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Abstract

Herein, we describe three unusual and/or rare and morphologically distinct ichnofossils for this unit, each of which shows unusual features related to preservation, plus add further observations to the original description of an ichnotaxon that suggests a most peculiar behaviour.

Introduction

Trace fossils are an understudied, yet diverse and significant element of the biota of the Maastrichtian (Upper Cretaceous) in its type area in Liège-Limburg (Belgium) and southern Limburg (The Netherlands) (Dortangs, 1998; Donovan & Jagt, 2002a; Jagt, 2003) (Fig. 1). The present authors are attempting to correct this omission by describing previously unreported but significant trace fossils from the various members included in the Maastricht Formation. Herein, we describe three unusual and/or rare and morphologically distinct ichnofossils from this unit, each of which shows unusual features related to preservation, plus add further observations to the original description of an ichnotaxon that suggests a most peculiar behaviour.

Systematic ichnology

Ichnogenus Arachnostega BERTLING, 1992

Type ichnospecies

Arachnostega gastrochaenae BERTLING, 1992, p. 179, by original designation. The only nominal ichnospecies.
**Material**

Two specimens. An incomplete internal mould of an indeterminate lithophagid bivalve, NHMM JJ 12354, with *A. gastrochaenae* apparent within the moulds of both valves (Pl. 1, Figs. 1, 2). The internal mould of the bivalve, preserved in a medium- to coarse-grained biocalcarene, is broken both anteriorly and posteriorly, preserving little detail of the internal surface of the mollusc shell except for some coarse growth lines.

The second specimen, NHMM MK 367, is an internal mould of an indeterminate patellid limpet of the type described by Kaunhowen (1898, p. 15, pl. 1, figs. 3-4), with *A. gastrochaenae* weakly developed (Pl. 1, Fig. 3).

**Locality and Horizon**

NHMM JJ 12354 is from ENCI-Maastricht BV quarry, south of Maastricht, southern Limburg (The Netherlands), base of subunit IVf-4, Meerssen Member, Maastricht Formation. Upper Cretaceous; uppermost Maastrichtian (for simple lithostratigraphic section, see Jagt et al., 1998, figs. 1, 2). The label of NHMM MK 367 states "Nekami, Bemelen [now known as Ankerpoort-'t Rooth quarry], ?Nekum Member," Maastricht Formation. Upper Cretaceous; upper Maastrichtian (Fig. 1).

**Diagnosis**

(After Bertling, 1992, p. 180). "Ramified burrows on the surface of internal moulds with an oval cross-section, which increases slowly in diameter by a factor of 5 to 10, approximately. At ramifications, the bent main burrow is not reduced in size. Lateral burrows mostly have a smaller diameter. Polygonal areas on the surface of internal moulds may be produced by the unification of ramified burrows."

**Description**

Based mainly on NHMM JJ 12354. Burrows more intensively developed adjacent to the right(?) valve (Pl. 1, Figs. 1, 2) than left(?). Burrows best seen on surface of moulds, preserved in a surface layer that has, in part, peeled away on NHMM JJ 12354 (Pl. 1, Fig. 2), revealing less common burrows below. Burrows of incomplete circular or elliptical section, preserved as grooves with overhanging edges and not infilled, individual burrows varying from c. 0.1 to 0.6 mm in maximum diameter; broader sections of burrows(?) may be a taphonomic artifact. Larger diameter burrows forming reticulate pattern at surface of mould.

**Remarks**

This is the first reported occurrence of this distinctive, but cryptic, ichnotaxon from the Maastrichtian of the type area. Wilson & Taylor (2001, p. 26) recorded it from approximately coeval sedimentary rocks of the Qahlah Formation in Oman, uniquely preserved in mudstones infilling *Gastrochaenolites* isp. borings. However, the unusual mode of occurrence of *A. gastrochaenae* within in-
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Two specimens. An incomplete internal mould of an indeterminate lithophagid bivalve, NHMM JJ 12354, with *A. gastrochaenae* apparent within the moulds of both valves (Pl. 1, Figs. 1, 2). The internal mould of the bivalve, preserved in a medium- to coarse-grained biocalcarene, is broken both anteriorly and posteriorly, preserving little detail of the internal surface of the mollusc shell except for some coarse growth lines.

The second specimen, NHMM MK 367, is an internal mould of an indeterminate patellid limpet of the type described by Kaunhowen (1898, p. 15, pl. 1, figs. 3-4), with *A. gastrochaenae* weakly developed (Pl. 1, Fig. 3).

**Locality and Horizon**

NHMM JJ 12354 is from ENCI-Maastricht BV quarry, south of Maastricht, southern Limburg (The Netherlands), base of subunit IVf-4, Meerssen Member, Maastricht Formation. Upper Cretaceous; uppermost Maastrichtian (for simple lithostratigraphic section, see Jagt et al., 1998, figs. 1, 2). The label of NHMM MK 367 states "Nekami, Bemelen [now known as Ankerpoort-'t Rooth quarry], ?Nekum Member," Maastricht Formation. Upper Cretaceous; upper Maastrichtian (Fig. 1).

**Diagnosis**

(After Bertling, 1992, p. 180). "Ramified burrows on the surface of internal moulds with an oval cross-section, which increases slowly in diameter by a factor of 5 to 10, approximately. At ramifications, the bent main burrow is not reduced in size. Lateral burrows mostly have a smaller diameter. Polygonal areas on the surface of internal moulds may be produced by the unification of ramified burrows."

**Description**

Based mainly on NHMM JJ 12354. Burrows more intensively developed adjacent to the right(?) valve (Pl. 1, Figs. 1, 2) than left(?). Burrows best seen on surface of moulds, preserved in a surface layer that has, in part, peeled away on NHMM JJ 12354 (Pl. 1, Fig. 2), revealing less common burrows below. Burrows of incomplete circular or elliptical section, preserved as grooves with overhanging edges and not infilled, individual burrows varying from c. 0.1 to 0.6 mm in maximum diameter; broader sections of burrows(?) may be a taphonomic artifact. Larger diameter burrows forming reticulate pattern at surface of mould.

**Remarks**

This is the first reported occurrence of this distinctive, but cryptic, ichnotaxon from the Maastrichtian of the type area. Wilson & Taylor (2001, p. 26) recorded it from approximately coeval sedimentary rocks of the Qahlah Formation in Oman, uniquely preserved in mudstones infilling *Gastrochaenolites* isp. borings. However, the unusual mode of occurrence of *A. gastrochaenae* within in-
filled shells and borings prevents its identification except within mouldic material, so it is probably more widespread in the Mesozoic and Cainozoic than has been reported hitherto (see also comments in Fürsich et al., 1994).

Although present internally, the best development of burrows is undoubtedly on the surface of the internal mould, where movement would have been constrained by the molluscan shell. This may indicate that the producing polychaetes (?) were in some way grazing the interior of the shell. They may have been feeding on non-photosynthetic microbes that had been living on the inside of the shell.

**Ichnogenus Oichnus Bromley, 1981**

**Type ichnospecies**

*Oichnus simplex* Bromley, 1981, p. 60, by original designation.

**Other ichnospecies**


**Diagnosis**

(After Donovan & Pickerill, 2002, p. 87). "Small, circular, subcircular, oval or rhomboidal holes or pits of biogenic origin in hard substrates, commonly perpendicular to subperpendicular to substrate surface. Excavation may pass directly through substrate as a penetration, most commonly where the substrate is a thin shell, or may end within the substrate as a shallow to moderately deep depression or short, subcylindrical pit, commonly with a depth:width ratio of \( \leq 1 \), with or without a central boss."

**Remarks**

Following the recent paper by Todd & Palmer (2002), further examination of the type series of *Oichnus excavatus* Donovan & Jagt, 2002b, by the authors has revealed new and significant morphological features of the substrate that clarify the mode of formation.

*Oichnus excavatus* Donovan & Jagt, 2002b (Pl. 1, Figs. 4, 6, 7)

1993 [unnamed boring in *Hemipneustes striatoradiatus* (Leske, 1778)]; Defour et al., fig. 3c.

2000 [unnamed boring in *Hemipneustes striatoradiatus* (Leske, 1778)]; Jagt, pl. 24, figs. 4-5.


2003 *Oichnus excavatus* Donovan & Jagt — Blissett & Pickerill, pp. 221-223, fig. 2.

**Material**

All pits preserved in tests or test fragments of the holasteroid *Hemipneustes striatoradiatus* (Leske, 1778). Special specimens considered herein include the holotype pit in NHMM MK 4689 (Pl. 1, Fig. 6, arrowed), and selected paratypes, including 17 other pits in NHMM MK 4689 (Pl. 1, Figs. 4, 6, 7) and all pits in NHMM JI 699 (at least 61 individual pits).

**Locality and horizon**

Upper 5-10 m of the Meerssen Member, Maastricht Formation, formerly exposed along the Albertkanaal at Vroenhoven-Riemst, Limburg (Belgium) (Donovan & Jagt, 2002b, fig. 1). Upper Cretaceous; uppermost Maastrichtian (Fig. 1).

**Diagnosis**

(Slightly revised after Donovan & Jagt, 2002b, p. 69.) Circular to elliptical, non-penetrative *Oichnus*, almost invariably with a broad, high, raised central boss. Aperture of pit overhanging and walls concave.

**Description**

(Further to features described in Donovan & Jagt, 2002b.) All surfaces of pits bearing sculpture of irregularly distributed to well-ordered tubercles, commonly very small (granular), although may be present in two sizes (Pl. 1, figs 4, 6, 7). In at least one shallow pit, small tubercles are arrayed around larger tubercles in a circle. Large tubercles are perforate and crenulate.

**Remarks**

The structures described above did not become readily apparent until the pits were first painted with black food colouring and then whitened with ammonium chloride. Although these structures are absent in parts of at least some specimens, this may be a preservational artifact.

The functional significance of these structures, both to the host echinoid and the boring organism, is uncertain, but they are too close in morphology to the spine-bearing tubercles of the holasteroid test for this to be mere coincidence. This interpretation is strongly reinforced by the example in which a larger primary (?) tubercle is surrounded by a circle of smaller secondary (?) tubercles in an arrangement typical of *Hemipneustes striatoradiatus*. If the interpretation of these structures is correct, it would suggest that *Oichnus excavatus* is not a boring as originally interpreted (Donovan & Jagt, 2002b), but more probably an embedment structure that left the ectoderm of the echinoderm intact. Although debatable, it is most probable that these tubercles did bear spines. This determination may seem improbable, as these spines would be numerous and would presumably penetrate the soft tissues of the trace-forming organism. Counter-intuitively, this may have been advantageous to the producing organism in giving it further anchorage to the test, additional to that provided by the concave walls and large central boss (discussed by Donovan & Jagt, 2002b). Indeed, the function of the large central boss is easier to explain if it supported spines that were embedded in the soft tissues of the trace producer. Whatever their origin, these tubercles add a unique element to the function of these distinc-
tive pits, although the tubercles themselves must be considered a morphological feature of the host echinoid rather than the trace fossil per se.

Pickerill & Donovan (1998) synonymised Tremichnus Brett, 1985, with Oichnus Bromley based on their identical morphology. Subsequently, this ichnotaxonomic decision has been supported by some authors and criticised by others (Nielsen & Nielsen, 2001, 2002; Donovan & Pickerill, 2002; Todd & Palmer, 2002; Nielsen et al., in press).

The diagnosis of Oichnus excavatus was recently emended by Blissett & Pickerill (2003) to include more conical specimens in a Miocene Conus sp. from Jamaica. The ichnospecific diagnosis given herein defines the type species and does not embrace the range of morphologies shown by the Jamaican specimens. The latter may be deserving of description as a new ichnospecies.

Ichnogenus Talpina von Hagenow, 1840

Type ichnospecies

Other ichnospecies
Talpina annulata Voigt, 1975; T. eduliformis Quenstedt, 1858; T. hirsuta Voigt, 1975; T. gruberi Mayer, 1952; T. scalariformis Ghare, 1982.

Diagnosis
(Slightly modified after Hántzschel, 1975, p. W133.)
“Straight to curved tunnel systems in shelly substrates, commonly branched, diameter ca. 0.2 mm; numerous oval or circular openings towards exterior.”

Remarks
The diagnosis of Hántzschel (1975, p. W133) considered that this ichnotaxon was only found “... in the rostra of belemnoids ...” As demonstrated herein, other robust, shelly substrates were also utilised by these borings (Fürsich et al., 1994). As noted by Hántzschel (1975), Talpina was originally interpreted as a bryozoan boring, but it is now considered to be a product of the activities of phoronids (Voigt, 1978). This is not unexpected, for the systematic position of the substrate should not be considered a valid ichnotaxobasis for a boring (Pickerill, 1994; Bromley, 1996; Pickerill & Donovan, 1998; Donovan & Pickerill, 2002).

Ichnogenus Trypanites Mägdefrau, 1937
(emend. Bromley, 1972)

Type ichnospecies
Trypanites weisei Mägdefrau, 1937, by monotypy.

Other ichnospecies
Trypanites fimbriatus (Stephenson, 1952); T. fosteryoemanii Cole & Palmer, 1999; T. solitarius (von Hagenow, 1840).

Diagnosis
(Slightly modified after Bromley & D’Alessandro, 1987, p. 403.) Single-entrance, cylindrical or subcylindrical, unbranched borings in lithic substrates, having circular to subcircular cross-section throughout length. The axes of borings may be straight, curved or irregular.

Remarks
Bromley & D’Alessandro (1987, p. 403) considered Trypanites to have a circular cross-section. Specimens such as that considered below, with an oval cross-section, fit the revised diagnosis above, yet are morphologically distinct from other pouch-shaped borings (compare with discussions in Bromley, 1972; Bromley & D’Alessandro, 1987). Bromley & D’Alessandro (1987, p. 404) considered straightness versus limited sinuosity of Trypanites ispp. to be unsuitable ichnotaxobases, because “Deviations of a boring from a straight course can be caused by many factors ...” Similarly, too rigid a defini-
tion of boring cross-section would eliminate ichnotaxa that vary from the diagnosis due to factors controlled in part by the nature of the substrate, such as thickness (e.g., thin versus thick shell) and substrate inhomogeneity (as below).

**Trypanites cf. solitarius** MÄGDEFRAU, 1937  
(Fig. 2)

**Material**  
A single specimen, NHMM LN 7384, within an internal mould of a valve of the oyster *Rastellum macropterum* sensu STENZEL, 1971, in which the boring is preserved as a cast.

**Locality and Horizon**  
Upper third of the Nekum Member, Maastricht Formation, CBR-Romontbos quarry, Eben Emael, Bassenge, Liège (Belgium) (for lithostratigraphic section, see JAGT, 1995). Upper Cretaceous; upper Maastrichtian (Fig. 1).

**Description**  
Boring preserved in internal mould of oyster valve as a cast in flint. Boring elliptical in section, with smooth, unsculptured surface. Aperture conical, round, but asymmetrical, opening on inner surface of oyster valve. Boring showing strong geniculation close to the aperture. Close to aperture boring skewed slightly sideways, subperpendicular to oyster valve internal surface, showing three infoldings of wall that give it a rope-like appearance. Distal to the geniculation the shaft of boring (about 8 mm in length) shows no such infoldings, and is broad and flattened parallel to the inner surface of the oyster. Main shaft has a constricted and round termination adjacent to the muscle scar of the oyster, which it did not penetrate. This main shaft supports a lozenge-like structure close to the end, separated from the shaft by a further infolding and situated on the side away from the inner surface of the valve. Total length c. 11 mm.

**Remarks**  
The Mesozoic and Cainozoic fossil record of oysters includes numerous examples in which their commonly large, thick shells have been encrusted and penetrated by a diversity of organisms or have in turn overgrown a variety of organic substrates (TODD, 1993). Thus, the boring in NHMM LN 7384 is not an unusual phenomenon per se, but, rather, it is of interest because of its unusual morphology, which is particularly apparent due to the mode of preservation.

The boring entered the valve on its internal surface, indicating that the host was a dead oyster shell and most probably already disarticulated. The infoldings of the in-

---

**Fig. 2** — Cast of *Trypanites cf. solitarius* (VON HAGENOW, 1840), NHMM LN 7384, preserved in association with an internal mould of a valve of the oyster *Rastellum macropterum* sensu STENZEL. (a) Oyster in plan view, boring right of centre, with base adjacent to muscle scar of mollusc. (b) Ventral view of oyster, boring to right and shaped like a recumbent 'L.' (c) Dorsal view of oyster, boring to left. All scale bars represent 10 mm.
itial, short shaft perpendicular to the inner valve surface are interpreted as having been produced in reaction to zones within the shell structure that were particularly difficult to penetrate. The broad, conical aperture indicates that the shell calcite was perforated with relative ease and, using this observation as our guide, it appears that the producing organism penetrated in turn five calcareous layers, separated by four thin, non-calcareous layers. The latter were undoubtedly the thin organic layers of the shell that, in life, separated the thicker prismatic calcareous layers. That these layers were difficult for the producer to penetrate is indicated both by the constrictions of the shaft and also by the main shaft distal to the geniculation apparently being confined to one shell layer and, in consequence, being elliptical in section (compare with Harper, 1994). Such a sculpture imposed by the substrate represents an unusual form of xenoglyph (Bromley et al., 1984) (= Fremdskulptur of Voigt, 1971).

Of the ichnospecies of Trypanites, the type T. fimbriatus, and T. fosteryeomani occur perpendicular to the host substrate. Bromley & D’Alessandro (1987, pp. 406-407) recognised three forms, A, B and C, of T. solitarius, of which the specimen described herein is closest in morphology to their form C. However, because of its unusual cross-section, NHMM LN 7384 is only included tentatively within this ichnospecies.
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