Annotated checklist of the umagillid turbellarians infesting echinoids (Echinodermata)
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ABSTRACT. A literature survey of the taxonomically complex taxon Syndesmis Silliman, 1881 is presented, resulting in the recognition of 22 valid species and three species mentioned in several works but not yet described. For each of these species the host(s) are mentioned and the distribution is given. These results are compared with earlier checklists and the differences are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

The family Umagillidae Wahl, 1910 (Platyhelminthes, Rhabdocoela) is the largest family of symbiotic turbellarians. It includes 68 valid species (WESTERVELT, 1981; CANNON, 1982, 1987; SHINN, 1987; CANNON, 1990; HERTEL et al., 1990; JANGOUX, 1990; KOZLOFF & WESTERVELT, 1990; WESTERVELT & KOZLOFF, 1990, 1992; MOENS et al., 1994; JONDELIUS, 1996; KOZLOFF, 1997; VASS & NAPPI, 1998). Most umagillids are common endosymbionts of echinoderms, particularly echinoids and holothuroids, and a few occur in sipunculids (see CANNON, 1982; JANGOUX, 1990). Our knowledge of the biology of umagillids is, however, very scant. For instance, although they are frequently found in the digestive tract or coelomic cavity of their hosts, almost nothing is known about the nature of their symbiotic relationships. The life cycle itself is completely elucidated for only four species: Anoplodium hymanae Shinn, 1983 and Wahlia pulchella Kozloff & Shinn, 1987 (both infesting holothuroids) (SHINN, 1983a, 1985a, b, 1986a), Fallacohospes inchoatus Kozloff, 1965 (infesting crinoids) (SHINN, 1986b) and Syndesmis franciscana (Lehman, 1946) (infesting echioidns) (SHINN, 1980, 1981, 1983b). Most umagillid species are host-specific, though a few apparently infest several, often phylogenetically unrelated, echinoderm species (see CANNON, 1982; SHINN, 1984; JANGOUX, 1990).

Research on the biology of these worms is greatly hampered by the complex taxonomy of the group, which often leads to wrong assumptions. For instance, for almost sixty years all umagillids found in echinoids were attributed to Syndesmis echinorum François, 1886 (see VON GRAFF, 1903). Although already very early on, it was suggested that more species existed (see BRAUN, 1889), it was not until recently that S. echinorum was split into six valid species (see KOZLOFF & WESTERVELT, 1987, 1990; WESTERVELT & KOZLOFF, 1990, 1992; KOZLOFF, 1997). After the description of the second recognized species of Syndesmis, S. franciscana (Lehman, 1946), many more species were described and redescribed. Yet, authors often did not agree on the taxonomic importance of many characters. For instance, the validity of the genera Syndisyrinx Lehman, 1946 and Marcusella Westblad, 1953 has been the subject of many impassioned debates (LEHMAN, 1946; MARCUS, 1949; STUNKARD & CORLISS, 1951; WESTBLAD, 1953; HYMAN, 1960; CANNON, 1982, 1987; KOZLOFF & WESTERVELT, 1987, 1990, 1992). In the most recent literature, all umagillid species infesting echinoids were brought together into the genus Syndesmis Silliman, 1881 (see CANNON, 1982; MOENS et al., 1994; GеваERTS et al., 1995; JONDELIUS, 1996).

Taxonomic literature regarding the species of Syndesmis has become extremely entangling, and is almost inaccessible for novice and even experienced researchers who are not particularly interested in taxonomy. As a result, several attempts were made to review the extensive literature on these animals: STUNKARD & CORLISS (1951), HICKMAN (1956), JENNINGS (1971), BAREL & KRAMERS (1977), CANNON (1982), HERTEL et al. (1990), JANGOUX (1990), VASS & NAPPI (1998). Yet, in recent years, several works (KOZLOFF & WESTERVELT, 1987, 1990; HERTEL et al., 1990; WESTERVELT & KOZLOFF, 1990, 1992; KOZLOFF, 1997) showed that many specimens previously attributed to a given species, in fact belong to several distinct species and, consequently, some species are less opportunistic than previously thought, thereby making most earlier checklists outdated. Moreover, because of confusing synonymsies, discrepancies in the spelling of species names, and because of an incomplete literature survey or bad translations of non-English literature, these reviews contain mistakes and contradictory information.

The new checklist we present here is intended to give an overview of all umagillid species infesting echinoids, based on a complete literature survey. As the names of
many species changed through time, because these were synonymized, misidentified or even misspelled, it is quite difficult to understand the literature in a way other than chronologically. Only a detailed reappraisal of all descriptions allows to attribute the most recently accepted name to species misidentified in older works, for example the “S. echinorum” of Baré & Kramers (1970) that was later described as *S. albida* Kozloff & Westervelt, 1990 and *S. rubida* Kozloff & Westervelt, 1990. In this work, we follow the most modern views, e.g. the one that considers *Syndisyrinx* a synonym of *Syndesmis* (Moenes et al., 1994; Gevaerts et al., 1995; Jondelius, 1996). Similarly, we use the most recently published taxonomy of the echinoids (Smith, 2003).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

To date, the 22 valid and three undescribed species of *Syndesmis* are known to infest a total of 31 species of echinoids belonging to the orders Clypeasteroida and Spathangoidea (irregular sea urchins), Diadematoida, Echinoidea and Tenedoidea (regular sea urchins) (Table 1). The taxon *Syndesmis* occurs in European waters (from the Mediterranean Sea to the Barents Sea), the Caribbean, the entire Pacific Ocean and the Indian Ocean. Some echinoid species (e.g., *Echinometra oblonga* (de Blainville, 1825)) may host up to three umagillid species and some species of *Syndesmis* are found in various (up to five) echinoid hosts. Interestingly, some species are found in hosts belonging to distinct families or even orders. For instance, *S. echinorum* is found in *Paracentrotus lividus* (Lamarck, 1816) (Echinidae) as well as *Sphaerechinus granularis* (Lamarck, 1816) (Toxopneustidae).

The superscript numbers following the species names in our checklist (Table 1) refer to major discrepancies with previous checklists, the details of which are presented here:

(1) Based on a literature survey, Jangoux (1990) mentioned the occurrence of *S. echinorum* in *Psammechinus microtuberculatus* (de Blainville, 1825). However, only von Graff (1903) has studied this species of sea urchin (from Trieste, Italy) and he reported that all 30 specimens he inspected were uninfested. Apart from the records presented in Table 1, Meixner (1926) mentioned the occurrence of *S. echinorum* in sea urchins from Lessin Island (Croatia), but without specifying the host.

(2) Von Graff (1903) mentioned the occurrence of *Syndesmis echinorum* in *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* (Müller, 1776). This is impossible to verify owing to the lack of material. However, the fact that this species of echinoids is known to host two other morphologically similar and well documented species of *Syndesmis*, *S. franciscana* and *S. inconspicua* Westervelt & Kozloff, 1992 (see Shinn, 1984; Westervelt & Kozloff, 1992), suggests that the species von Graff (1903) observed was probably *not* *S. echinorum*. Von Graff (1903) also reported *S. echinorum* in *Sphaerechinus granularis* from Bergen (Norway), but as this species of sea urchins is limited from the Mediterranean to the south of the English Channel, this observation is clearly erroneous. He probably misidentified the echinoid species, which could be *Echinus esculentus* Linnaeus, 1758, which harbours two distinct umagillid species (*S. rubida* and *S. albida*, see remarks 7 and 8).

(3) Originally, *Syndesmis franciscana* was described as *Syndisyrinx franciscanus* (Lehman, 1946; Shinn, 1980), but was also mentioned as *Syndesmis franciscanus* (Giese, 1958) and *Syndesmus franciscus* (Berger & Profant, 1961). We regard *Syndesmis franciscana* as the correct name of this species, the genus name being feminine.

(4) *Syndesmis antillarum* Stunkard & Corliss, 1951 was sometimes confused with *S. franciscana* (Westblad, 1953; Barnes, 1969). Before its description, the species was also mentioned as *Syndesmus* sp. (Powers, 1935). See also remark 9 about *S. collongistyla* Hertel et al., 1990.

(5) *Syndesmis dendrastorum* Stunkard & Corliss, 1951, *S. atriovillosa* Westblad, 1953 and *S. pallida* Hickman, 1956 were previously attributed to *Marcusella* (Westblad, 1953; Hickman, 1956), a genus synonymized with *Syndesmis* by Cannon (1982). The first species is reported as *S. dendrastomum* in Jangoux (1990).


(7) For a long time, *Syndesmis albida* and *S. rubida* were confused with *Syndesmis echinorum* (Barel & Kramers, 1970, 1977), also reported as *Syndesmus echinorum* (Shipley, 1901). It is impossible to know if Westblad (1926), Bruce et al. (1963) and Lyons (1973) observed *S. rubida* or *S. albida*. See also remark 8.

---

*a* This list updates previous reviews and reattributes outdated taxonomic names used in original works to the valid species. Superscript numbers following species names refer to notes in Results and Discussion.

Umagillid species: Some species have been described as *Syndisyrinx* or *Marcusella* by various authors but, without complete reappraisal of the group, validity of these genera is still matter of discussion. All species are thus attributed to the genus *Syndesmis*. Species are presented in the chronological order of their description.

Sea urchin host: Species in bold are irregular sea urchins. Synonyms: *Echinus esculentus* is also known in the literature on umagillids as *Echinus sphaera*; *Paracentrotus lividus* as *Toxopneustes lividus* or *Strongylocentrotus lividus*; *Strongylocentrotus pallidus* as *S. echinoides*. Locality: BS: Barents Sea; C: Caribbean; EA: East Atlantic; EP: East Pacific; IO: Indian Ocean; M: Mediterranean Sea; WP: West Pacific.

References: “*” separates the authors having studied the species in the different localities mentioned. “?” is used when the species mentioned by the author is not clearly identified but probably belongs to the one named in this list. Authors in bold are the authority to which to refer for the original description of the species.
### TABLE 1

List of the umagillids infesting sea urchins, with details about host species infested, localities and references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Umagillid species</th>
<th>Sea urchin host</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Syndesmis echiurorum</em>&lt;sup&gt;(13)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>Paracentrotus lividus</em></td>
<td>M : Banyuls (France) / Marseille (France) / EA : Roscoff (France) / Galicia (Spain)</td>
<td><strong>FRANÇOIS</strong>, 1886; <strong>KOZLOFF &amp; WESTERVELT</strong>, 1987; PERS. obs. / BRIOT, 1906 / CHUBINNIER, 1951; BAREL &amp; KRAMERS, 1970; PERS. obs. / LAMAS SECO &amp; RODRIGUEZ BAZO, 1978; <strong>CERMAN</strong> et al., 1992; PERS. obs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphaerechinus granularis</em></td>
<td><em>Psammochinus miliaris</em></td>
<td>M : Naples (Italy) / Trieste (Italy), Umag (Croatia) / Marseilles (France) / Banyuls (France)</td>
<td><strong>FRANÇOIS</strong>, 1886; <strong>KOZLOFF &amp; WESTERVELT</strong>, 1987; PERS. obs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Diadema antillarum</em></td>
<td>C : Dry Tortugas, Florida / Bermuda / Unknown</td>
<td><strong>POWERS</strong>, 1935; <strong>STUNKARD &amp; CORLISS</strong>, 1951 / <strong>SYDER</strong>, 1980 / <strong>WESTBLAD</strong>, 1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Dendraster excentricus</em></td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>WESTBLAD</strong>, 1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Echinocardium cordatum</em></td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>WESTBLAD &amp; KOZLOFF</strong>, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Echinometra lucunter</em></td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>JONDES</strong>, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Echinometra viridis</em></td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>JONDES</strong>, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Lytechinus williamsi</em></td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>JONDES</strong>, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Tripneustes ventricosus</em></td>
<td>C : Puerto Rico</td>
<td><strong>JONDES</strong>, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Toxopneustes pileolus</em></td>
<td>IO : Western Australia</td>
<td><strong>JOVIERLES</strong>, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Ammochasmus arachnoides</em></td>
<td>IO : Kenya</td>
<td><strong>FRANÇOIS</strong>, 1886; <strong>KOZLOFF</strong>, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Evechinus chloroticus</em></td>
<td>M : Banyuls, Port-Vendres (France)</td>
<td><strong>MCRAE</strong>, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Ammochasmus arachnoides</em></td>
<td>IO : Western Australia</td>
<td><strong>MOENS &amp; MARTENS</strong>, 1992; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1993; <strong>MOENS</strong> et al., 1994; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Evechinus chloroticus</em></td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>MOENS &amp; MARTENS</strong>, 1992; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1993; <strong>MOENS</strong> et al., 1994; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Syndesmis sp 1</em></td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>MOENS &amp; MARTENS</strong>, 1992; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1993; <strong>MOENS</strong> et al., 1994; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Syndesmis sp 2</em>&lt;sup&gt;(13)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>MOENS &amp; MARTENS</strong>, 1992; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1993; <strong>MOENS</strong> et al., 1994; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Syndesmis sp 3</em>&lt;sup&gt;(12)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>MOENS &amp; MARTENS</strong>, 1992; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1993; <strong>MOENS</strong> et al., 1994; <strong>GEVAERTS</strong> et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Lytechinus anamesus</em></td>
<td>IO : Kenya</td>
<td><strong>MCRAE</strong>, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Syndesmis sp 3</em>&lt;sup&gt;(13)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>ORIEL</strong>, 1957; <strong>POWERS</strong>, 1960; <strong>WESTERVELT &amp; KOZLOFF</strong>, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Ammochasmus arachnoides</em></td>
<td>IO : Western Australia</td>
<td><strong>JOVIERLES</strong>, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Evechinus chloroticus</em></td>
<td>M : Banyuls, Port-Vendres (France)</td>
<td><strong>FRANÇOIS</strong>, 1886; <strong>KOZLOFF</strong>, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Syndesmis sp 1</em></td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>MCRAE</strong>, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umagillid species</em></td>
<td><em>Syndesmis sp 3</em>&lt;sup&gt;(12)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>EP : California / Washington State</td>
<td><strong>ORIEL</strong>, 1957; <strong>POWERS</strong>, 1960; <strong>WESTERVELT &amp; KOZLOFF</strong>, 1992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: All localities are mentioned.*
(8) : Syndesmis rubida can be distinguished from S. albida by the colour: the former is brown red while the latter is pale pink to white (Kozloff & Westervelt, 1990). According to their descriptions, Geddes (1880), Silliman (1881), Cünnot (1892, 1900) and Shipley (1901) likely observed S. rubida.

(9) : Prior to its description, Syndesmis callongistyla was confused with S. franciscana and S. antillarum (Jennings & Metrick, 1968; Jones & Canton, 1970; Nappi & Crawford, 1984; Allison et al., 1987).

(10) : Syndesmis inconspicua was mentioned as S. “echinorum” in older literature (Orhel, 1952; Shin, 1981, 1983b, 1984). This species was reported in Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis and S. pallidus (Sars, 1871) but not described until Westervelt & Kozloff (1992). These authors investigated specimens from S. droebachiensis only.

(11) : Syndesmis neglecta Westervelt & Kozloff, 1992 was previously listed as Syndesmis franciscanus (see Giese, 1958), Syndesmus franciscanus (Booloootian et al., 1959), Syndesmus franciscana (Hyman, 1960) and Syndesmus franciscus (Bergers & Profant, 1961).

(12) : Specimens of Syndesmis echiniacuti Kozloff, 1997 were perhaps also used by Francois (1886) in the original description of Syndesmis echinorum (see Kozloff & Westervelt, 1987 and Kozloff, 1997).

(13) : Syndesmis sp. 2 could be S. inconspicua. Barnes (1969) stated its close similarity with S. echinorum.

We hope this survey will be a useful tool for further research on this ecologically important group. Recent investigations have demonstrated that literature accounts of intraspecific variation in Syndesmis species found in distinct hosts or from distant locations should be treated sceptically, as some of these cases may in fact be instances of interspecific variation.

Re-evaluation of the current species, and the description of new species should be based on a thorough morphological study of the taxon as a whole (including the re-examination of all material deposited in collections), combined with molecular data. Unfortunately, confusions and misidentifications have persisted for years because some works were based on material of poor quality and sometimes lacked the study of histological sections. In some cases, researchers described a species, but failed to deposit type material in a collection. As a consequence, comparison between species can be very difficult, if not impossible, unless new material is collected. Yet, with the updated checklist presented here, future researchers will have immediate access to literature and a complete overview of Syndesmis species and their corresponding host(s) and geographical distribution.
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