17)	00.19N-32.34E
BELETTA (36, 30)	07.32NL36.33E	KAPTAGAT (19.1)	00.24N-35.27E
DENET (19)	01 20N 24 22E	KARISIMBI (7)	01.31S-29.25E
	01.20IN-34.33E	KARISOKE (7)	01.28S-29.29E
BLUKWA (2)	01.44IN-30.40E	KATIRE (12)	04.02N-32.47E
BONDWA (27)	07.06S-37.42E	KAYOVE (9)	01.48S-29.21E
BONGA (36)	07.15N-36.14E	KENYA Mt. (22, 22, 1)	00.10S-37.19E
BUGALA (17.1)	00.40S-32.20E		02 035-29 21E
BUKARABWA (6)	02.20S-28.50E		01 228 20 475
BUSHUSHU (6)	02.02S-28.52E		01.235-29.47E
BUTA (2)	02.47N-24.50E	KIDEGE (27)	07.105-37.30E
BUTARE (9)	02.34S-29.43E	KIDUKA (16)	01.15S-29.42E
CHELINDA (32)	10.19S-33.48E	KIFUKU (2)	01.26N-29.40E
CHILALO (37)	07 50N-39 20E	KIFUMRUANSHI river (11)	11.27S-27.51E
CHINGOMBE (31)	07.45S-31.34E	KIGAMBA (10)	03.08S-30.34E
	02 149 29 495	KIGESI (16)	01.16S-29.45E
	10 099 20 555	KIGOMBE (9)	02.30S-29.31E
	10.065-30.30E	KIKONGO (9)	02.30S-29.31E
	04.355-33.16E	KINIGI (8.1)	01 26S-29 36E
DEBDA MARKOS (24)	10.095-37.24E		03 56N 32 52E
DEBRA MARKOS $(34)$	10.2019-37.42E		07.109.27.205
	10.420-22.20E		07.105-37.30E
	07.07N-39.43E	KIRVVA (16)	01.155-29.38E
DJUGU (2)	01.55N-30.30E	KISANGANI 1A (4)	00.45N-24.40E
DUNDO (11)	07.24S-20.47E	KISANGANI 2A (4)	00.50N-25.15E
ECHUYA (16)	01.15S-29.45E	KISANGANI 2B (4)	00.45N-26.20E
ELGON Mt. (18)	01.20N-34.39E	KISANGANI 3A (4)	00.30N-25.30E
EMAU (26)	04.38S-38.16E	KISANGANI 3B (4)	00.15N-25.40E
ENTEBBE (17)	00.05N-32.29E	KISANGANI 3C (4)	00.40N-26.00E
FIRMIN Hut (22.1)	00.10S-37.19E	KISANGANI 4A (4)	00.15N-25.45E
FOMULAC (6)	02.12S-28.53E	KISANGANI 5A (3)	00.15S-24.30E
GAHINGA (7)	01 24S-29 40F	KISANGANI 5B (3)	00 15N-24 50E
GAKURAZO (9)	02 10S-29 45E	KISWISHI (11)	11 20S-27 27E
CARAMPA (2)	04 111 20 005		02 248 20 265
	04.1114-30.00E	KITABI (9)	02.345-29.20E
GASARENDA (9)	02.295-29.34E	KOITOGOSH (18)	01.20N-34.39E
GASIZA (7)	01.25S-29.40E	KOMI (11)	03.20S-23.48E
GATAKA (10)	02.20S-29.05E	KONGOLO (11)	05.20S-27.00E
GATAMAIYU (21.1)	00.59S-36.42E	KOTELI (2)	02.51N-24.34E
GERODOM (25)	04.30S-35.23E	KUMBA (16)	01.08S-29.54E
GILO (12)	04.02N-32.51E	LAIKIPIA (20.1)	00.30N-36.30E
GITESI (9)	02.05S-29.20E	LEMERA (6)	02.28S-28.49E
GITOVU (9)	02.15S-29.55E	LUBUMBASHI (11)	11.40S-27.28E
GOBA (37)	06.58N-39.59E	LUKOLELA (11)	00 01S-17 15E
GOLOGOLO (26)	04 48S-38 16F		05 53S-22 26E
HANANG Mt (25)	04 28S-35 20E		03.036.20.495
HARENNA (35, 38)	06 42NL30 44E		00.000-29.40E
	00.4214-39.445	LUSHALA (6)	02.135-28.49E
	09.095-33.41E	LUSHEBERE (6)	01.58S-28.54E
	02.09S-29.04E	LUWOHOSHI (11)	11.40S-27.44E
IMATONGO MIS (12)	04.06N-32.51E	LWIRO (6)	02.17S-28.48E
IKANGI (5)	01.040-28.28E	MABIRA (17)	00.28N-32.57E
	03.401N-30.30E	MAGAMBA (26)	04.45S-38.17E
	07.39N-30.47E	MAMBA (9)	02.29S-29.56E
	01.565-30.42E	MANOLO (26)	04.36S-38.14E
KABUYE (9.1)	02.00S-30.24E	MASAKA (9.1)	01.59S-30 11F
KAFUBU (11)	11.45S-27.34E	MASAKO (4)	00 36N-25 13E
KAGANO (10)	02.24S-29.08E	MBANGE (33)	16 569 25 445
KAHUZI Mt.(6.1)	02.15S-28.41E	MRETE (27)	10.000-30.14E
KAIBIBICH (19)	01.11N-35.07E	WDEIE(27)	00.525-37.41E

walter verheyen, jan l.j. hulselmans, theo dierckx & erik verheyen

LOCALITY + (OTU) MBIZI (31) MEDJE (2) MENAGESHA (34) METEOR. STATION (22) MERU Mt. (23) MIKENO (7) MOORLAND (21) MORNINGSIDE (27) MPALO (16) MUBALE (11) MUFINDI (28) MUGANZA (6) MUGUBA (6) MUGUBA (6) MUHABURA (7) MUHAZI (9.1) MUKANA (11) MUKANA (11) MUKULI (11) MUKULI (11) MUSHUMANGA (7) MUTANDA (16) MUTURA (8) MUVO (16) MWEKA (23.1) MWINILUNGA (11) NAGISHOT (12) NAKAHUGA (29) NALASANYI (18) NAROMORU Track (22.1) NGONGORO Rim (24)	CO-ORDINATES 07.52S-31.41E 02.25N-27.18E 08.57N-38.33E 00.10S-37.13E 03.15S-36.45E 01.29S-29.23E 00.28S-36.44E 06.53S-37.40E 01.08S-30.03E 08.44S-26.56E 08.35S-35.20E 02.22S-28.53E 02.18S-28.36E 01.22S-29.41E 01.51S-30.20E 09.13S-27.07E 07.30S-29.40E 11.47S-27.59E 01.23S-29.12E 01.13S-29.40E 01.26S-30.28E 01.14S-29.14E 03.02S-37.20E 11.44S-24.26E 04.20N-33.32E 10.39S-35.27E 00.51N-33.33E 00.09S-37.12E 05.03S-18.51E 03.13S-35.31E	LOCALITY +(OTU) NYIKA Plateau (32) GA (2) PERAMIHO (29) ROUTABANSOUGERA (1 RUHURUINI (21) RUKANDE (9) RUKIRA (9.1) RUKUMI (7) RULIBA (9.1) RUNGWE (30) RUTSHURU (15) RWASAVE (9) SABATA (34) SHEKO (39) SHIYA Valley (35) SIRIMON Track (22.1) SOLAI (20) SOLWESI (11) SUMA (30) TALANGA (12) TANDALA (1) TARE (9) TSHIBATI (6) TSHUAPA (11) URGANA (37) UWINKA (10) VISOKE (7) VITI (26) WATOKA (13) YAH YAH (34)	CO-ORDINATES 10.34S-33.44E 01.52N-26.23E 10.38S-35.28E 02.26S-29.11E 00.23S-36.49E 02.34S-29.43E 02.13S-30.35E 01.29S-29.27E 01.57S-30.00E 09.10S-33.37E 01.11S-29.27E 02.34S-29.43E 08.55N-38.40E 07.04N-35.30E 06.57N-39.45E 00.03S-37.17E 00.06S-37.14E 12.10S-26.24E 09.10S-33.40E 04.01N-32.43E 03.02N-19.21E 02.34S-29.41E 02.34S-29.41E 02.34S-29.41E 02.34S-29.41E 02.34S-29.41E 02.34S-29.41E 02.14S-28.48E 03.25S-24.30E 06.57N-39.45E 02.29S-29.12E 01.27S-29.30E 04.44S-38.16E 04.09N-30.40E 09.55N-38.15E
NALASANYI (18) NAROMORU Track (22.1)	00.51N-33.33E	UWINKA (10) VISOKE (7)	02.29S-29.12E 01.27S-29.30E
NGOME (11)	05.03S-18.51E	VITI (26) <b>W</b> ATOKA (13)	04.44S-38.16E 04.09N-30.40E
NM GATE (22)	00.10S-37.09E	YAH YAH (34) YALOSEMBA (1)	09.55N-38.15E
	02.36S-29.13E	YANGAMBI (4)	00.47N-24.24E
NYAMBASHA (27)	07.103-37.30E	ZIKA (17)	00.07N-32.32E
	01 259 20 125	ZOMBA (33)	15.22S-35.22F
	01.200-29.12E		
INTAINGE (9)	02.035-29.33E		

.

176

#### **APPENDIX 4**

NUMBER	ACRONYMS	MORPHOMETRICAL CHARACTERS
M1 * (	GRLS	greatest length of skull
M 2 *	PRCO	condylobasal length
M3 * I	HEBA	henselion-basion
M4 * H	HEPA	henselion-palation
M 5 * I	PAFL	length of palatal foramen
M 6 * [	DIA1	length of diastema
M7* 0	DIA2	distance between alveolus M <sup>1</sup> and cutting edge of upper incisor
M 8 * I	INTE	smallest interorbital breadth
M9* 2	ZYGO	zygomatic breadth
M10 * F	PALA	smallest palatal breadth
M11 * U	UPTE	length of upper cheekteeth
M12 * U	UPDA	breadth of upper dental arch
M13 * N	M¹BR	greatest breadth of first upper molar
M14 * 2	ZYPL	smallest breadth of zygomatic plate
M15 * E	BNAS	greatest breadth of nasals
M16 * L	LNAS	greatest length of nasals
M17 * L	LOTE	length of mandibular teeth
M18 (	СНОВ	greatest breadth of choanae
M19 * E	BULL	length of auditory bulla
M20 * E	BRCA	greatest breadth of braincase
M21 * [	DINC	depth of upper incisor
M22 * F	ROHE	mediosagittal projection of rostrum heigth
M23 * F	ROBR	greatest rostrum breadth
M24 F	PCPA	distance between coronoid and angular processes

Table 1. A summary of the measurements used in this study. For a full description we refer to VERHEYEN *et al.* (1996). The measurements marked with \* were primarily retained for the multivariate analyses.

### OTU 6 Tshibati

AGE = 1			MALES						FEMALES			AGE = 1
	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	sign.	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	
M1	54	29.06	25.90	31.35	0.957		34	29.14	26.85	30.35	0.814	M1
M2	60	27.56	24.60	30.05	1.005	-	39	27.49	25.20	29.95	1.062	M2
M3	59	23.17	20.45	25.60	0.957	-	39	23.15	20.90	25.30	0.971	M3
M4	60	11.70	10.20	13.50	0.527		39	11.70	10.95	13.35	0.495	M4
M5	60	6.18	5.05	6.95	0.323		39	6.12	5.35	6.85	0.361	M5
M6	60	7.43	6.45	8.35	0.386		39	7.38	6.55	8.25	0.391	M6
M7	60	8.75	7.75	10.30	0.474		39	8.70	7.55	9.80	0.446	M7
M8	60	5.93	5.35	6.40	0.223		39	5.95	5.55	6.40	0.208	M8
M9	59	14.11	12.85	15.10	0.536		37	14.11	13.00	15.75	0.612	M9
M10	60	2.89	2.50	3.30	0.197		39	2.92	2.50	3.45	0.188	M10
M11	60	4.87	4.20	5.25	0.198	-	39	4.85	4.25	5.30	0.208	M11
M12	60	6.51	6.10	7.00	0.203	-	39	6.55	6.05	7.20	0.201	M12
M13	60	1.68	1.50	1.90	0.074	-	39	1.69	1.50	1.90	0.083	M13
M14	60	2.60	2.10	3.00	0.191		39	2.62	2.10	3.30	0.224	M14
M15	60	2.67	2.45	3.10	0.142	-	39	2.72	2.40	3.10	0.131	M15
M16	54	11.53	10.00	12.85	0.564		35	11.65	10.00	13.20	0.602	M16
M17	60	4.37	4.05	4.75	0.170	-	39	4.36	3.95	4.80	0.197	M17
M18	60	1.35	1.00	1.80	0.174	-	39	1.35	1.05	1.65	0.148	M18
M19	60	5.06	4.70	5.70	0.187	-	39	5.00	4.60	5.40	0.197	M19
M20	60	12.90	12.30	13.60	0.316		39	12.92	12.05	13.60	0.334	M20
M21	60	1.16	1.00	1.30	0.069		39	1.15	1.00	1.40	0.090	M21
M22	60	6.06	5.40	6.85	0.308	-	39	6.09	5.25	6.75	0.343	M22
M23	60	4.98	4.30	5.55	0.268	-	39	4.97	4.45	5.60	0.259	M23
M24	60	8.33	7.20	9.45	0.500		38	8.36	7.20	9.10	0.468	M24
w	26	51.9	30	75	8.8	-	15	50.5	36	61	6.6	w
НВ	26	114.3	94	129	8.9	-	15	112.5	90	137	12.0	HB
TL	27	67.0	55	79	6.0		13	67.2	59	75	5.0	TL
HF(+n)	27	22.6	20	24	1.01		15	22.4	21	24	1.06	HF(+n)
EL	26	16.5	15	18	0.86		15	16.4	15	18	0.91	EL

177

AGE = 2			MALES						FEMALES			AGE = 2
	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	sign.	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	
M1	78	29.61	27.95	31.55	0.808	1	60	29.61	27.65	31.40	0.826	M1
M2	83	28.27	26.65	30.10	0.844	-	62	28.15	25.20	30.10	0.912	M2
M3	83	23.90	22.40	25.70	0.740		62	23.75	21.20	25.60	0.873	MЗ
M4	83	11.99	10.50	12.85	0.413	-	62	11.94	11.10	13.00	0.401	M4
M5	83	6.34	5.75	7.25	0.315	-	62	6.27	5.35	6.85	0.298	M5
M6	83	7.62	6.80	8.60	0.354	-	62	7.63	6.80	8.30	0.349	• M6
M7	83	9.06	8.15	10.10	0.412	-	62	8.99	8.10	9.75	0.382	M7
M8	83	6.06	5.55	6.60	0.232		62	6.02	5.45	6.50	0.224	M8
M9	77	14.67	13.50	15.75	0.519		61	14.53	13.65	15.60	0.463	M9
M10	83	3.06	2.65	3.45	0.182		62	3.02	2.70	3.45	0.181	M10
M11	83	4.90	4.50	5.20	0.168		62	4.91	4.45	5.45	0.197	M11
M12	83	6.72	6.05	7.25	0.212		60	6.75	6.30	7.20	0.209	M12
M13	83	1.70	1.55	1.90	0.072	-	62	1.72	1.60	1.90	0.072	M13
M14	83	2.74	2.20	3.20	0.200	-	62	2.73	2.30	3.15	0.218	M14
M15	83	2.75	2.40	3.10	0.146	-	62	2.72	2.45	3.05	0.138	M15
M16	79	11.91	10.95	13.15	0.525		60	11.95	10.90	13.35	0.534	M16
M17	83	4.34	4.00	4.75	0.144	-	62	4.37	4.05	4.75	0.144	M17
M18	83	1.33	0.90	1.75	0.190	-	62	1.39	0.75	1.80	0.188	M18
M19	83	5.09	4.65	5.50	0.184	-	62	5.12	4.50	5.75	0.212	M19
M20	83	12.94	12.35	14.10	0.317	-	62	12.99	12.30	13.65	0.324	M20
M21	83	1.22	1.05	1.45	0.089	-	62	1.21	1.10	1.35	0.071	M21
M22	83	6.31	5.65	6.85	0.260	-	62	6.27	5.60	7.20	0.277	M22
M23	83	5.09	4.35	5.60	0.231	-	62	5.05	4.50	5.55	0.220	M23
M24	80	8.70	7.60	9.60	0.419		59	8.66	7.20	9.65	0.422	M24
W	35	61.3	46	74	6.9	-	30	57.4	41	76	8.3	W
HB	34	119.9	103	134	8.6	-	29	118.0	102	132	8.7	НВ
TL	31	66.5	40	78	9.1		24	72.2	34	141	22.8	TL
HF(+n)	35	22.5	21	24	0.89		31	22.7	20	25	1.01	HF(+n)
EL	33	16.7	15	19	1.08	-	31	16.9	15	19	1.06	EL

....

AGE = 3			MALES					0	FEMALES			AGE = 3
	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	sign.	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	
M1	57	30.35	28.30	32.00	0.757	-	60	30.23	27.70	31.85	0.807	M1
M2	62	29.02	26.75	30.30	0.736	-	65	28.87	26.70	30.45	0.810	M2
M3	62	24.66	22.70	26.00	0.700		65	24.48	22.15	26.05	0.727	M3
M4	62	12.28	11.00	13.25	0.430	-	65	12.32	11.10	13.25	0.464	M4
M5	62	6.47	5.90	7.00	0.267	-	64	6.49	5.70	7.40	0.338	M5
M6	62	7.92	7.15	8.60	0.297		65	7.95	7.15	8.75	0.373	M6
M7	62	9.38	8.60	10.10	0.346		65	9.38	8.60	10.60	0.422	M7
M8	62	6.15	5.65	6.60	0.201		65	6.13	5.55	6.95	0.265	M8
M9	60	15.06	13.80	16.20	0.522		62	15.00	13.10	16.30	0.560	M9
M10	62	3.13	2.75	3.50	0.178	-	65	3.15	2.65	3.60	0.215	M10
M11	62	4.95	4.55	5.35	0.156	-	65	4.93	4.50	5.35	0.192	M11
M12	62	6.93	6.45	7.65	0.211	-	63	6.94	6.50	7.35	0.218	M12
M13	62	1.72	1.60	1.90	0.069		65	1.71	1.50	1.85	0.070	M13
M14	62	2.83	2.30	3.40	0.221		65	2.82	2.30	3.30	0.218	M14
M15	62	2.84	2.50	3.10	0.148		65	2.82	2.45	3.25	0.156	M15
M16	58	12.37	11.10	13.65	0.463	-	60	12.33	10.75	13.60	0.585	M16
M17	62	4.38	4.00	4.80	0.159		65	4.37	4.00	4.90	0.179	M17
M18	62	1.37	0.90	1.75	0.166	**	65	1.48	0.80	2.05	0.224	M18
M19	62	5.17	4.65	5.55	0.186		65	5.20	4.75	5.65	0.170	M19
M20	62	13.03	12.40	13.65	0.316		65	13.08	12.25	13.90	0.332	M20
M21	62	1.25	1.00	1.45	0.087		65	1.24	1.10	1.50	0.082	M21
M22	62	6.56	6.00	7.15	0.264		65	6.51	5.80	7.15	0.271	M22
M23	62	5.15	4.60	5.55	0.187		65	5.19	4.70	5.65	0.224	M23
M24	59	8.98	8.00	9.85	0.356	*	63	8.82	7.85	9.55	0.352	M24
W	27	67.1	54	77	6.7		23	62.5	47	78	7.4	W
HB	27	124.2	110	137	7.6		23	123.0	105	136	8.9	HB
TL	26	76.3	42	280	42.5		19	66.1	47	82	8.5	TL
HF(+n)	28	22.5	15	24	1.77		23	22.3	19	24	1.15	HF(+n)
EL	25	17.0	15	19	1.02		22	16.9	13	19	1.32	EL

Table 2. Basic statistics of craniometrical and external metrical data of a "speckled" *Lophuromys* population of the Tshibati region (OTU 6) (see App.2). This large population has been split up by sex and age-class so that the influence of sexual dimorphism can be evaluated.

OTU 8 Mi	TU 8 Mutura									OTU 4 Kisangani RB											
age 2+3	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	CV%		N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	CV%	sign.	%diff						
, in the second															means						
M1	103	30.07	27.70	31.75	0.800	2.7		87	27.95	26.25	29.80	0.764	2.7	***	-7.04						
M2	103	28.61	26.35	30.30	0.808	2.8		108	26.67	24.80	28.40	0.766	2.9	***	-6.80						
M3	103	24.19	21.55	25.80	0.777	3.2		104	22.64	20.55	24.00	0.724	3.2	***	-6.41						
M4	103	12.06	11.00	13.00	0.421	3.5		109	11.38	10.25	12.30	0.412	3.6	***	-5.68						
M5	103	6.26	5.55	6.80	0.274	4.4		109	5.91	5.30	6.75	0.320	5.4	***	-5.61						
M6	103	7.80	6.85	8.50	0.313	4.0		109	7.40	6.70	8.15	0.302	4.1	***	-5.06						
M7	103	9.20	8.00	10.10	0.371	4.0		109	8.79	7.80	9.65	0.363	4.1	***	-4.49						
M8	103	6.05	5.55	6.55	0.215	3.6		109	5.55	5.10	6.00	0.203	3.7	***	-8.33						
M9	103	15.11	13.85	16.05	0.469	3.1		106	13.68	12.45	14.90	0.495	3.6	***	-9.46						
M10	103	3.14	2.65	3.60	0.187	5.9		109	3.12	2.65	3.55	0.174	5.6	ns	-						
M11	103	5.07	4.50	5.65	0.208	4.1		109	4.47	4.05	5.00	0.210	4.7	***	-11.71						
M12	103	6.76	6.30	7.30	0.201	3.0		109	6.59	6.05	7.05	0.215	3.3	***	-2.56						
M13	103	1.75	1.45	2.00	0.090	5.1		109	1.65	1.45	1.80	0.071	4.3	***	-5.61						
M14	103	2.87	2.40	3.40	0.191	6.7		109	2.53	2.15	3.00	0.186	7.4	***	-11.92						
M15	103	2.90	2.60	3.25	0.144	5.0		109	2.68	2.40	3.00	0.122	4.5	***	-7.75						
M16	103	12.18	11.00	13.40	0.513	4.2		89	11.05	9.60	12.60	0.500	4.5	***	-9.28						
M17	103	4.47	3.90	4.90	0.185	4.1		108	4.09	3.75	4.55	0.174	4.3	***	-8.62						
M18	102	1.40	0.90	1.85	0.189	13.5		109	1.40	0.90	1.80	0.159	11.4	ns	-						
M19	97	5.20	4.75	5.85	0.198	3.8		108	4.82	4.35	5.30	0.183	3.8	***	-7.30						
M20	103	13.03	12.40	13.80	0.287	2.2		108	12.26	11.65	12.90	0.286	2.3	***	-5.91						
M21	103	1.28	1.10	1.50	0.090	7.0		109	1.02	0.85	1.20	0.079	7.8	***	-20.15						
M22	103	6.61	5.65	7.25	0.325	4.9		109	5.89	5.15	6.35	0.239	4.1	***	-10.95						
M23	103	5.47	4.80	6.20	0.312	5.7		109	4.96	4.25	5.50	0.259	5.2	***	-9.32						
M24	102	8.69	7.85	9.50	0.335	3.9		103	7.72	6.95	8.60	0.327	4.2	***	-11.15						
HB	96	115.0	99	136	6.23	5.4		92	108.9	89	124	6.68	6.1	***	-5.28						
TL	73	65.9	54	76	4.70	7.1		52	61.0	51	72	4.37	7.2	***	-7.43						
HF	95	21.1	19.1	23.3	0.69	3.3		85	18.9	17.2	20.9	0.67	3.5	***	-10.19						
EL	93	17.0	15.1	18.6	0.75	4.4		61	13.5	11.0	16.2	1.27	9.4	***	-20.66						

#### OTU 4 Kisangani RB

#### OTU 5 Irangi

age 2+3	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	CV%	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	CV%	sign.	%diff
														means
M1	87	27.95	26.25	29.80	0.764	2.7	34	28.64	26.80	30.45	0.843	2.9	***	2.5
M2	108	26.67	24.80	28.40	0.766	2.9	37	26.97	24.85	28.95	0.904	3.4	+	1.1
M3	104	22.64	20.55	24.00	0.724	3.2	36	22.92	20.95	24.10	0.841	3.7	ns	-
M4	109	11.38	10.25	12.30	0.412	3.6	37	11.53	10.75	12.30	0.435	3.8	ns	-
M5	109	5.91	5.30	6.75	0.320	5.4	37	5.88	5.35	6.50	0.280	4.8	ns	-
M6	109	7.40	6.70	8.15	0.302	4.1	37	7.32	6.50	8.15	0.371	5.1	ns	
M7	109	8.79	7.80	9.65	0.363	4.1	37	8.77	7.80	9.65	0.411	4.7	ns	-
M8	109	5.55	5.10	6.00	0.203	3.7	37	5.89	5.30	6.35	0.248	4.2	***	6.2
M9	106	13.68	12.45	14.90	0.495	3.6	37	14.22	13.15	15.45	0.549	3.9	***	3.9
M10	109	3.12	2.65	3.55	0.174	5.6	37	3.08	2.65	3.50	0.183	5.9	ns	-
M11	109	4.47	4.05	5.00	0.210	4.7	37	4.63	4.35	-5.15	0.223	4.8	***	3.4
M12	109	6.59	6.05	7.05	0.215	3.3	34	6.68	6.25	7.35	0.218	3.3	*	1.4
M13	109	1.65	1.45	1.80	0.071	4.3	37	1.66	1.50	1.90	0.084	5.1	ns	-
M14	109	2.53	2.15	3.00	0.186	7.4	37	2.52	2.20	2.90	0.177	7.0	ns	- 1
M15	109	2.68	2.40	3.00	0.122	4.5	37	2.57	2.30	3.00	0.129	5.0	***	-3.8
M16	89	11.05	9.60	12.60	0.500	4.5	34	11.62	10.40	13.05	0.583	5.0	***	5.2
M17	108	4.09	3.75	4.55	0.174	4.3	37	4.20	3.80	4.70	0.211	5.0	**	2.6
M18	109	1.40	0.90	1.80	0.159	11.4	37	1.31	1.00	1.65	0.183	14.0	**	-6.0
M19	108	4.82	4.35	5.30	0.183	3.8	37	4.91	4.45	5.30	0.186	3.8	**	1.9
M20	108	12.26	11.65	12.90	0.286	2.3	37	12.77	12.10	13.55	0.350	2.7	***	4.2
M21	109	1.02	0.85	1.20	0.079	7.8	37	1.13	1.00	1.30	0.072	6.4	***	10.4
M22	109	5.89	5.15	6.35	0.239	4.1	37	6.03	5.50	6.40	0.234	3.9	**	2.4
M23	109	4.96	4.25	5.50	0.259	5.2	37	4.78	4.40	5.15	0.195	4.1	***	-3.7
M24	103	7.72	6.95	8.60	0.327	4.2	36	7.75	7.10	8.40	0.353	4.6	ns	-

Table 3. Basic statistics of cranial and external metrical data of a typical "speckled" *Lophuromys* population (OTU 8: Mutura; age classes 2+3), compared to our new species *L. dudui* (OTU 4: Kisangani RB; age classes 2+3) and a further comparison between craniometrical data of the latter and our population of Irangi (OTU 5: Irangi; age classes 2+3).

180

OTU 8 Mutura								OTU 23 Mt Meru												
age 2+3	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	CV%	N	Mean	Min	Max	SD	CV%	sign.	%diff						
									a					means						
M1	103	30.07	27.70	31.75	0.800	2.7	35	30.45	28.95	31.60	0.648	2.1	***	1.25						
M2	103	28.61	26.35	30.30	0.808	2.8	35	29.26	27.55	30.60	0.692	2.4	***	2.25						
M3	103	24.19	21.55	25.80	0.777	3.2	35	24.91	23.55	26.25	0.649	2.6	***	2.98						
M4	103	12.06	11.00	13.00	0.421	3.5	37	12.58	11.85	13.20	0.312	2.5	***	4.28						
M5	103	6.26	5.55	6.80	0.274	4.4	37	5.94	5.30	6.45	0.278	4.7	***	-5.03						
M6	103	7.80	6.85	8.50	0.313	4.0	37	7.92	7.15	8.45	0.295	3.7	***	1.58						
M7	103	9.20	8.00	10.10	0.371	4.0	37	9.35	8.40	9.90	0.330	3.5	***	1.63						
M8	103	6.05	5.55	6.55	0.215	3.6	37	5.91	5.60	6.40	0.193	3.3	***	-2.32						
M9	103	15.11	13.85	16.05	0.469	3.1	36	14.65	13.95	15.35	0.354	2.4	***	-3.03						
M10	103	3.14	2.65	3.60	0.187	5.9	37	3.12	2.70	3.50	0.183	5.9	ns	-						
M11	103	5.07	4.50	5.65	0.208	4.1	37	5.05	4.65	5.55	0.211	4.2	ns	-						
M12	103	6.76	6.30	7.30	0.201	3.0	37	6.90	6.60	7.20	0.151	2.2	***	2.10						
M13	103	1.75	1.45	2.00	0.090	5.1	37	1.76	1.65	1.95	0.071	4.0	ns	-						
M14	103	2.87	2.40	3.40	0.191	6.7	37	2.69	2.40	2.90	0.124	4.6	***	-6.44						
M15	103	2.90	2.60	3.25	0.144	5.0	37	2.82	2.60	3.05	0.110	3.9	***	-2.66						
M16	103	12.18	11.00	13.40	0.513	4.2	36	12.12	11.30	13.10	0.440	3.6	ns	- 1						
M17	103	4.47	3.90	4.90	0.185	4.1	37	4.58	4.25	4.85	0.139	3.0	***	2.42						
M18	102	1.40	0.90	1.85	0.189	13.5	37	1.26	0.90	1.45	0.134	10.7	***	-10.61						
M19	97	5.20	4.75	5.85	0.198	3.8	37	5.24	4.90	5.90	0.226	4.3	ns	-						
M20	103	13.03	12.40	13.80	0.287	2.2	37	12.79	12.45	13.15	0.203	1.6	***	-1.84						
M21	103	1.28	1.10	1.50	0.090	7.0	37	1.24	1.15	1.40	0.072	5.8	***	-3.05						
M22	103	6.61	5.65	7.25	0.325	4.9	37	6.30	5.90	6.75	0.186	3.0	***	-4.70						
M23	103	5.47	4.80	6.20	0.312	5.7	37	4.98	4.55	5.30	0.168	3.4	***	-8.99						
M24	102	8.69	7.85	9.50	0.335	3.9	29	8.85	8.20	9.35	0.249	2.8	***	1.79						
HB	96	115.0	99	136	6.23	5.4	37	126.2	112	140	6.58	5.2	***	9.82						
TL	73	65.9	54	76	4.70	7.1	33	70.1	43	81	6.21	8.9	ns	-						
HF	95	21.1	19.1	23.3	0.69	3.3	36	22.5	21.0	24.7	0.74	3.3	***	7.00						
EL	93	17.0	15.1	18.6	0.75	4.4	36	18.7	14.3	22.0	1.77	9.4	***	10.29						

Table 4. Basic statistics of cranial and extern metrical data of a typical "speckled" *Lophuromys* population (OTU 8: Mutura; age classes 2+3) compared to our new species *verhageni* (OTU 23 : Mt Meru; age class 1 excluded).

OTT	ሞል አህ	11 3344666800	1111111111 1122223556	1111222222 6677133447	22333333333 7900001223	33333333333 3455556666	3333 6789	
010	INAU	1925067756	4513696692	5817647393	6404782480	9012780146	9843	
OTU 34	flavopunctatus MENAGHESHA ET1	TCCCTTGTCT	TACCCCGTAT	CCCTCACCTT	TAATTACTAT	GGTACTATAT	CCCC	
	flavopunctatus MENAGHESHA ET6				A	C		
OTU 9	laticeps KIBUYE RW5379	AT.TCC	$\texttt{CG} \ldots \texttt{T} \ldots$	TATCC	.GA	.AACACG.GC	ATTT	
	laticeps KIBUYE RW5377	AT.TCC	CGT	T.ATCC	.GA	. AACACG.GC	ATTT	
OTU 4	dudui KISANGANI/RB Z2556	AT.TCC	CGT	CTC.	GCC.	.AAC	AT.T	
	dudui KISANGANI/RB Z2558	AT.TCC	CGT	CTC.	GC	.AAC	AT.T	
OTU 23.1	aquilus MWEKA 14364	ATTCACT.	T.TAC.C	TCTCC	CACG.	AATC	<u>G.T</u>	
<u>OTU 23</u>	verhageni MERU 14313	ATTC.CT.	CA.T.C.C	CT.C	CAC	.A	<u>GT</u>	
OTU 3	rita KISANGANI/LB Z2575	AT.TCA	AGT.CG.	.T.CTC.	.GATTCCC	.AAC.G	AT.T	
	rita KISANGANI/LB Z2674	AT.TCA	AGT.CG.	.T.CTC.	.GATTC.C	.AAC.G	AT.T	
OTU 11	rita NGOME KIK1549	AT.TCC	AGT.CG.	.T.CTCC	ATC.C	.AAC	AT.T	
OTU 19.1	margarettae KAPTAGAT K10	ATCC.C	CG.A.TC	TCTGT.CC	A	.AAC	AT.T	
	margarettae KAPTAGAT K2	ATCC.C	CG.TTTC	TCTGT.CC		.AAC	AT.T	
OTU 21	zena ABERDARES R28182	ATCC.C	CGTATTC	ACTCC	AC	.AAC	AT.T	
	zena ABERDARES R28169	ATCC.C	CGTATTC	ACTCC	AC	.AAC	AT.T	
	zena ABERDARES R28185	ATCC.C.C	CGTATTAC	ACTGCC	AC	. AC . AC	AT.T	
OTU 21.1	zena GATAMAIYU R28456	ATC.AC.C	CGTATTC	ACTGCC	AC	.AAC	AT.T	
	zena GATAMAIYU R28489	ATCC.C	CGTATTC	ACTGCC	AC	.AAC	AT.T	
OTU 22	zena MOUNT KENYA R28382	ATC.AC.C	CGTATTC	CTGCC	AC	AAAC	AT.T	
	zena MOUNT KENYA R28426	ATCC.C	CGTATTC	CTGCC	AC	.AAC	AT.T	
	zena MOUNT KENYA R28377	ATCC.C	CGTATTC	ACTGCC	AC	.AC.AC	AT.T	

Table 5. List of the parsimony informative sites of the studied mitochondrial cytochrome *b* DNA fragment for the species *L.dudui* and *L.verhageni* compared against representatives of relevant species (listed are OTU-number, species name, locality and specimen number) in the region. The lower half of the table illustrates the low observed variability within and among populations of *L.zena*, illustrating the likelihood that within species variation can be expected to be low enough to allow species diagnosis using mtDNA sequences, even when based on only one or two specimens. DNA sequences can be obtained from the authors (erik.verheyen@naturalsciences.be)

OTU	TAXON	LOCALITY	NUMBER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
OTU 34	flavopunctatus	MENAGHESHA	ETI		.006	.013	.013	.012	.012	.014	.013	.014	.013	.013	.013	.013	.013	.013	.014	.013	.013	.013	.013	.013
	flavopunctatus	MENAGHESHA	ET6	.015		.012	.012	.011	.011	.012	.012	.013	.013	.011	.013	.012	.012	.012	.013	.012	.012	.012	.012	.012
OTU 9	laticeps	KIBUYE	RW5379	.073	.063		.000	.009	.009	.013	.013	.011	.011	.010	.011	.011	.011	.011	.012	.012	.012	.012	.011	.011
	laticeps	KIBUYE	RW5377	.073	.063	.000		.009	.009	.013	.013	.011	.011	.010	.011	.011	.011	.011	.012	.012	.012	.012	.011	.011
OTU 4	dudui	KISANGANI/3A	Z2556	.061	.051	.033	.033		.004	.012	.012	.009	.010	.008	.010	.009	.009	.009	.010	.010	.010	.010	.009	.010
	dudui	KISANGANI/3A	Z2558	.058	.048	.030	.030	.008		.012	.012	.010	.009	.007	.010	.009	.009	.009	.010	.009	.009	.009	.009	.009
OTU 23.1	aquilus	MWEKA	14364	.081	.066	.078	.078	.063	.063		.010	.014	.014	.012	.013	.013	.013	.013	.013	.013	.013	.012	.013	.013
OTU 23	verhageni	MERU	14313	.068	.058	.078	.078	.061	.058	.045		.014	.013	.012	.013	.013	.012	.012	.013	.013	.013	.013	.012	.013
OTU 3	rita	KISANGANI/5B	Z2575	.081	.071	.053	.053	.035	.038	.081	.081		.004	.007	.012	.012	.012	.012	.013	.012	.012	.012	.012	.012
	rita	KISANGANI/5B	Z2674	.078	.068	.051	.051	.038	.035	.081	.078	.008		.007	.012	.012	.012	.012	.013	.012	.012	.012	.012	.012
OTU 11	rita	NGOME	KIK1549	.068	.053	.043	.043	.025	.023	.063	.061	.020	.018		.011	.010	.010	.010	.011	.010	.010	.010	.010	.010
OTU 19.1	margarettae	KAPTAGAT	K10	.073	.068	.048	.048	.040	.038	.078	.076	.066	.063	.051		.007	.008	.008	.009	.008	.008	.008	.008	.008
	margarettae	KAPTAGAT	K2	.071	.066	.048	.048	.035	.033	.071	.073	.061	.058	.045	.018		.007	.007	.008	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
OTU 21	zena	ABERDARES	R28182	.068	.058	.051	.051	.033	.030	.071	.063	.058	.056	.038	.028	.020		.000	.006	.004	.004	.005	.004	.004
	zena	ABERDARES	R28169	.068	.058	.051	.051	.033	.030	.071	.063	.058	.056	.038	.028	.020	.000		.006	.004	.004	.005	.004	.004
	zena	ABERDARES	R28185	.081	.071	.061	.061	.045	.043	.073	.071	.071	.068	.051	.035	.028	.013	.013		.006	.006	.007	.006	.004
OTU 21.1	zena	GATAMAIYU	R28456	.073	.063	.056	.056	.038	.035	.076	.068	.063	.061	.043	.028	.020	.005	.005	.013		.004	.005	.004	.004
	zena	GATAMAIYU	R28489	.073	.063	.056	.056	.038	.035	.071	.068	.063	.061	.043	.028	.020	.005	.005	.013	.005		.004	.004	.004
OTU 22	zena	MOUNT KENYA	R28382	.073	.063	.056	.056	.038	.035	.066	.068	.063	.061	.043	.028	.020	.010	.010	.018	.010	.005		.004	.005
	zena	MOUNT KENYA	R28426	.071	.061	.053	.053	.035	.033	.073	.066	.061	.058	.040	.025	.018	.008	.008	.015	.008	.008	.008		.004
	zena	MOUNT KENYA	R28377	.073	.063	.053	.053	.038	.035	.076	.068	.063	.061	.043	.028	.020	.005	.005	.008	.005	.005	.010	.008	

Table 6. Genetic distances for all pairwise comparisons given as uncorrected p-distances (lower triangle) and standard errors (upper triangle).

The Lophuromys flavopunctatus Thomas 1888 s.l. species complex: a craniometric study

181