The Mosasaur *Plioplatecarpus* (Reptilia, Mosasauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of Europe

by Theagarten LINGHAM-SOLIAR

Abstract

This study represents the first extensive description of the genus *Plioplatecarpus* DOLLO 1882, from the Maastrichtian of Belgium and The Netherlands. It is based primarily on holotype and previously undescribed material. Unusual characters of the skull roof, the basioccipital and the jaws and dentition are examined and the character states assessed where possible. A reliable formula for the vertebral column is provided, based on perhaps the most complete *Plioplatecarpus* known, a specimen of *P. houzeaui*. Taxonomy, feeding, as well as the alleged behaviour of deep diving, are discussed, contrasting frequently with previous views. The ecology of *Plioplatecarpus* is mentioned in the light of recent material discovered in Africa and on documentation of the North American *P. primaevus*. It also takes account of recent information on the use of underwater-flight in *P. marshi*

Key-words: Plioplatecarpus, taxonomy, feeding, ecology, diving, underwater-flight

Résumé

Cette étude est la première description approfondie des représentants du genre *Plioplatecarpus* DOLLO, 1882, provenant des dépôts maastrichtiens de Belgique et des Pays-Bas. Elle se base principalement sur le matériel-type et sur des spécimens non-décrits antérieurement. Des particularités de la voûte cranienne, le basioccipital, les mâchoires et la dentition sont examinés et la valeur de ces caractères est discutée dans la mesure du possible. Une formule fiable est établie pour la colonne vertébrale sur base du spécimen sans doute le plus complet de *Plioplatecarpus*, appartenant à l'espèce *P. houzeaui*. La systématique, l'alimentation ainsi que le prétendu comportement de plongeur en eau profonde sont discutés; les conclusions contrastent, dans de nombreux cas, avec les vues antérieures. L'écologie de *Plioplatecarpus* est abordée la lumière du matériel nouvellement découvert en Afrique et de la documentation concernant *P. primaevus* d'Amérique du Nord. L'information récente concernant la pratique du "vol sous-marin" chez *P. marshi* est également pris en considération.

Mots-clefs: Plioplatecarpus, taxinomie, alimentation, écologie, plongée, "vol sous-marin".

Introduction

In the early days of research on the Mosasauridae, mosasaur material was invariably "lumped" together in a single genus, *Mosasaurus*. But even earlier than this, when the first mosasaur was simply referred to as the "Grand animal de Maestricht", FAUJAS-ST-FOND (1799), in his beautifully illustrated work, figures, as miscellaneous shark teeth, the first specimen, a tooth crown, referable to *Plioplatecarpus*. This remarkable plate also shows the teeth of two mosasaurs, *Leiodon* and *Carino-dens*, unknown at the time (LINGHAM-SOLIAR, 1992).

During MARSH's visit to the IRSNB, he recommended that a specimen of Mosasaurus hoffmanni should be assigned to M. gracilis on the basis of the slender, posteriorly recurved teeth (Dollo, 1882), since it clearly did not belong to any of the known species of mosasaurs. However, OWEN's (1851-1864) Mosasaurus gracilis, from the chalk of Offham, Sussex, on the other hand, was later regarded by LYDEKKER (1888) as the predaceous fish, Pachyrhizodus [a view, according to WOODWARD (1906), endorsed by O. C. MARSH]. Although WOOD-WARD (1889) initially agreed with LYDEKKER he subsequently (WOODWARD, 1906) endorsed OWEN's opinion. My observation of the relevant material favours LYDEK-KER's (1888) opinion that it belongs to a fish. It is therefore curious that MARSH should have used this name if he regarded Mosasaurus gracilis a fish, as alleged by WOODWARD.

The Belgian specimen, including material described by UBAGHS (1879) is, however, undoubtedly mosasaurian and DOLLO (1882) soon after renamed it *Plioplatecarpus marshi*, in honour of Professor MARSH.

DOLLO's descriptions of *Plioplatecarpus marshi* are exceedingly brief: "teeth are long slender and recurved; crowns facetted and striated and subcircular in cross-section; cervical hypapophyses articulated; zygosphenes absent; chevrons free; coracoid emarginated; humerus massive and phalanges subcylindrical; two posterior lumbar vertebrae fused to form a sacrum' (DOLLO, 1882, p. 64).

BAUR (1892) later criticized DoLLO's (1890) erection of a new family, the Plioplatecarpidae, to accommodate the single genus *Plioplatecarpus*, being satisfied instead of its affiliations with *Mosasaurus*. He particularly criticized the "sacrum", the basioccipital canal, and the interclavicle characters. Subsequently, DoLLO (1894) recanted and synonymised the Plioplatecarpidae with the Mosasauridae. However, there is no doubt today that DOLLO was right in his original judgement, even if some of the characters he used are incorrect.

Abbreviations

BMNH: British Museum (Natural History). Department of Palaeontology. Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD. U.K.

IRSNB: Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, rue Vautier 29, B-1040 Brussels, Belgium.

NHMM: Natuurhistorisch Museum Maastricht, de Bosquetplein 7, Maastricht, The Netherlands.

TSMHN: Teylers (Stichting) Museum, Haarlem, The Netherlands.

Cranial abbreviations used in the text:

Cranial morphology: a, angular; ar, articular; bo, basioccipital; bs, basisphenoid; bt, basipterygoid process; che, cerebral hemispheres; cor, coronoid; d, dentary; ec, ectopterygoid; en, external naris; f, frontal or frontal suture; gl, intermandibular articulation; IAM, internal auditory meatus; j, jugal; l, lacrymal; m, maxilla or maxillary suture; op, opisthotic; of, olfactory lobe; p, parietal or suture for parietal; paf, parietal foramen, pmx, premaxilla; pof, postorbitofrontal or suture for postorbital frontal; popr, paroccipital process of opisthotic; pr, prootic; pra, prearticular; prf, prefrontal or suture for prefrontal; pt, pterygoid; q, quadrate; sa, surangular; sp, splenial; sq, squamosal; st, supratemporal; sta, stapes; tym, tympanum; vc, vidian canal Cranial nerves: V, trigeminal nerve; VI, abducens nerve; VII, facial nerve; VIII, acoustic nerve, a, anterior branch, p, posterior branch; IX, glossopharyngeal nerve; X, vagus nerve; XI, accessory nerve; XII, hypoglossal nerve.

Stratigraphic position of the material from The Netherlands and Belgium

Most specimens of *Plioplatecarpus* came from the St. Pietersberg and from the townships Sibbe, Eben-Emael, and Zichem near Maastricht (Fig.1). The greater part of this material was discovered in the "Tuffeau de Maastricht" (type Maastrichtian = Maastricht Formation of Felder, 1975), specifically in the Nekum Chalk (Fig. 2). The only exceptions are the mosasaur material described



Fig. 1 — Geological and locality map of the Cretaceous Chalk of Belgium and The Netherlands showing some of the most important localities connected with this study. Inset after KENNEDY, 1987.

				PALAEOGEO GRAPHY			
				WATER DEPTH	DISTANCE TO SHORE	TEMPERATURE	WATER ENERGY
Maastricht Fmn.	Upper	Meerssen Chalk	<i>casimirovensis</i> Zone	shallow	mearshore	warm	≻high
		Nekum Chalk					
	Lower	Emael Chalk	junior Zone cimbrica Zone	≻deep	>offshore	>temperate	>low
		Schiepersberg Chalk					
		Gronsveld Chalk					
		Valkenburg Chalk					
Gulpen Fmn.	Upper	Lanaye Chalk					
		Lixhe Chalk 3					
		Lixhe Chalk 2					
		Lixhe Chalk 1					
	Lower	Vijlen Chalk					
		Beutenaken Chalk	obtusa Zone			cold	
		Zeven Wegen Chalk	mucronata Zone			temperate	

Fig. 2 — Belemnite zonation of the uppermost Campanian and Maastrichtian (separated by wavy line) in South Limburg (after KENNEDY, 1987) and main variations in palaeoecological conditions based on ROBASZYNSKI et al., 1985.

by UBAGHS (1879) and material belonging to Mr R. GARCET (CASE, 1976; GARCET, 1982) that came from the Upper Gulpen Formation, Lanaye Chalk (Fig. 2). Mosasaur material from The Netherlands is quite striking in its remarkably good surface preservation in contrast to material from the Phosphatic Chalk of Ciply (Hainaut, Belgium) which shows considerable surface abrasion and distortion. Unfortunately most of the Dutch material is fragmentary and disarticulated.

The Maastrichtian type section occurs in the E.N.C.I quarry, below the Lichtenberg farm, at the Sint Pietersberg near Maastricht (Figs. 1 & 2) (ROBASZYNSKI *et al.*, 1985).

There has been some confusion in the past concerning the delimitation of the Maastrichtian in the Netherlands. OMALIUS D'HALLOY (1808, cited from ROBASZYNSKI *et al.*, 1985) first reported the presence of the calcarenite, named by him "Tuffeau". The term "calcaire de Maestricht" was subsequently used by DUMONT (1832, cited from ROBASZYNSKI *et al.*, 1985) and d'ORBIGNY (1840, cited from ROBASZYNSKI *et al.*, 1985). Historically, however, the problem has been in distinguishing between the "Senonien" and "Maastrichtian" horizons (e.g. BINCKHORST, 1859; UBAGHS, 1866). DEWALQUE (1868, cited from ROBASZYNSKI *et al.*, 1985) on the other hand was the first to clearly distinguish between the "Senonien" and "Maestrichtien" and RUTOT (1894) used for the first time the correct form "Maastrichtian" (for further discussion see ROBASZYNSKI *et al.*, 1985, p. 9).

The boundaries between the Campanian and Maastrichtian in Limburg continued to present a problem until it was agreed at the Symposium on Cretaceous stage boundaries held at Copenhagen (October, 1983) to keep the base of the Maastrichtian close to the appearance of *Belemnella lanceolata* (ROBASZYNSKI *et al.*, 1985).

As far as this study is concerned, this problem is essentially academic because most of the mosasaurs in the Netherlands came from the uppermost Maastricht Formation.

Palaeoecology and palaeoenvironment of the Limburg area during Late Campanian and Maastrichtian times.

Previous suggestions that all the Late Cretaceous deposits in the Maastricht area had been laid down on the marine shelf, point to a maximum depth of 200-400m. In practice the water depth was considerably less, probably with an average of 40-50m and sea water temperatures probably higher during the deposition of the upper portion of the Maastricht Formation when the main Tethyan currents reached the Maastricht area. A representation of the variations in palaeoecological conditions in the Campanian-Maastrichtian of South Limburg, based on ROBAS-ZYNSKI *et al.* (1985), is presented in Figure 2.

According to JELETZKY (1955), representatives of the genus Belemnitella are generally associated with a warmwater environment and representatives of the genus Belemnella with a cold-water environment. ROBASZYNSKI et al., (1985) have suggested that this could be an explanation for the disappearance of the genus Belemnitella and the appearance of Belemnella at the transition of the Campanian/Maastrichtian and the reappearance of Belemnitella and disappearance of Belemnella at the end of the Lower Maastrichtian. It seems from this that the Campanian and Maastrichtian deposits in the Limburg area were laid down in a nearshore water depositional regime with changing temperatures (ROBASZYNSKI et al., 1985, p. 18). Furthermore, the shallow waters and high energy level in the Upper Maastricht Formation (Fig. 2) presents a taphonomic picture that may partly account for the generally disarticulated and broken nature of European Plioplatecarpus material.

For the geological setting and palaeoecology of the material from the Ciply Phosphatic Chalk of Belgium, see LINGHAM-SOLIAR & NOLF (1989).

Systematic Palaeontology

Order SQUAMATA OPPEL, 1811 Family MOSASAURIDAE GERVAIS, 1853 Subfamily PLIOPLATECARPINAE (WILLISTON), 1897

1884 Plioplatecarpidae Dollo: 653.

1890 "mosasauriens microrhynques" Dollo: 163.

- 1897 Platecarpinae WILLISTON: 177.
- 1967 Plioplatecarpinae Russell: 148.

EMENDED DIAGNOSIS. Short skull. No rostrum anterior to premaxillary teeth. Maximum twelve teeth on maxilla. External nares large. Moderate to large-size quadrate; large suprastapedial process with parallel sides, may be fused to infrastapedial process or almost touching. Cranial nerves X, XI and XII leave lateral wall of opisthotic through single foramen; canal in floor of basioccipital for basilar artery; maximum 14 teeth in dentary.

Length of presacral region less than postsacral. Caudal chevrons free. Appendicular elements heavily cartilaginous.

Tribe PLIOPLATECARPINI (RUSSELL, 1967)

DIAGNOSIS. Highly pointed to moderately pointed skull; delicately proportioned jaws. Delicate teeth, strongly and abruptly posteriorly recurved, subcircular in cross-section. Huge parietal foramen.

Plioplatecarpus DOLLO, 1882

1882 Plioplatecarpus Dollo: 62.

1889b Oterognathus (DOLLO); DOLLO: 272, pl. 9, fig. 10. 1894 Oterognathus (DOLLO); DOLLO: 221.

Generic type. Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo, 1882.

EMENDED GENERIC DIAGNOSIS. Long antero-median extension of frontal forming large part of postero-medial border of the external nares; smaller lateral extension forms postero-lateral border of external nares. Margins of dorsal parietal surface meet in front of diverging parietal rami forming triangular table anteriorly. Exit for cranial nerve VII on prootic opens directly on lateral surface of prootic; basioccipital canal has one or two ventral exits. Suprastapedial process not fused to very large infrastapedial process on quadrate, almost touch; tympanic ala thick, laterally cup shaped. Dentary teeth reduced in number.

Vertebral formula (based on *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* IRSNB R37: 7 cervicals, 15 dorsals, 16 pygals, 24 intercaudals and 58 terminals.

Articulating surfaces of cervical and anterior lumbar vertebral centra wider than deep (width 1.5 x depth), smoothly elliptical in outline. Neural spines of caudal centra, vertical on postsacrals 54-72, decrease uniformly in length from base to end of tail (DOLLO, 1894, pp. 235-236). Very large scapula, larger than coracoid. Glenoid articulating surface flat to slightly convex on both elements, do not form smoothly continuous surface. Superior border of scapula gently convex, deeply pitted. Coracoid expands medially to point behind glenoid articulation. Distal and proximal ends of humerus moderately expanded, shaft of humerus short and broad; pectoral crest large to enormous; pectoral crest and glenoid condyle not separated by a deep groove. Distal end of radius expanded. Shaft of radius very wide with only slight emargination. Humero-radial articulation subcircular.

Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo, 1882 (Figs. 3-15, Pls. 2-4)

- 1869 Mosasaurus gracilis COPE: 261-262.
- 1879 Mosasaurus gracilis UBAGHS: 245, pls 3-5.
- 1882 Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo: 64-65, pl. 6.
- 1885a Plioplatecarpus marshi DOLLO; DOLLO: 319-335, figs (on pages 320, 323, 333) and fig. 1.
- 1885b Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo; Dollo: 34.
- 1886 Mosasaurus gracilis SWANSTON: 134.
- 1888 *Plioplatecarpus marshi* Dollo; Dollo: 6, figs 4-8.
- 1889b *Plioplatecarpus marshi* DOLLO; DOLLO: 303, pl. 10, figs 6, 7.
- 1890 *Plioplatecarpus marshi* DOLLO; DOLLO: 153, pl. 2, figs 2, 4, 7, 8.
- 1904 Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo; Dollo: 207-213, pl. 6, figs 1-5.
- 1909 Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo; Dollo: 105.
- 1913 Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo; Dollo: 617, pl. 25, fig. 2.

1924 Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo; Dollo: 172.1967 Plioplatecarpus marshi Dollo; RUSSELL: 123.

HOLOTYPE: IRSNB R38, fragmentary skull and incomplete postcranial skeleton, comprising scapula and coracoid, portion of interclavicle, humerus, radius, ulna, phalanx, ribs, cervical, thoracic and lumbar vertebrae.

HORIZON AND LOCALITY OF HOLOTYPE: Upper Maastrichtian, Maastricht Formation, Craie "grisâtre à silex gris" (DOLLO, 1882, p. 64), near Zichen, Limburg, Belgium (Figs. 1 & 2).

REFERRED SPECIMENS: BMNH 42940, IRSNB R39, R40, 3673, 1622, 1675, NHMM 198349, 1989104, 1989124, 1989126, 1423, 003900, TSMHN 11291, 11276, 11209.

HORIZON AND LOCALITY OF REFERRED SPECIMENS: Specimen IRSNB R39 comes from the Upper Maastrichtian, Upper Gulpen Formation, Lanaye Chalk, near Eben-Emael, Liège, Belgium (Figs. 1 & 2). Specimen BMNH 5868 is from the Upper Campanian, Marlbrook Marl of Arkansas, U. S. A.

All the other specimens are from the Maastrichtian stratotypical area and from the same horizon as the holotype.

DIAGNOSIS: Reduced lateral walls of premaxilla; suture along part of midline of premaxilla; delicate, though relatively broad, internarial bar. Three large foramina on the postero-ventral surface of the frontal on either side of the cranial midline. Very slender dentary; inner occlusal border deeper than outer; large mandibular foramen anteriorly. Small coronoid. Pear-shaped or double articulation processes on splenio-angular joint. Vertebral formula based on IRSNB R40 & 3673: 7 (excluding atlas), 15 dorsals, 13 or 15 pygals, 30 intercaudals, about 40 terminals. Specimen IRSNB 3673 has 13 pygals and 29 caudals (Fig. 14) Laterally broad and deeply pitted dorsal surfaces of neural spines on cervical vertebrae. Deep pitting on dorsal surface of neural spines of terminal caudal vertebrae. Massive scapula, extends anteriorly and posteriorly. Large emarginated coracoid; very large coracoid foramen. Very stout humerus with huge pectoral crest. Radius broader than long; little emargination; circular proximal articulation. Broad posterior wing on ulna. Articulation of phalanges deeply pitted. Ribs sturdy with broad articulation head. Broad, robust interclavicle.

DESCRIPTIONS AND COMPARISONS

Descriptions are based essentially on the fragmentary holotype material IRSNB R38, R39, 1622, 3673, NHMM 1989124, 198349, TSMHN 11276, 11291. Restorations of *Plioplatecarpus marshi* are based primarily on IRSNB R38. Comparisons are made with the North American species *P. primaevus* (RUSSELL, 1967), *P. depressus* (COPE, 1869), *P. crassartus* (LINGHAM-SOLIAR & NOLF, 1989, p. 171, fig. 50) and *Plioplatecarpus* sp. BMNH 5868 (Pl. 1), from the Upper Campanian, Marlbrook Marl of Arkansas, U.S.A.

SKULL. Despite the highly fragmentary nature of the cranial material of *P. marshi*, it is clear from the slender premaxilla, maxilla, dentary and partial frontals that the skull was exceedingly narrow and sharply pointed anteriorly (Fig. 3).

PREMAXILLA. The premaxilla is relatively well preserved in IRSNB R38. It is rather narrow dorsally and truncated along the ventro-lateral surfaces. A row of probably no more than four large foramina is arranged



100mm

Fig. 3 — Composite restoration of the skull of *Plioplatecarpus marshi* based on IRSNB R38, 1622, R39 (extensive restoration).



Fig. 4 — Plioplatecarpus marshi (IRSNB R38). Premaxilla. A, dorsal view, B, lateral view; C, ventral view.

in a relatively straight line on either side of the dorsal midline. Tooth bases are orientated at a very steep angle anteriorly with the crowns sharply recurved posteriorly [(cf. e.g. in Prognathodon solvayi, (LINGHAM-SOLIAR & NOLF, 1989, pl. 2)]. For a slender skull, the internarial bar is particularly broad (cf. Mosasaurus hoffmanni Dollo, 1882, 1889b) although vertically flattened. It constricts slightly just past the midpoint. Along the longitudinal midline a split in the bone penetrates through to the ventral surface (Fig. 4A, C). This may represent a vestigial suture not previously noted in mosasaurs. The perfect symmetry on either side of this split, as well as good preservation of this element suggests that this is a real condition and not an artifact. A fragment of the premaxilla, specimen BMNH 42940 (from The Netherlands), belonging to Plioplatecarpus is also split along the longitudinal axis.

MAXILLA. There are only three fragments of the maxilla preserved, specimens IRSNB R38, R39 and a large portion, IRSNB 1622 (Fig. 5A-E). In the holotype fragment, the foramina situated above the gum line are small, all but for one near the midpoint of the bone. Specimen IRSNB 1622 is well preserved and represents about two thirds of the bone (Fig. 5C-E). Teeth are absent but there are eleven tooth sockets. Twelve or thirteen teeth were probably present in life. The tooth bases are strongly inclined anteriorly. Foramina are relatively large and extend no further than the midpoint of the length of the bone. A notch on the dorsal margin adjacent to the third/ fourth maxillary tooth presumably marks the anterior border of the external nares (Fig. 6).

FRONTAL. Two fragments of the frontal are preserved, specimens IRSNB R38 and NHMM 1983 (Fig. 5F, G respectively). The latter specimen represents approximately half the frontal split along the longitudinal axis. Posteriorly the bone was sliced during the mining process. However, both specimens together provide a reliable restoration (Fig. 7). The orbital margin is slightly convex and smoothly bevelled. The posterior boundary is absent. On the ventral surface of the holotype fragment, three fairly large foramina lie adjacent to the anterior termination of the excavation for the parietal foramen (Fig. 5F). Unfortunately specimen NHMM 1989104 is broken off at this point although a missing thin plate of bone (the whole plate is probably missing in the holotype) reveals narrow furrows that may have led to similar foramina as in the holotype (Fig. 5G).

POSTORBITOFRONTAL. The only known specimen of the postorbitofrontal in *Plioplatecarpus marshi*, to my know-ledge, belongs to poorly preserved material (unregistered



Fig. 5 — *Plioplatecarpus marshi*. Maxilla. (IRSNB R38). A, lateral view; B, medial view. (IRSNB 1622). C, lateral view; D, medial view; E, occlusal view. Frontal (IRSNB R38). F, dorsal and ventral views (top to bottom). (NHMM 1983). G, dorsal and ventral views (top to bottom).



Fig. 6 — Plioplatecarpus marshi. Restoration of the maxilla based on IRSNB 1622 and R39. Lateral view.

IRSNB specimens, dated 1st May, 1912) that is tentatively assigned to P. marshi. It is noteworthy that the prefrontal wing is extensive and broadly underlaps the frontal bone.

JUGAL. The jugal is also only known from the above material. It is broadly similar to the element in *Mosasaurus hoffmanni*, although it is more delicately proportioned. Medially there are two significant differences. On

the dorsal margin of the horizontal arm, just anterior to the junction of the two axes, there is a small roughened nubbin that presumably provided for ligamentous connections in life. A shallow roughened excavation on the anterior medial tip of the jugal also indicates a ligamentous connection with the postero-lateral surface of the maxilla.

THE BRAINCASE. The basioccipital unit was described in some detail by DOLLO (1885a) and DE VILLERS (1943). The description here is essentially new but may overlap on certain points. The holotype IRSNB R38 and NHMM 003900 (Figs 8A, B respectively) present the best preserved and almost complete braincase. In addition, relatively good braincase is present in specimen IRSNB R39. Material from Arkansas, U.S.A., specimen BMNH 5868



Fig. 7 — *Plioplatecarpus marshi* (IRSNB R38). Restored frontal. A, dorsal view; B, ventral view.



Fig. 8 — *Plioplatecarpus marshi*. Braincase. Lateral view. A, IRSNB R38; B, NHMM 003900.

(Pl. 1) may represent a closely related form. The exit for cranial nerve VII opens directly onto the lateral surface of the prootic, lacking an otosphenoidal crest. However, in IRSNB R39, cranial nerve VII leaves via two foramina. The exit for cranial nerve VIII (IAM) is similar to that of

Clidastes (RUSSELL, 1967). Cranial nerve IX leaves the braincase from virtually beneath the posterior border of the prootic. The lateral wall for the vidian canal is absent in all four *Plioplatecarpus* specimens examined. Whether it is eroded or represents a real lack of development is



Fig. 9 — Plioplatecarpus quadrates (left to right, anterior, lateral, medial & posterior). A, Plioplatecarpus marshi (IRSNB R40);
B, P. houzeaui (IRSNB R36); C, P. houzeaui (IRSNB 3101); D, P. houzeaui (IRSNB 3108).



Fig. 10 — Plioplatecarpus marshi (IRSNB 1622). Dentary. A, lateral view; B, medial view; C, occlusal view. (IRSNB R39). D, medial view; E, lateral view. Fragment of articular. F, medial view; G, lateral view. (NHMM 198349). Dentary of immature specimen. H, lateral view; I, medial view; J, occlusal view. (IRSNB R38). Splenial & angular. Lateral & medial views (top to bottom). K, splenial; L, angular; M, posterior view of splenial. Coronoid. N, lateral view; O, medial view.



Fig. 11 - Plioplatecarpus marshi. Restoration of dentary based on IRSNB 1622.

uncertain although generally good surface preservation in the holotype material and NHMM 003900 suggests that the wall was either absent in life or that it was exceptionally thin (discussed in LINGHAM-SOLIAR & NOLF, 1989). The foramen for the basilar artery in the basioccipital is enormous and has a single ventral exit, unlike in *P. houzeaui* in which there are two exits.

QUADRATE. This is one of the best preserved bones of *Plioplatecarpus marshi* with several excellent specimens in the collection e.g. IRSNB R40 (Fig. 9A). Detailed descriptions of the element are given by DOLLO (1885b, 1888, 1890, 1904) and will consequently not be repeated here (see *P. houzeaui* for discussion concerning the calcified tympanic membrane and diving in mosasaurs).

DENTARY. The dentary, IRSNB 1622 (Fig. 10A-C) is very slender with just a small posterior segment absent. Teeth are not preserved although there are eleven tooth sockets with presumably a further one or two located on the missing posterior segment. As in the maxilla the tooth bases are strongly inclined anteriorly. They occupy virtually the whole depth of the dental ramus. A row of large foramina extends along the midline of the bone decreasing in size posteriorly. The first foramen is enormous although it may be somewhat exaggerated by weathering. A few isolated foramina are present below the main row. Medially the meckelian fossa extends to the tip of the dentary. A striking feature of the dentary is the significantly lower lateral wall compared with the dorso-medial wall, also seen in the anterior dentary fragment of IRSNB R39 (Fig. 10D, E).

A slender dental element, NHMM 198349 (Fig. 10H-J) from the Maastrichtian horizon at Sibbe (Fig. 1) near Valkenburg (MEJER, 1983), is provisionally referred to *Plioplatecarpus marshi*. The dentary is complete and although the tooth crowns are not preserved there are clearly sockets for thirteen teeth. The first eight tooth sockets are approximately equal in size, the remaining five decreasing in size posteriorly.

SPLENIAL AND ANGULAR. Only two fragments of the

splenial and one of the angular are preserved in IRSNB R38 (Fig. 10K, L). The articulation between these two bones forms a pear-shaped tuberosity on the angular and a similarly shaped excavation on the splenial (Fig 10M).

CORONOID. The coronoid in *Plioplatecarpus marshi* is amongst the smallest in the Mosasauridae (Fig. 10N, O), smaller only in *P. houzeaui*. The coronoid eminence is low and the lateral and medial wings abbreviated.

MARGINAL DENTITION. The teeth in *Plioplatecarpus* marshi are weakly implanted and the crowns are finely striated. They are readily distinguished from those of Platecarpus species in being more delicate, more pointed and abruptly posteriorly recurved from about the midpoint of the crown (Figs 12 & 13 and DOLLO, 1882, pl. 6, fig. 6.; cf. RUSSELL, 1967, p. 154, fig. 85).

VERTEBRAL COLUMN. The vertebral column was previously described in a functional analysis of underwater flight in *Plioplatecarpus marshi* (LINGHAM-SOLIAR, 1992b). However, included here is a new restoration of the posterior vertebral column (Fig. 14) based on specimen IRSNB 3673. The articulation surfaces of several vertebrae from the holotype IRSNB R38 are seen in Plate 2. Of interest is a series of posterior caudals showing relatively short neural spines compared with the height of the centra. Unusually the dorsal surfaces are broad and deeply pitted (Pl. 3A).

INTERCLAVICLE. This is the most robust interclavicle I have seen in the Mosasauridae (DoLLO, 1885a and Pl. 2G, H) and quite unlike that of *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* IRSNB R37 (Fig. 25).

SCAPULA AND CORACOID. The scapula and coracoid have been described previously (LINGHAM-SOLIAR, 1992b). DOLLO's (1882, pl.6) incorrect positioning of the scapula with the coracoid, reversal of medial and lateral surfaces as well as anterior and posterior positions of the scapula is noted. I have included here a restoration of the type scapula (Fig. 15) and for comparative reasons and because of the scantiness of the material, new specimens TSMHN 11276 and 11291 (Pls. 3 & 4) are also



Fig. 12 — Plioplatecarpus marshi (IRSNB R39). Disassociated teeth. Maxilla. A, buccal view; B, lingual view. Dentary. A, buccal view; B, lingual view.



Fig. 13 — *Plioplatecarpus marshi* (IRSNB R39). Tooth restoration. A, buccal view; B, transverse section taken at the base.

figured although it is not certain that the scapula and coracoid are related. For instance specimen TSMHN 11276 reveals the extraordinarily deep pitting of the articulation surface (see LINGHAM-SOLIAR, 1992b for a functional analysis). A fragment of specimen BMNH 5868 (Pl. 1L, M), the North American species also

indicates a broad blade and a pitted dorsal edge for the cartilaginous suprascapula. The coracoid foramen may be located posteriorly although this is not certain at present.

DISCUSSION

THE SKULL ROOF. Two cranial elements, the premaxilla and the frontal, show certain unusual conditions. The premaxilla is normally completely fused in mosasaurs. Hence the dorsal suture along part of the premaxilla perhaps reflects the ancestral condition of lizards in which the premaxillae are separate bones. For instance, separate premaxillae are found in gekkonids, scincids and in fossil ardeosaurids (ESTES et al., 1988, p. 142) and bavarisaurids (Estes, 1983; MATEER, 1983). In all other lepidosauromorphs in which the condition can be determined, the premaxillae are separate (ESTES et al., 1988, p. 142). In most squamates, the premaxilla is usually fused, a condition ESTES et al. (1988, p. 142) interpreted as a synapomorphy of squamates. For the present the apparent longitudinal suture of the premaxilla of Plioplatecarpus marshi (IRSNB R38) is interpreted as a character reversal. The foramina on the ventral surface of the frontal probably represent exits for blood vessels or cranial nerves, although the condition has not, to my



Fig. 14 — Plioplatecarpus marshi (IRSNB 3673). Restoration of posterior vertebral column.

188



Fig. 15 — Plioplatecarpus marshi (IRSNB R38). Restoration of scapula.

knowledge, been observed in mosasaurs before. It may, however, be somewhat comparable to the condition in *Sphenosuchus acutus* (WALKER, 1990, p. 90) in which similar foramina mark the exits for cranial nerves. Part of a large excavation along the midline of the frontal indicates two conditions:

(i) that the forebrain was large and

(ii) the presence of a very large parietal foramen (also indicated by the cleft on the posterior margin of the frontal). Both conditions occur in the closely related *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui*. Anterior to this is part of a second excavation that presumably housed the olfactory lobes. A relatively deep excavation along the ventrolateral margin indicates a fairly broad invasion by the anterior wing of the postorbitofrontal. Although only about half the frontal length is preserved in IRSNB R38, it clearly matches closely with the large fragment of specimen NHMM 1983.

BASIOCCIPITAL FORAMINA AND RELATED SYSTEMATICS. The presence of one or two exits for the basilar artery seems to have no obvious significance (LINGHAM-SOLIAR & NOLF, 1989, p. 151) and the reason it enters the basioccipital at all has not been established. On the other hand TELLES-ANTUNES' (1964, p. 236) suggestion that there are four ventral foramina in *Platecarpus bocagei* seems to be based on a misinterpretation of small nutritive foramina. Such foramina are seen on the ventral surface of the basioccipital in most mosasaurs (e.g. *Platecarpus* sp. BMNH 4001).

LOWER JAW. The dentary in Plioplatecarpus marshi is

relatively the most slender in the Mosasauridae and readily distinguished from that of *P. houzeaui*, or for that matter from any other mosasaur. Although MEIJER (1983, fig. 1) considered that specimen NHMM 198349 did not belong to any known mosasaur, I am convinced that it comes from an immature individual of *P. marshi*. Three features prompt this view:

(i) the unusual phenomenon in which the lateral wall of the dentary is lower than the medial;

(ii) very strong anterior inclination of the tooth bases, and;

(iii) the mandibular exits for cranial nerve V extend no further than approximately half the length of the bone. Furthermore a complete loss of teeth, although circumstantial, reflects the peculiarly weak tooth implantation seen in *Plioplatecarpus* (DOLLO, 1913).

Plioplatecarpus houzeaui Dollo, 1889 (Figs. 16-26, Pls. 5-8)

- 1889b Oterognathus houzeaui (Dollo); Dollo: 272-293, pl. 10, fig. 14.
- 1889c Oterognathus houzeaui (Dollo); Dollo: 69.
- 1894 Plioplatecarpus houzeaui Dollo: Dollo: 233-237.
- 1904 *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* Dollo; Dollo: 207-213, pl. 6, figs 6-10.
- 1967 Plioplatecarpus houzeaui Dollo; Russell: 159.







Fig. 16 — *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* (IRSNB R37). Almost complete skeleton preserved in matrix (7 blocks). Abbreviations not included in previous list. Bou, basioccipital unit; be, belimnites; c1, cervical vertebra 1; cor, coracoid; crib, cervical rib; d1, dorsal vertebra 1; fi, fibula; ic1, intercaudal vertebra; is, ischium; intcl, interclavicle; met, metacarpal; p1, pygal vertebra; pmx, premaxilla; ret, retroarticular; scap, scapula; ster, sternum; sur, surangular; t1, terminal vertebra; te, tooth/ teeth.

HOLOTYPE: IRSNB R35 (IRSNB 3111), fragmentary skull. Specimen includes left and right dentary, angular, surangular, coronoid, articular, prefrontal, right ptery-goid, vertebrae.

HORIZON AND LOCALITY OF HOLOTYPE: Maastrichtian, Brown Phosphatic Chalk at Ciply, Hainaut, Belgium (LINGHAM-SOLIAR & NOLF, 1989).

REFERRED SPECIMENS: IRSNB 3099, 3101, R36 (3100), 3107, 3108, 3155, 3160, 3859, 3154, 3152 3130, 3122, R37.

HORIZON AND LOCALITY OF REFERRED SPECIMENS: As for holotype.

DIAGNOSIS: Premaxilla short, blunt anterior to premaxillary teeth. Short maxilla; last four teeth on maxilla greatly reduced in size. Incomplete longitudinal fusion of frontals along anterior half of the bone. Parietal is short; strongly constricted along longitudinal midpoint; parietal foramen is enormous, oval shaped. The horizontal and vertical arms of the jugal meet in a large ala-like process; distal tip of vertical arm of jugal fits into a deep socket-like excavation in the postorbitofrontal. Postorbitofrontal wing to the squamosal extends to the end of the latter. element; ventral, anteriorly directed ala-like process on the squamosal, single dorsal entrance and double ventral exit for the basilar artery on the basioccipital. Dentary short; very large posterior edentulous region on the dentary; teeth set in deep grooves in dental rami. Very



small coronoid. Massive anterior prearticular process. Highly kinetic elements of the lower jaw. *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* is smaller than *P. marshi*.

DESCRIPTIONS AND COMPARISONS

SKULL. Descriptions are based primarily on the referred specimens because of the fragmentary nature of the holotype material. The skull (Fig. 17) is delicately constructed and somewhat blunter than in *P. marshi* (Fig. 3). Fig. 16

PREMAXILLA. The premaxilla is quite broad dorsally and lacks a rostrum anterior to the teeth. Because of poor preservation it is not possible to comment on the foramina for the fifth cranial nerve. The internarial bar is relatively broad, narrowing at the midpoint between the external nares.

MAXILLA. The maxilla is relatively small, giving the teeth a somewhat exaggeratedly large appearance (Fig. 18A-D). Teeth are fairly long, exceptionally pointed and posteriorly recurved. The tooth bases virtually take up the whole of the depth of the maxilla. The narial emargina-

tion commences at about the mid-point of the second maxillary tooth. The teeth are subequal in size up to the seventh and then rapidly decrease in size, the last four teeth occupying the slender posterior segment of the dental ramus. Along the longitudinal mid-point of the dentary a row of large foramina extends from about the first maxillary tooth to about the sixth.

FRONTAL. The general shape of the frontal is distinctive (Fig. 19 & Pl. 6). It is a relatively narrow, delicately constructed bone, somewhat emarginate at the orbits becoming convex anteriorly, then curving out slightly to form a short slender prong that makes up a small part of the lateral border of the external nares on either side of the skull. A long antero-medial process of the frontal forms the posterior part of the internarial bar. Posteriorly the frontal extends into two broad flanges, on either side of the enormous parietal foramen, and meets the postorbitofrontals and anterior segment of the parietal. A ridge, bounded on either side by a shallow excavation, extends along the longitudinal midline from the anterior wing to over half the length of the frontal. Ventro-



Fig. 17 — Plioplatecarpus houzeaui (IRSNB 3101, 3130 & R35). Skull restoration (extensive). A, lateral view; B, dorsal view.

laterally a deep continuous excavation indicates that the prefrontal and postorbitofrontal were in contact but that they were overlapped by the frontal along the orbital margins. The ventral excavation surrounding the parietal foramen is enormous, manifestly larger than in any other known mosasaur with the implication of a particularly well developed forebrain. Anteriorly a narrow excavation represents the dorsal roof of the olfactory lobes. (Pl. 5B)

LACHRYMAL. The lachrymal is well preserved in IRSNB 3101. It is relatively large for the size of the skull but has the characteristic arrow-head shape (Fig. 18E. F).

PREFRONTAL. A part of the prefrontal is preserved (Fig. 7A, B). The supraorbital ala is poorly developed and in place of a nubbin on the ala there is a small ridge (Pl. 7A).

POSTORBITOFRONTAL. A fairly well preserved postorbitofrontal is present in IRSNB R36. The frontal wing is large and underlies the posterior wings of the frontal. The parietal wing is short and is united in a suture with the parietal. The posterior wing is long and extends to the extreme posterior corner of the squamosal. The ventral wing of the postorbital unusually forms a deep, posteriorly directed excavation that articulates with the vertical arm of the jugal.

JUGAL. The jugal in *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* is delicate and with a rather characteristic shape (Pl. 7C, D), particularly in the broadly expanded ala-like process at the junction of the horizontal and vertical arms. The horizontal arm is narrow and virtually tubular but becoming somewhat dorsoventrally compressed anteriorly, at the same time curving steeply upward to the margin of the prefrontal bone. The vertical arm terminates in a slender point that was clearly received by a deep excavation in the ventral surface of the postorbitofrontal.

SQUAMOSAL. The squamosal in specimen IRSNB R36 is a rather delicate, markedly arrow-head shaped bone with an unusually long ala or tongue extending anterolaterally (Pl. 7E). The postorbitofrontal wing to the squamosal extends virtually to the posterior border of the squamosal, ending in a rather broad spatulate process.



Fig. 18. — *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* (IRSNB 3101). Right maxilla. A, lateral view; B, medial view. Left maxilla. C, lateral view; D, medial view. Lachrymal. E, lateral view; F, medial view.

PALATINE. The palatine is preserved in just one specimen of *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui*, IRSNB 3130. It is fused to the pterygoid posteriorly and a portion of the prefrontal dorsally. In most respects it is very similar to the palatine of *Prognathodon solvayi* (LINGHAM-SOLIAR & NOLF, 1989, fig. 13).

PARIETAL. The parietal is complete in only one specimen, *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* IRSNB 3130 (Fig. 20), but is poorly preserved. Several important characters are, however, established. The parietal foramen is enormous and lies approximately equally between the frontal and parietal bones. The fronto-parietal suture is irregular, with the parietal meeting the frontal on a narrow boundary. The main body of the parietal is short and somewhat constricted at the dorsal mid-point. The diverging suspensorial rami are relatively straight and deeply striated on the ventral extremities where they were sutured to the squamosals in life (Pl. 6F). At the junction of the diverging processes the parietal is deeply notched, presumably for the attachment of the cervico-mandibularis musculature. An unusually large descending process or ala is present on the ventral surface of the parietal (Pl. 6A, B). Striae on the ventromedial surface suggest the presence of ligaments for attachment to the prootic of the basioccipital unit.

Fig. 20

BASIOCCIPITAL UNIT. The basioccipital unit is disarticulated and incomplete (Fig. 21). The exoccipitals are absent. The basioccipital condyle is highly dorsoventrally compressed and relatively broad, spanning almost the complete width of the basioccipital. Dorsally, a single large foramen is present while the exit ventrally is



Fig. 19 — *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui*. (IRSNB 3108). Frontal. Restoration. A, dorsal view; B, ventral view.



Fig. 20 — *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui*. (IRSNB 3108). Parietal Restoration. Dorsal view.

biramous. The exit for cranial nerve VII, on the lateral face of the prootic, is without a trace of an otosphenoidal crest.

PTERYGOID. The pterygoids are preserved in IRSNB R3108, R3101 (Pl. 8A, B), 3130 and R35 (Pl.8C, D). The element is a delicate bone although it is clear from specimen IRSNB 35 that the quadratic process was quite expansive. The tooth row forms a slight curve. Eight tooth bases and one broken tooth are present in R3108 but poor preservation makes it hard to tell if this represented the full number. Despite presence of only tooth



Fig. 22 — *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui*. Reconstruction of the pterygoid based on IRSNB 3101 & 3130. Ventral view.



Fig. 21 — Plioplatecarpus houzeaui. (IRSNB 3108). Basioccipital unit. A, lateral view; B, dorsal view; C, ventral view.

bases in IRSNB 3101 there were clearly 11 teeth in each pterygoid (Fig. 22).

QUADRATE. The quadrate in *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui*, as in *P. marshi*, is the best preserved element (Pl. 8E-G). The quadratic tympanum is enormous and specimen IRSNB R36 (Fig. 9B) shows that it was clearly calcified. The stapedial pit is elliptical in shape. The most variable condition in the species is the shape and size of the infrastapedial process which in certain cases almost closes the stapedial meatus (also see RUSSELL, 1967, p. 160, fig. 87).

DENTARY. The right dentary of the holotype is well preserved but for the missing anterior tip (DOLLO, 1889b; Figs 23 & 24) but is complete in R37 (Fig. 16A & Pl. 5C). The bone tapers from a relatively broad contact with the postmandibular unit, rapidly to a very slender dental ramus, the postero-ventral surface is rather concave although the ventral margin of the dentary itself is relatively straight. The teeth are quite unusually set in a deep groove along the dental ramus. The tooth bases are very strongly orientated anteriorly and occupy almost the entire depth of the dental ramus, as in *Plioplatecarpus marshi*. The teeth are pointed and posteriorly recurved. The posterior edentulous process is striking in its size, forming perhaps a third of the entire length of the dentary. In contrast to the condition in P. marshi the dorso-medial and dorso-lateral margins are of equal height.

SPLENIAL. The right splenial is particularly well preserved in specimen IRSNB R35. It extends anteriorly to almost the tip of the dentary and vertically to just below the medial margin. A large foramen is present near the splenial articulation. The articulation is fairly broad and forms a shallow cup to receive the condyle of the angular. The medial surface of the splenial is somewhat concave.

ANGULAR. The angular in IRSNB R35 is characteristically mosasauroid, forming a slight tuberous process anteriorly for articulation with the splenial.

SURANGULAR. The surangular in IRSNB R35 is a small bone compared with that of other mosasaurs. A large foramen is present on the lateral surface just below the



Fig. 23 — Plioplatecarpus houzeaui. (IRSNB R35). Lower Jaw. A, lateral view; B, occlusal view; C, medial view.

coronoid. The surangular is somewhat abruptly truncated posteriorly (cf. for example *Platecarpus*, RUSSELL, 1967, pp. 66, 67) with the articular forming a considerable part of the post mandibular unit. This condition is highly unusual in mosasaurs.

CORONOID. The coronoid in IRSNB R35 is smaller than in any other known mosasaur (Fig. 23). Laterally it is just barely noticeable, seated on the crest of the surangular. The medial surface of the coronoid is somewhat deeper than the lateral surface but nevertheless does not reach the rather pinched mandibular fossa of the surangular. A broad expanse of the surangular separates the medial wing of the coronoid from the angular. ARTICULAR. The prearticular contributes the largest part of the postmandibular unit, a unique condition in mosasaurs (Fig. 23). Its anterior extension is strikingly deep and extends far into the presplenial foramen anteriorly.

RETROARTICULAR PROCESS. The retroarticular process in *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* is preserved only in IRSNB 3108. It is more or less rectangular, in lateral aspect, the ventral margin inclined at approximately 45 degrees to the horizontal. On the medial surface the foramen for the chorda tympani lies in a slight excavation formed by the articular and the retroarticular process.

MARGINAL DENTITION. Teeth are similar to those of



Fig. 24 - Plioplatecarpus houzeaui. Reconstruction of the lower jaw based on the holotype (IRSNB R35). Medial view.

Plioplatecarpus marshi although striae and carinae appear somewhat less distinct. Whether or not this may be due to the poorer preservation is not certain at present.

POSTCRANIAL SKELETON.

VERTEBRAL COLUMN. The vertebrae are generally similar to those of *Plioplatecarpus marshi*. Transverse processes are vertically compressed. Articulation surfaces in the dorsal vertebrae are heart-shaped if slightly dorsoventrally flattened. In specimen IRSNB 3108 the posterior zygapophyses disappear from approximately the third to fourth thoracic vertebra and the anterior zygapophyses from approximately the sixth thoracic vertebra (cf. *P. depressus*). Zygosphenes and zygantra are absent (Fig. 25A). The best and most complete vertebral column is IRSNB R37 although it is embedded in matrix (Fig. 16).

INTERCLAVICLE. It is preserved in matrix in IRSNB R37 (Figs 16A & 25B). The bone is eroded anteriorly but it is clear that generally it is more delicate than in *Plioplate-carpus marshi*.

STERNUM. A rarely preserved sternum is present in IRSNB R37 (Fig. 16C) and was clearly quite large in size.

SCAPULA AND CORACOID. These elements are relatively almost as large as in *Plioplatecarpus marshi* although somewhat lacking the extensive antero-posterior component in *P. marshi* (Figs 16B & 26).

DISCUSSION

JAWS AND FEEDING. Two possible reasons are proposed for

the strong anterior inclination of the tooth bases: either to accommodate tooth bases in relatively shallow dental rami, or to counteract the pressures exerted by struggling prey, preventing teeth being pulled out of their sockets as prey was swallowed or as the lower jaw was disengaged and moved forwards. The latter interpretation seems to be supported by the fact that the teeth of durophagous mosasaurs such as *Globidens* and *Carinodens* are in contrast set in vertical sockets, where the main movement was up and down for crushing.

The construction of the postmandibular unit of *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* is striking. The most obvious feature is the absence of strong overlapping bones particularly noticeable in the coronoid, surangular and angular. This jaw was particularly kinetic in contrast to that of most other mosasaurs.

GREGORY (1951) postulated that the jaws of the Cretaceous toothed bird *Hesperornis* and those of mosasaurs and in particular *Clidastes* sp. demonstrate convergent evolution. While some resemblances are noted, his subsequent comment (GREGORY, 1952) that a skull of *Ichthyornis* in the AMNH (MARSH, 1880) was in fact that of an immature mosasaur, is incorrect as pointed out by RUSSELL (1967, p. 121). One similarity, however, between the lower jaw of *Hesperornis* and *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* is noted here, that of an oblique articulation between the angular and splenial bones (Figs 23 & 24). This presumably facilitated a greater spring levering of the anterior and posterior units of the lower jaw. In view



Fig. 25 — Plioplatecarpus houzeaui. (IRSNB 3108). Vertebrae. Atlas. A, lateral view; B, posterior view; Cervical vertebra. C, lateral view; D, posterior view. Thoracic vertebra. E, lateral view; F, posterior view. (IRSNB R37). Interclavicle. G, dorsal view.



Fig. 26 — *Plioplatecarpus houzeau*i. (IRSNB 3101). Coracoid. A, lateral and medial views (top to bottom). Scapula. B, lateral and medial views (top to bottom).

of this, it is probable that the exceedingly broad articular, interleaving between the splenial and dentary provided stability for the whole lower jaw, and in particular, may have functioned to prevent disarticulation at the slender splenio-angular joint as the dentary pivotted slightly downwards when it engaged prey. In contrast, GREGORY (1951) supposed that a similarly broad prearticular in *Hesperornis* served to restore the jaw to the original position after lateral distortion. The unusual deep groove for the tooth row in the dentaries of *P. houzeaui* is also seen in *Hesperornis* (GREGORY, 1951, p. 347) and is presumed here to be a homoplastic condition although its function is not clear at present.

RELATIONSHIP OF THE QUADRATE WITH DEEP-DIVING AND WITH THE SYSTEMATICS OF PLIOPLATECARPUS

Dollo (1904, 1905) interpreted the remarkably intact calcified tympanic ear in specimen IRSNB R36 as a specialization for deep diving. This view was later supported by VAUGHN & DAWSON (1953). RUSSELL (1967, p. 49), however, observed a calcified tympanic membrane in members of three subfamilies of the Mosasauridae.

Most recently, MARTIN & ROTHSCHILD (1989) presented the condition of a calcified tympanic membrane in Platecarpus and "absence" in Clidastes (this is incorrect as seen in LINGHAM-SOLIAR & NOLF, 1989) as supportive evidence for their hypothesis of deep diving in Platecarpus (LINGHAM-SOLIAR, 1992a). Among the characters with which RUSSELL (1967, p. 160) distinguished Plioplatecarpus primaevus from P. marshi was the larger size of the posterior prominence beneath the stapedial pit, somewhat similar to the condition seen in P. houzeaui IRSNB 3108 (Fig. 33). In P. houzeaui it is clear that there is a considerable variation in this condition. Other characters, however, are constant in the species P. houzeaui. Indeed it is virtually impossible to distinguish between the quadrates of P. houzeaui and P. marshi, hence the separation of species based on such variations must be treated with caution.

PALEOBIOLOGY OF PLIOPLATECARPUS

Plioplatecarpus houzeaui is quite distinct from P. marshi and significantly smaller. P. marshi is somewhat more evolved, demonstrated by the conditions of the pectoral girdle in particular. However, P. houzeaui is in turn more advanced than the species in the Pierre Shale of the Western Interior of the U.S.A. (RUSSELL, pers. comm.). Because of the fragmentary nature of the North American material of *Plioplatecarpus*, it is very difficult to decide whether or not certain features of the quadrates and vertebrae are sufficient to have warranted Russell's (1967) species distinctions or whether they could simply be interpreted as intraspecific differences similar to those seen in both P. marshi and P. houzeaui. Further examination of the North American material is necessary. In my opinion, European and North American forms of Plioplatecarpus may prove to be more closely related. Recent material from the Upper Demopolis Formation of Sumter County, Alabama (Campanian/Maastrichtian boundary) of an almost complete mosasaur, Plioplatecarpus "gandyi" (BURNHAM, 1990, abstract; unpublished Masters thesis, 1991 and pers. comm.) in my opinion shows striking similarities to P. houzeaui material and specimen BMNH 5868 shows considerable resemblance to P. marshi.

BAUR, G. H. C. L., 1892. On the morphology of the skull of the Mosasauridae. *Journal of Morphology*, **7** (1): 1-22.

BINCKHORST, VAN DEN BINCKHORST, J. J.-T., 1859. Esquisse géologique et paléontologique des couches crétacées du Limbourg et plus spécialement de la craie Tuffeau. Ed. van Osch-America and Cie. Maastricht.

BLESS, M. J. M., 1982. Geologie Weekend - Zuid-Limburg. Centrum Cocarde Valkenberg a/d Geul. 80 pp.

BLESS, M. J. M. et al. (23 authors), 1983. Ostracoden uit het Boven-Krijt van Zuid-Limburg als milieu-indikatoren. Natuurhistorisch Maandblad, **1983**: 158-164.

BURNHAM, D. A., 1990. A new mosasaur from the Upper Demopolis Formation of Sumter County, Alabama. *Journal* of Vertebrate Paleontology, 10 (3, Supplement): 16A.

BURNHAM, D. A., 1991. A new mosasaur from the Upper Demopolis Formation of Sumter County, Alabama. Unpublished Masters Thesis, Faculty of the Graduate School of the University of New Orleans, 64 pp..

CASE, J., 1978. Mosasaurs in the catacombs. A visit to temple Eben-Emael, Belgium. *News Bulletin of the Society of Verte*brate Paleontology, (New Haven), **110**: 45.

COPE, E. D., 1869. On the reptilian orders Pythonomorpha and Streptosauria. *Proceedings of the Boston Natural History Society*, **12**: 250-266.

CUVIER, G., 1824. Recherches sur les ossements fossiles. 3rd edition. Paris. 5 volumes.

CUVIER, G., 1834-1836. Recherches sur le ossements fossiles. 4th ed. Paris. 10 vols.

DEVILLERS, C., 1943. Nerfs craniens et circulation céphalique de *Plioplatecarpus marshi. Annales de Paléontologie*, **30**: 45-59.

DOLLO, L., 1882. Note sur l'ostéologie des Mosasauridae. Bulletin du Musée d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique, 1: 55-80. Plioplatecarpus from Europe

It seems that underwater flight in *Plioplatecarpus marshi* was an adaptation to a complex environment of, for instance, weed beds or coral reefs, consistent with my recent proposal that *Plioplatecarpus* was confined to estuarinal and lagoonal conditions. Sustained caudal swimming was replaced by pectoral propulsion (LIN-GHAM-SOLIAR, 1992b) as has previously been observed in fishes in confined areas (WEBB, 1982, p.339). In such a confined environment, axial undulation with a large lashing tail can be seen as a distinct disadvantage. Pectoral propulsion presumably allowed greater manoueverability and perhaps even facilitated in Plioplatecarpus, the invasion of the plesiosaur niche.

Acknowledgments

I wish to express my gratitude to M. Benton (University of Bristol) for invaluable comments in a review of an earlier version of the manuscript and for help in many ways. I am also grateful for helpful comments made by J. Jagt (Natuurhistorisch Museum, Maastricht) and R. Holmes (McGill University, Ontario). I am grateful to P. Crabbe of The Natural History Museum, London, for photographs on specimen BMNH 5868.

Dollo, L., 1885a. Notes d'ostéologie erpétologique. Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles, 9 (2): 309-338.

DOLLO, L., 1885b. Première note le hainosaure. Bulletin du Musée d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique, 4: 25-35.

Dollo, L., 1888. Sur le crâne des mosasauriens. Bulletin Scientifique de la France et de la Belgique, **19**: 1-11.

DOLLO, L., 1889a. Nouvelle note sur les vertébrés fossiles récemment offerts aux Musée de Bruxelles par M. Alfred Lemonnier. Bulletin de la Société Belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie, **3**: 214-215.

Dollo, L., 1889b. Premi`re note sur les mosasauriens de Mesvin. Bulletin de la Société Belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie, Mémoires, **3**: 271-304.

DOLLO, L., 1889c. (Sur quelques mosasauriens nouveaux). Annales de la Société scientifique de Bruxelles, **13**: 68-69.

DOLLO, L., 1890. Premi`re note sur les mosasauriens de Maestricht. Bulletin de la Société Belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie, 4: 151-169.

Dollo, L., 1894. Nouvelle note sur l'ostéologie des mosasauriens. Bulletin de la Société Belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie, Mémoires, **6**: 219-259.

Dollo, L., 1904. Les mosasauriens de la Belgique. Bulletin de la Société Belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie, 18: 207-216.

Dollo, L., 1905. Un nouvel opercule tympanique de Plioplatecarpus, mosasaurien plongeur. *Bulletin de la Société Belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie*, **19**: 125-131.

DOLLO, L., 1909. The fossil vertebrates of Belgium. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 4 (1): 99-119.

Dollo, L., 1913. *Globidens fraasi*, mosasaurien mylodonte nouveau du Maestrichtien (Crétacé supérieur) du Limbourg, et l'ethologie de la nutrition chez les mosasauriens. *Archives de Biologie*, **28**: 609-626. DOLLO, L., 1917. Les vertébrés vivants et fossiles. Guide du Touring Club de Belgique, 2: 126-161.

DOLLO, L., 1924. *Globidens alabamaensis*, mosasaurien américain retrouvé dans la craie d'Obourg (Sénonien supérieur) du Hainaut, et les mosasauriens de la Belgique en général. *Archives de Biologie*, **34**: 167-213.

DUMONT, A., 1832. Mémoire sur la constitution géologique de la province de Liège. *Mémoires de l'Academie Royal de Belgique. Classe des sciences*, **16**, (2): 351-373.

ESTES, R., 1983. The fossil record and early distribution of lizards. In: RHODIN, A. & MIYATA, K. (eds.), Advances in Herpetology and Evolutionary Biology : Essays in honour of Ernest E. Williams. Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University. 365-398.

ESTES, R., DE QUEIROZ, K. & GAUTHIER, J., 1988. Phylogenetic relations within squamata. In: ESTES, R. & PREGILL, G. (eds), Phylogenetic relationships of the lizard families : essays commemorating Charles L. Camp. Stanford University Press, Stanford, California. 15-98.

FAUJAS-SAINT-FOND, B., 1799. Histoire naturelle de la montagne de Saint-Pierre de Maestricht. Paris. 263 pp.

FELDER, W. M., 1975. Lithostratigraphische Gliederung der Oberen Kreide in Süd-Limburg (Niederlande) und den Nachbargebieten. Erster teil: Der Raum westlich der Maas. Typusgebiet des "Maastricht". *Publicaties Natuurhistorisch genootschap Limburg*, **24** (3-4): 1-43.

GARCET, R., 1982. De vondst van de *Mosasaurus* in de Pach-Lowe (Belgi). *Natuurhistorisch Maandblad*, **71** (11): 185-189.

GREGORY, J. T., 1951. Convergent evolution: the jaws of *Hesperornis* and the mosasaurs. *Evolution*, **5** (4): 345-354.

GREGORY, J. T., 1952. The jaws of the Cretaceous toothed birds *Ichthyornis* and *Hesperornis. Condor*, **54**: 73-88.

JELETZKY, J. A., 1955. Belemnitella praecursor, probably from the Niobrara of Kansas, and some stratigraphic implications. *Journal of Paleontology*, **29** (5): 876-885.

JOHNSON, C. L., 1959. Microfossils of the Gregory Shale Member of the Pierre Formation. *Proceedings of the South Dakota Academy of Sciences*, **38**: 49-52.

KENNEDY, W. J., 1987. The Ammonite fauna of the Type Maastrichtian with a revision of Ammonites colligatus Binckhorst, 1861. Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre, 56: 151-267.

LINGHAM-SOLIAR, T., 1991a. Mosasaurs from the Upper Cretaceous of the Republic of Niger. *Palaeontology*, **34**: 653-670.

LINGHAM-SOLIAR, T., 1991b. Predation in mosasaurs - a functional approach. In: Natural Structures, Principles, Strategies and Models in Architecture and Nature. Proceedings II. International Symposium of the Sonderforschungsbereich 230. *SFB* 230, **6** (1): 169-177.

LINGHAM-SOLIAR, T., 1992a. The tylosaurine mosasaurs (Reptilia, Mosasauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of Europe and Africa. *Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique*, Sciences de la Terre, **62**: 171-194.

LINGHAM-SOLIAR, T., 1992b. A new mode of locomotion in mosasaurs - subaqueous flight in *Plioplatecarpus marshi*. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, **12**: 405-421.

LINGHAM-SOLIAR, T. & NOLF, D., 1989. The mosasaur *Progna*thodon from the Upper Cretaceous of Belgium (Reptilia, Mosasauridae). Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre, **59**: 137-190. LYDEKKER, R., 1888. Catalogue of the fossil Reptilia and Amphibia in the British Museum (Natural History), volume 1, London.

MARSH, O. C., 1880. New characters of mosasauroid reptiles. American Journal of Science, (3), **19** (109): 83-87.

MARTIN, L. D. & ROTHSCHILD, B. M., 1989. Paleopathology and diving mosasaurs. *American Scientist*, **77**: 460-467.

MATEER, N., 1983. Osteology of the Jurassic lizard Ardeosaurus brevipes (Meyer). Palaeontology, 23: 461-469.

MEIJER, A. W. F., 1983. De vondst van een onderkaaksbeen van een onbekende Mosasauriër (Reptilia, Mosasauridae) in de Sibbergroeve. *Natuurhistorisch Maandblad*, **72** (12): 269-271.

OMALIUS d'HALLOY, J. J. d', 1808. Essai sur la géologique du Nord de la France. *Journal des Mines*, **24**: 123-158.

PETTERS, S. W., 1977. Ancient Seaway across the Sahara. Nigerian Field, 42: 22-30.

PETTERS, S. W., 1979. Maastrichtian arenaceous foraminifera from north and western Nigeria. *Palaeontology*, **22**: 947-963.

ROBASZYNSKI, F., BLESS, M. J. M., FELDER, P. J., FOUCHER, J. C., LEGOUX, O., MANIUIT, H., MEESEN, J. P. M. TH. & VAN DER TUUK, L. A., 1985. La limite Campanien-Maastrichtien dans le Limbourg belgo-néerlandais. *Géologie Mediterranéenne*, **10** (3-4), 59-72.

RUSSELL, D. A., 1967. Systematics and Morphology of American Mosasaurs. *Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History*, Yale University, **23**: 1-237.

RUTOT, A., 1894. Essai de synchrononisme des couches maastrichtiennes et sénoniennes de Belgique, du Limbourg hollandais et des environs d'Aix-la-Chapelle. Bulletin de la Société Belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie, 8: 145-194.

SWANSTON, W., 1886. Mosasaurus gracilis, Owen, from the Irish Chalk. Geological Magazine, n. s. 3: 134.

TELLES-ANTUNES, M., 1964. O Neocretácico e o Cenozóico do litoral de Angola; 1 Estratigrafia; Reptéis. Junta de Investigacôes do Ultramar, 257 pp., Lisbon.

UBAGHS, C., 1866. Essai sur les couches de bryozoaires du tuffeau de Maestricht. Publication de la Société archéologique du Duché du Limbourg.

UBAGHS, C., 1879. Description de quelques grands vertébrés de la Craie Supérieure de Maastricht. Description Géologique et Paléontologique du sol du Limbourg, 1879, 238-249.

VAUGHN, P. P. & DAWSON, M. R., 1956. On the occurrence of calcified tympanic membranes in the mosasaur *Platecarpus*. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Sciences*, **59** (3): 382-384.

WALKER, A. D., 1990. A Revision of Sphenosuchus acutus Haughton, a crocodylomorph reptile from the Elliot Formation (Late Triassic or Eearly Jurassic) of South Africa. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* (B), **330**: 1-120.

WEBB, P. W., 1982. Locomotor patterns in the evolution of Actinopterygian fishes. *American Zoologist*, **22**: 329-342.

WEBB, P. W., 1984. Form and function in fish swimming. *Scientific American*, 252: 72-82.

WILLISTON, S. W., 1897. Range and distribution of the mosasaurs. *Kansas University Quarterly*, **6**: 177-189.

WILLISTON, S. W., 1898. Mosasaurs. University of Kansas Geological Survey Bulletin, 4: 83-221.

WOODWARD, A. S., 1889. A synopsis of the vertebrate fossils of the English Chalk. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, *London*, **10**: 273-338.

WOODWARD, A. S., 1906. Note on some portions of mosasaurian jaws obtained by Mr. G. E. Dibley from the Middle Chalk of Cuxton, Kent. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association*, *London*, **19**: 185-187.

Typescript received: July 15, 1992 Corrected typescript received: May 15, 1993

> T. Lingham-Soliar Institut für Geologie und Paläontologie der Universität Tübingen Sigwartstrasse 10 D-72076 Tübingen, DBR

> > PLATES

- Pl. 1 Plioplatecarpus sp. (BMNH 5868). Basioccipital unit. A, posterior view; B, lateral view; C, dorsal view. Quadrate. D, lateral view; E, medial view; F, posterior view. Splenial. G, lateral view. Retroarticular. H, lateral view. Atlas neural spine. I, lateral view; J, medial view. Atlas intercentrum. K, posterior view. Scapula. L, lateral view of portion of blade; M, dorsal view. Phalanges. N, lateral view. Intermedium. O, lateral view, P, articulation surface. Q, articulation surface of phalanges.
- Pl. 2 *Plioplatecarpus marshi* (IRSNB R38). Posterior views. A, B, cervical vertebrae; C, anterior thoracic; D, posterior lumbar; E, ?pygal; F, atlas neural spine (left to right) lateral, medial and posterior views. Interclavicle. G, ventral view; H, dorsal view.



204





50mm



Pl. 3 — Plioplatecarpus marshi (TSMHN un-numbered specimen). A, posterior caudal vertebrae. (TSMHN 11276). B, coracoid.







Pl. 5 — *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* (IRSNB R37). A, anterior skeleton of *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* (Fig. 16) in matrix. B, ventral view of frontal and partial parietal; C, dorso-lateral view of lower jaws (B & C, detail).



Pl. 6 — *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui*. Frontals. (IRSNB R36). A, dorsal view; B, ventral view. (IRSNB 3108). C, dorsal view; D, ventral view. (IRSNB 3101). E, dorsal view; F, ventral view.



Pl. 7 — *Plioplatecarpus houzeaui* (IRSNB 3101). Prefrontal. A, dorsal view; B, ventral view. Jugal. C, lateral view; D, medial view. (IRSNB R36). Squamosal with large antero-lateral tongue.



Pl. 8 — Plioplatecarpus houzeaui. (IRSNB 3101). Pterygoid. A, dorsal view; B, ventral view. (IRSNB R35). C, dorsal view; D; ventral view. (IRSNB R3101). Quadrate. E, postero-medial view; F, medial view; G, lateral view.